

## MINIMIZATION THEOREMS IN GENERATING SPACES OF QUASI G-METRIC FAMILY

Leila GHOLIZADE<sup>1</sup>, S. Mansour VAEZPOUR<sup>2</sup>

*In this paper the concept of  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0,1]\}$  that is a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on generating spaces of quasi G-metric family  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0,1])$  is considered and non-convex minimization theorem on such spaces is proved.<sup>3</sup> Then as its application we prove the Caristi type fixed point theorem and Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle. Our results generalized and improve some recent results in the literature.*

**Keywords:** generating space of quasi G-metric space;  $\Omega_\alpha$ -distance; fixed point; non-convex minimization theorems.

**AMS Subject Classification** 47H10; 54H25

### 1. Introduction

In 1994, Chang, Cho and Kang ([5], [6]) gave definition of generating spaces of quasi-metric family, which included fuzzy metric spaces ([8]) and Meneger probabilistic metric spaces ([20]) as special cases. They proved some fixed point theorems and Takahashi-type minimization theorems in complete generating spaces of quasi-metric family. In 1996, Kada, Suzuki and Takahashi ([9]) introduced the concept  $\omega$ -distance in metric spaces and proved the Caristi's fixed point theorem ([4]), Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle ([7]) and Takahashi type nonconvex minimization theorems ([23]) in complete metric spaces by using the  $\omega$ -distance. Later, in 1997, Chang, Jung, Lee ([10]) by following the approaches of Kada et al. defined a family of weak quasi metric in generating spaces of quasi metric family and proved minimization theorems. In 2006, Mustafa and Sims ([14]) introduced the concept of G-metric. Some authors ([1], [2], [3], [11], [12], [13], [15], [19]) have proved some fixed point theorems in these spaces. Recently, Saadati, Vaezpour, Vetro and Rhoades ([21]), using the concept of G-metric, defined an  $\Omega$ -distance on complete G-metric space and generalized the concept of  $\omega$ -distance.

<sup>1</sup> PhD, Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University (IAU), Tehran, Iran [Lgholizade@gmail.com](mailto:Lgholizade@gmail.com) (corresponding author)

<sup>2</sup> Prof, Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Amirkabir University of Technology, Tehran, Iran, [vaez@aut.ac.ir](mailto:vaez@aut.ac.ir)

In this paper, inspired by above concepts we introduce the concept of generating spaces of quasi  $G$ -metric family that included  $Q$ -fuzzy metric spaces [22] and  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances. Then, we prove Takahashi type nonconvex minimization theorems, Caristi type fixed point theorem and  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle in these spaces.

At first we recall some definitions and lemmas in the  $G$ -metric space. For more information see ([2], [3], [13], [14], [21]).

## 2. $G$ -metric space

**Definition 2.1 ([14])** Let  $X$  be a non-empty set. A function  $G: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a  $G$ -metric if the following conditions are satisfied :

1.  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$  (coincidence),
2.  $G(x, x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $x \neq y$ ,
3.  $G(x, x, z) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $z \neq y$ ,
4.  $G(x, y, z) = G(p\{x, y, z\})$ , where  $p$  is a permutation of  $x, y, z$  (symmetry),
5.  $G(x, y, z) \leq G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  (rectangle inequality).

A  $G$ -metric is said to be symmetric if  $G(x, y, y) = G(y, x, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .

**Definition 2.2([14])** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space,

1. a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  
there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $m, n, l \geq n_0$ ,  
 $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon$ .
2. a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be  $G$ -convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if  
for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for  
all  $m, n \geq n_0$ ,  $G(x_n, x_m, x) < \varepsilon$ .

**Definition 2.3 ([21])** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space. Then a function

$\Omega: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called an  $\Omega$ -distance on  $X$  if the following conditions are satisfied :

1.  $\Omega(x, y, z) \leq \Omega(x, a, a) + \Omega(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ ,
2. for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\Omega(x, y, .)$ ,  $\Omega(x, ., y): X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are lower semi-continuous,
3. for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x, a, a) \leq \delta$  and  
 $\Omega(a, y, z) \leq \delta$  imply  $G(x, y, z) \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Example 2.4 ([21])** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space and  $G: X^3 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by

$$G(x, y, z) = \max\{d(x, y), d(y, z), d(x, z)\},$$

for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Then  $\Omega = G$  is an  $\Omega$ -distance on  $X$ .

For more examples see ([21]).

**Lemma 2.5 ([21])** Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $G$  and  $\Omega$  be an  $\Omega$ -distance on  $X$ . Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be sequences in  $X$ ,  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to zero and let  $x, y, z, a \in X$ . Then we have the following :

1. If  $\Omega(y, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega(x_n, y, z) \leq \beta_n$  for  $n \in N$ , then  $G(y, y, z) < \varepsilon$  and hence  $y = z$ .
2. If  $\Omega(y_n, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega(x_n, y_m, z) \leq \beta_n$  for  $m > n$  then  $G(y_n, y_m, z) \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $y_n \rightarrow z$ .
3. If  $\Omega(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $l, m, n \in N$  with  $n \leq m \leq l$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence.
4. If  $\Omega(x_n, a, a) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n \in N$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a  $G$ -Cauchy sequence.

**Definition 2.6 ([21])** A  $G$ -metric space  $X$  is said to be  $\Omega$ -bounded if there is a constant  $M > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x, y, z) \leq M$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

### 3. Generating space of quasi $G$ -metric family

In this section we present the definition of a generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $\{G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  be a family of mappings  $G_\alpha: X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ . Then  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  called a generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family if the following conditions are satisfied :

1.  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  if and only if  $x = y = z$ ,
2.  $G_\alpha(x, x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $x \neq y$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,
3.  $G_\alpha(x, x, z) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $z \neq y$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,
4.  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = G_\alpha(p\{x, y, z\})$ , where  $p$  is a permutation of  $x, y, z$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,
5. for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a number  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$  such that,  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) \leq G_\mu(x, a, a) + G_\mu(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,
6. for any  $x, y, z \in X$ ,  $G_\alpha(x, y, z)$  is non-increasing in  $\alpha$  and left continuous in  $\alpha$ .

A generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric is said to be symmetric if  $G_\alpha(x, y, y) = G_\alpha(y, x, x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ .

**Example 3.2** Let  $X$  be a metric space with metric  $G$ . If we put  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = G(x, y, z)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  is a generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family.

**Example 3.3** Let  $(X, d_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi metric family. If

we put  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = \max\{d_\alpha(x, y), d_\alpha(y, z), d_\alpha(x, z)\}$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  is a generating space of quasi G-metric family.

**Example 3.4** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi-norm metric family [10]. If we put  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = \|x - y\|_\alpha + \|y - z\|_\alpha + \|x - z\|_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi G-metric family.

Generating space of quasi G-metric family has properties of G-metric space.

**Definition 3.5** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi G-metric family. For  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  the open ball  $U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha)$  is defined by

$$U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha) = \{y \in X : G_\alpha(x, y, y) < \varepsilon\}.$$

**Definition 3.6** A subset  $B$  of  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  is called open set if for each  $x \in B$  there exist  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha \leq 1$  such that  $U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha) \subset B$ .

**Lemma 3.7** In a generating space of quasi G-metric family  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  every open ball is an open set.

**Proof:** Let  $U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha)$  be an open ball and  $y \in U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha)$ . Then  $G_\alpha(x, y, y) < \varepsilon$  and there exists  $0 < \beta < \alpha$  that  $\lambda = G_\beta(x, y, y) < \varepsilon$ . It is enough to prove that there exist  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha_0 \leq 1$  such that  $U_y(\varepsilon_0, \alpha_0) \subseteq U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha)$ . Setting  $\varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon - \lambda$  and  $\alpha_0 = \beta$ . Then if  $z \in U_y(\varepsilon_0, \alpha_0) = U_y(\varepsilon - \lambda, \beta)$  therefor  $G_\beta(y, z, z) < \varepsilon - \lambda$ . Now by,

$$G_\alpha(x, z, z) \leq G_\beta(x, y, y) + G_\beta(y, z, z) < \lambda + \varepsilon - \lambda = \varepsilon,$$

we obtain  $z \in U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha)$  and the proof is completed.

The following lemma can be easily proved.

**Lemma 3.8** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi G-metric family. Define,

$$\tau_{\{G_\alpha\}} = \{B \subset X : \forall x \in B, \exists \varepsilon > 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1 \text{ such that } U_x(\varepsilon, \alpha) \subset B\}.$$

Then  $\tau_{\{G_\alpha\}}$  is a topology on  $X$ .

**Lemma 3.9** Every generating space of quasi G-metric family  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  is Hausdorff.

**Definition 3.10** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi G-metric family and  $\{x_n\}$  be a sequence in  $X$ .

1.  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be G-convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if, for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $m, n \geq n_0$ ,  $G_\alpha(x_m, x_n, x) < \varepsilon$ .
2.  $\{x_n\}$  is said to be G-Cauchy sequence if for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a positive integer  $n_0$  such that for all  $m, n, l \geq n_0$ ,  $G_\alpha(x_m, x_n, x_l) < \varepsilon$ .
3. A generating space of quasi G-metric family  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  that every G-Cauchy sequence is G-convergent is said complete.

**Definition 3.11** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi G-metric family. Then a family  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  of mappings from  $\Omega_\alpha : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a family of  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances if the following conditions are satisfied :

1. for any  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a number  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$  such that,  $\Omega_\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \Omega_\mu(x, a, a) + \Omega_\mu(a, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z, a \in X$ .
2. for any  $x, y \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  $\Omega_\alpha(x, y, \cdot), \Omega_\alpha(x, \cdot, y) : X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  are lower semi-continuous.
3. for each  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists  $\delta > 0$  and a number  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$  such that  $\Omega_\mu(x, a, a) \leq \delta$  and  $\Omega_\mu(a, y, z) \leq \delta$  imply  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Example 3.12** Let  $(X, G)$  be a G-metric space. Put,

$$\Omega_\alpha(x, y, z) = G_\alpha(x, y, z) = G(x, y, z) \text{ for all } \alpha \in (0, 1],$$

then  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  is a family of  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances.

**Example 3.13** Let  $(X, \|\cdot\|_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi-norm metric family [10]. If we put  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = \|x - y\|_\alpha + \|y - z\|_\alpha + \|x - z\|_\alpha$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x, y, z) = \|x - y\|_\alpha + \|x - z\|_\alpha$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $x, y, z \in X$ , then  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  a family of  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances.

**Lemma 3.14** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a generating space of quasi G-metric family and  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  be a family of  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on X. Let  $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$  be sequences in X,  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to zero and let  $x, y, z, a \in X$ . Then we have the following :

1. If  $\Omega_\alpha(y, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x_n, y, z) \leq \beta_n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  
then  $G_\alpha(y, y, z) < \varepsilon$  and hence  $y = z$ .
2. If  $\Omega_\alpha(y_n, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x_n, y_m, z) \leq \beta_n$  for  $m > n$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,  
then  $G_\alpha(y_n, y_m, z) \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $y_n \rightarrow z$ .
3. If  $\Omega_\alpha(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $l, m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $n \leq m \leq l$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a G-Cauchy sequence.
4. If  $\Omega_\alpha(x_n, a, a) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  is a G-Cauchy sequence.

#### 4. Non-convex minimization theorem

Here we prove the non-convex minimization theorems for generating space of quasi G-metric family, which generalize and improve the recent results.

**Notation 4.1** Let  $\Phi$  be the set of all  $\varphi$  such that  $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is sub-additive,

(i.e.  $\varphi(x + y) \leq \varphi(x) + \varphi(y)$ , for  $x, y \in [0, \infty)$ ) and nondecreasing continuous such that  $\varphi^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}$ .

For more details see ([17], [18]).

The following theorem is the Takahashi type nonconvex minimization theorem in a complete generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric space.

**Theorem 4.2** Let  $(X, G_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family and let  $\{\Omega_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  be a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on  $X$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\max\{\Omega_\alpha(x, z, z), \Omega_\alpha(y, y, z) \leq \Omega_\alpha(x, y, y) + \Omega_\alpha(y, z, z)\}.$$

Suppose that  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Assume that for each  $u \in X$  with  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(y)$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ , there exists  $v \in X$  with  $v \neq u$  and

$$f(v) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, v, v)) \leq f(u),$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0)$ .

**Proof:** Suppose that  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(y)$  for every  $y \in X$ . Since  $f$  is a proper, let  $u_1 \in X$  and  $f(u_1) < \infty$ . Then inductively, we define the sequence  $\{u_n\}$  in  $X$  such that,

$$u_{n+1} \in S_n = \{x \in X : \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, x, x)) \leq f(u_n) - f(x), \forall \alpha \in (0, 1]\},$$

$$K_n = \inf_{x \in S_n} f(x)$$

and

$$f(u_{n+1}) < K_n + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Since,

$$\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_{n+1}, u_{n+1})) \leq f(u_n) - f(u_{n+1}),$$

then  $\{f(u_n)\}$  is non-increasing. Therefore,  $k = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(u_n)$  exists.

Now, we claim that  $\{u_n\}$  is  $G$ -Cauchy, i.e. for any  $l > m > n$  with  $m = n + k$  and  $l = m + t$  ( $k, t \in \mathbb{N}$ ),

$$\lim_{n, m, l \rightarrow \infty} \Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_m, u_l) = 0.$$

We have for some  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_m, u_l) &= \Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}) \\ &\leq \Omega_\mu(u_n, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}) + \Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}), \end{aligned}$$

and,

$$\Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}) \leq \max\{\Omega_\mu(u_n, u_{n+k+t}, u_{n+k+t}), \Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t})\}$$

$$\leq \Omega_\mu(u_n, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}) + \Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}, u_{n+k+t}).$$

Therefore by sub-additivity of  $\varphi$ ,

$$\varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t})) \leq \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_n, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k}) + \Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}, u_{n+k+t}))$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_n, u_{n+k}, u_{n+k})) + \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_{n+k}, u_{n+k+t}, u_{n+k+t})) \\ &\leq f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k}) + f(u_{n+k}) - f(u_{n+k+t}) \\ &= f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k+t}). \end{aligned}$$

Also  $f(u_{n+k+t}) \leq f(u_{n+k})$ , because  $\{f(u_n)\}$  is non-increasing. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_m, u_l)) &\leq f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k}) + f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k+t}) \\ &\leq f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k+t}) + f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k+t}) \\ &= 2(f(u_n) - f(u_{n+k+t})). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Thus,  $\lim_{n,m,l \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_m, u_l)) = 0$  and consequently  $\{u_n\}$  is a G-Cauchy sequence. Since  $X$  is complete,  $\{u_n\}$  converges to a point  $u_0 \in X$ . Therefore, in view of the lower semi-continuity of  $\Omega_\alpha$  and (2.1), we have

$$\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_0, u_0)) \leq f(u_n) - k = f(u_n) - \liminf f(u_n) \leq f(u_n) - f(u_0)$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore, there exists  $u_1 \in X$  such that  $u_0 \neq u_1$  and  $f(u_1) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_0, u_1, u_1)) \leq f(u_0)$ . Hence, for some  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_n, u_1, u_1)) &\leq f(u_1) + \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_0, u_1, u_1)) + \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_n, u_0, u_0)) \\ &\leq f(u_0) + \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u_n, u_0, u_0)) \\ &\leq f(u_n) \end{aligned}$$

and consequently  $u_1 \in S_n$ . Since for every  $n \in N$ ,

$$f(u_0) \leq f(u_{n+1}) < K_n + \frac{1}{n} \leq f(u_1) + \frac{1}{n},$$

we have,  $f(u_0) \leq f(u_1)$ . Then,  $f(u_0) = f(u_1)$  and  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_0, u_1, u_1)) = 0$ . By assumption, there exists  $u_2 \in X$  such that

$u_2 \neq u_1$  and  $f(u_2) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_1, u_2, u_2)) \leq f(u_1)$ . Then,  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u_1, u_2, u_2)) = 0$ .

Now, by Part (3) of the Definition (3.11) and the properties of  $\varphi$ , we have  $u_0 = u_2$ . Similarly, since  $\Omega_\alpha(u_2, u_1, u_1) = \Omega_\alpha(u_0, u_1, u_1)$ , we obtain  $u_0 = u_1$ .

Thus,  $u_1 = u_2$ , which is a contradiction and this complete the proof.

**Corollary 4.3** Let  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family and let  $\{\Omega_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  be a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on  $X$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in [0, \infty)$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\max\{\Omega_\alpha(x, z, z), \Omega_\alpha(y, y, z)\} \leq \Omega_\alpha(x, y, y) + \Omega_\alpha(y, z, z).$$

Suppose that  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Assume that for each  $u \in X$  with  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(u)$ , there exists  $v \in X$  with  $v \neq u$  and

$$\Omega_\alpha(u, v, v) \leq f(u) - f(v).$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0)$ .

**Proof:** It is sufficient that put  $\varphi(t) = t$  in the Theorem (4.2).

The following Corollary is a generalization of the Takahashi type nonconvex minimization theorem in a complete generating space of quasi metric space ([10]).

**Corollary 4.4** Let  $(X, d_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi metric family. Let  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Assume that there exists a family  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  of weak quasi metrics on  $X$  such that for each  $u \in X$  with  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) < f(u)$ , there exists  $v \in X$  with  $v \neq u$  and

$$f(v) + p_\alpha(u, v) \leq f(u).$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $\inf_{x \in X} f(x) = f(x_0)$ .

**Proof:** It is enough to defin  $G_\alpha(x, y, z) = \max\{d_\alpha(x, y), d_\alpha(y, z), d_\alpha(x, z)\}$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x, y, z) = \max\{p_\alpha(x, y), p_\alpha(x, z)\}$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then, by the previous corollary application, the proof is completed.

The following theorem is the Caristi type fixed point theorem in a complete generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric space.

**Theorem 4.5** Let  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi  $G$ -metric family and  $\{\Omega_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  be a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on  $X$ . Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below and  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  into itself. Suppose that for every  $x \in X$  and  $\varphi \in \Phi$ ,

$$f(Tx) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, Tx, Tx)) \leq f(x).$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Tx_0 = x_0$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) = 0$ .

**Proof:** Since  $f$  is proper, there exists  $u \in X$  such that  $f(u) < \infty$ . Put

$$Y = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq f(u)\}.$$

Since  $f$  is lower semi-continuous,  $Y$  is closed. Hence  $Y$  is a complete generating

G-metric space. Let  $x \in Y$ . Since  $f(Tx) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, Tx, Tx)) \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , we have  $Tx \in Y$ . Thus  $Y$  is invariant under  $T$ . Now, assume  $Tx \neq x$  for every  $x \in Y$ . Then, by Theorem (4.2), there exists  $v_0 \in Y$  such that  $f(v_0) = \inf_{x \in Y} f(x)$ . Since  $f(Tv_0) + \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(v_0, Tv_0, Tv_0)) \leq f(v_0)$ , and  $f(v_0) = \inf_{x \in Y} f(x)$ , we have  $f(Tv_0) = f(v_0) = \inf_{x \in Y} f(x)$  and  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(v_0, Tv_0, Tv_0)) = 0$ . Similarly,  $f(T^2v_0) = f(Tv_0) = \inf_{x \in Y} f(x)$  and  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(Tv_0, T^2v_0, T^2v_0)) = 0$ . By definition of  $\varphi$ , we obtain  $\Omega_\alpha(v_0, Tv_0, Tv_0) = 0$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(Tv_0, T^2v_0, T^2v_0) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Therefore, by Part (3) of Definition (3.11),  $v_0 = T^2v_0$ . Similarly, since  $\Omega_\alpha(T^2v_0, Tv_0, Tv_0) = \Omega_\alpha(v_0, Tv_0, Tv_0)$ , we obtain  $Tv_0 = v_0$ , which is a contradiction.

**Corollary 4.6** Let  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi G-metric family and  $\{\Omega_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on  $X$ . Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Let that  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  into itself. Suppose that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$f(Tx) + \Omega_\alpha(x, Tx, Tx) \leq f(x).$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Tx_0 = x_0$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x_0, Tx_0, Tx_0) = 0$ .

The following Corollary is a generalization of the Caristi type fixed point theorem in a complete generating space of quasi metric space ([10]).

**Corollary 4.7** Let  $(X, d_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi metric family and let  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below and that  $T$  be a mapping from  $X$  into itself. Assume that there exists a family  $\{p_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  of weak quasi metrics on  $X$  such that for every  $x \in X$ ,

$$f(Tx) + p_\alpha(x, Tx) \leq f(x).$$

Then there exists  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $Tx_0 = x_0$  and  $p_\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) = 0$ .

The following theorem is Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle in a complete generating space of quasi G-metric space.

**Theorem 4.8** Let  $(X, G_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi G-metric family and  $\{\Omega_\alpha: \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  a family  $\Omega_\alpha$ -quasi distances on  $X$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ ,

$$\max\{\Omega_\alpha(x, z, z), \Omega_\alpha(y, y, z)\} \leq \Omega_\alpha(x, y, y) + \Omega_\alpha(y, z, z).$$

Assume that  $f: X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Then we have

(1) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in X$  such that  $\Omega_\alpha(u, u, u) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $f(u) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon\lambda$ , there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $f(v) \leq f(u)$ ,

$\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, v, v)) \leq \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and for every  $w \in X$  with  $w \neq v$ , there exists a  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  such that,

$$f(w) > f(v) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\beta(v, w, w)).$$

(2) for every  $u \in X$  with  $f(u) < \infty$ , there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $f(v) \leq f(u)$ , and for every  $w \in X$  with  $w \neq v$ , there exists a  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$f(w) > f(v) - \varphi(\Omega_\beta(v, w, w)).$$

**Proof:** (1) Let  $M = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq f(u) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, x, x)), \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$ .

Then  $M$  is non-empty and complete. Moreover, for every  $x \in M$ ,

$$\varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, x, x)) \leq f(u) - f(x) \leq f(u) - \inf_{x \in X} f(x) \leq \varepsilon \lambda$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Then  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, x, x)) \leq \lambda$  and  $f(x) \leq f(u)$ . Assume that for every  $x \in X$  there exists a  $w \in X$  such that  $w \neq x$  and  $f(w) \leq f(x) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, w, w))$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Thus,  $f(w) \leq f(x) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, w, w)) \leq f(x)$ .

Now, for every  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , there exists a  $\mu \in (0, \alpha]$  such that,

$$\varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, w, w)) \leq \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\mu(u, x, x)) + \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\mu(x, w, w)).$$

Then,

$$\varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(u, w, w)) \leq f(u) - f(x) + f(x) - f(w) = f(u) - f(w)$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . This implies that  $w \in M$ . Therefore, for every  $x \in M$ , there exists a  $w \in M$  such that  $w \neq x$  and  $f(w) \leq f(x) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, w, w))$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Based on Theorem (4.2), there exists an  $x_0 \in X$  such that  $f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in M} f(x)$ . Now, for such  $x_0$ , there exists  $x_1 \in M$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_0$  and

$$f(x_1) \leq f(x_0) - \varepsilon \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_1)),$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Thus,  $f(x_1) = f(x_0) = \inf_{x \in M} f(x)$  and  $\varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_1)) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Therefor,  $\Omega_\alpha(x_0, x_1, x_1) = 0$ . Similarly, there exists  $x_2 \in M$  such that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  and  $\Omega_\alpha(x_1, x_2, x_2) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . According to part (3) of Definition (3.11), we obtain  $x_0 = x_2$  and therefor  $x_0 = x_1$ . This is contradiction.

(2) Let  $W = \{x \in X : f(x) \leq f(u)\}$ . Then  $W$  is non-empty and complete. Moreover, for every  $x \in W$  there exists  $v \in X$  such that  $v \neq x$  and

$$f(v) \leq f(x) - \varphi(\Omega_\alpha(x, v, v))$$

for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ . Since  $f(v) \leq f(x) \leq f(u)$ , then  $v \in W$ . Thus, by Theorem (4.2) and above proof, we can prove (2).

The following Corollary is a generalization of Ekeland's  $\varepsilon$ -variational principle in a complete generating space of quasi metric space ([10]).

**Corollary 4.9** Let  $(X, d_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1])$  be a complete generating space of quasi metric family and  $\{p_\alpha : \alpha \in (0, 1]\}$  a family weak quasi metrics. Assume  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  is a proper lower semi-continuous function bounded from below. Then :

(1) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $u \in X$  such that  $p_\alpha(u, u) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$  and  $f(u) \leq \inf_{x \in X} f(x) + \varepsilon\lambda$ , there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $f(v) \leq f(u)$ ,  $p_\alpha(u, v) \leq \lambda$  for all  $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ , and for every  $w \in X$  with  $w \neq v$ , there exists a  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  such that,

$$f(w) > f(v) - \varepsilon p_\beta(v, w).$$

(2) for every  $u \in X$  with  $f(u) < \infty$ , there exists a  $v \in X$  such that  $f(v) \leq f(u)$ , and for every  $w \in X$  with  $w \neq v$ , there exists a  $\beta \in (0, 1]$  such that

$$f(w) > f(v) - p_\beta(v, w).$$

## R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] *B. Ahmad, M. Ashraf, B. Rhoades*, Fixed Point theorem for expansive mappings in G-metric spaces, *J. Pure Appl. Math* 32(10)(2001) 1513-1518.
- [2] *M. Abbas, B. Rhoades*, Common fixed point results for non-commuting mappings without continuity in generalized metric spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 215(2009) 262-269.
- [3] *M. Abbas, T. Nazir, B. Rhoades*, Common fixed point results in G-metric spaces, preprint.
- [4] *J. Caristi*, Fixed point theorems for mappings satisfying inwardness conditions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 215(1976) 241-251.
- [5] *S. Chang, Y. Cho, S. Kang*, Probailistic metric spaces and operator theory, Sichuan University Press, Chendgu, China, (1994).
- [6] *S. Chang, Y. Cho, B. Lee, J. Jung, S. Kang*, Coincidence point theorems and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 88(1)(1997) 119-128.
- [7] *I. Ekeland*, Nonconvex minimization problems, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 13(1979) 443-474.
- [8] *O. Kaleva, S. Seikkala*, On fuzzy metric spaces, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 12(1984) 215-226.
- [9] *O. Kada, T. Suzuki, W. Takahashi*, Nonconvex minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in complete metric space, *Math. Japonica* 44(1996) 381-391.
- [10] *G. Lee, B. Lee, J. Jung, S. Chang*, Minimization theorems and fixed point theorems in generating spaces of quasi- metric family, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 101(1999) 143-152.
- [11] *Z. Mustafa, F. Awawded, W. Shantanawi*, Fixed Point theorem for expansive mappings in G-metric spaces, *Int. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*. 5, (2010) , no. 50, 2463-2472.
- [12] *S. Manro, S.S. Bhatia, S. Kumar*, Expansion mappings theorems in G-metric spaces, *J. Contemp. Math. Sciences*, Vol. 5, (2010), no. 51, 2529 - 2535.
- [13] *Z.Mustafa, T. Obiedat, F. Awawdeh*, Some Fixed Point theorems for mapping on Complete G-metric space, *Fixed Point theory Appl.*(2008)12. Article ID 189870.
- [14] *Z. Mustafa, B. Sims*, A new approach to generalized metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* 7 (2006) 289-297.
- [15] *Z. Mustafa, B. Sims*, Fixed Point theorems for contractive mappings in complete G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point theory Appl.* (2009) 10. Article ID 917175.
- [16] *D. O'Regan, R. Saadati*, Nonlinear contraction theorems in probabilistic spaces, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 195(2008) 86-93.
- [17] *J.H.Qiu*, Ekeland's variational principle in Fréchet spaces and the density of extremal points, *Studia Math.* 168(2005) 81-94.
- [18] *J.H.Qiu*, A generalized Ekeland vector variational principle and its applications in

optimization, *Nonlinear Anal.* 71(2009) 4705-4717.

- [19] *W. Shatanawi*, Fixed Point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\Phi$ -maps in G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point theory Appl.* (2010) 9. Article ID 181650.
- [20] *B. Schweizer, A. Sklar*, Statistical metric spaced, *Pacific J. Math.* 10(1960) 313-334.
- [21] *R. Saadati, S.M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro, B.E. Rhoades*, Fixed Point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces, *Math. Comput.* 52(2010) 797-801.
- [22] *G. Sun, K. Yang*, Generalized on fuzzy metric spaces with properties, *Appl. Sciences, Engineering and Technology* 2(7)(2010) 673-678.
- [23] *W. Takahashi*, Existence theorem generating fixed point theorems for multivalued mapping *Fixed Point theory Appl.* (M.A. Thera and J.-B. Baillon, eds.), Pitman Research Notes in Math. Series 152(1991) 397-406.