

## FUNDAMENTALS OF $\Gamma$ -ALGEBRA AND $\Gamma$ -DIMENSION

A. H. Rezaei<sup>1</sup>, B. Davvaz<sup>2</sup>, S. O. Dehkordi<sup>3</sup>

*In this paper, we generalize the notion of algebra over a field. A  $\Gamma$ -algebra is an algebraic structure consisting of a vector space  $V$ , a groupoid  $\Gamma$  together with a map from  $V \times \Gamma \times V$  to  $V$ , usually called multiplication. We introduce the notion of  $\Gamma$ -dimension and give some examples and prove some properties of  $\Gamma$ -algebras. Then, we give some results about  $m \times n$  real matrices. Also, we study the notion of regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra and we obtain some results in this respect. Finally, we define the notions of  $T$ -functor and  $H$ -system over a  $\Gamma$ -algebra and prove some results. Moreover, we see that there exists a covariant functor between the categories of  $\Gamma$ -algebras and algebras. We see that this functor is exact.*

**Keywords:**  $\Gamma$ -algebra, homomorphism, regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra,  $H$ -system,  $T$ -functor.

**MSC2010:** 16N60, 16Y30

### 1. $\Gamma$ -algebra

In [5], Nobusawa introduced the notion of  $\Gamma$ -ring, as more general than ring. Barnes [2] weakened slightly the conditions in the definition of the  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Nobusawa. After these two papers are published, many mathematicians made good works on  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Barnes and Nobusawa. Luh [4] and Kyuno [3] studied the structure of  $\Gamma$ -rings and obtained various generalization analogous to corresponding parts in ring theory. In [1], Chakraborty and Pau defined an isomorphism, an anti-isomorphism and a Jordan isomorphism in a  $\Gamma$ -ring and developed some important results relating to these concepts, also see [6, 7].

An *algebra* over a field is a vector space equipped with a bilinear vector product. That is to say, it is an algebraic structure consisting of a vector space together with an operation, usually called multiplication, that combines any two vectors to form a third vector; to qualify as an algebra, this multiplication must satisfy certain compatibility axioms with the given vector space structure, such as distributivity. In other words, an algebra over a field is a set together with operations of multiplication, addition, and scalar multiplication by elements of the field. Now, we generalize this notion.

---

<sup>1</sup>PhD Student, Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Professor, Department of Mathematics, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran, e-mail: [davvaz@yazd.ac.ir](mailto:davvaz@yazd.ac.ir) and [bdavvaz@yahoo.com](mailto:bdavvaz@yahoo.com)

<sup>3</sup>Doctor, Department of Mathematics, Hormozgan University, Bandar-Abbas, Iran

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a groupoid and  $V$  be a vector space over a field  $F$ . Then,  $V$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -algebra over the field  $F$  if there exists a mapping  $V \times \Gamma \times V \longrightarrow V$  (the image is denoted by  $x\alpha y$  for  $x, y \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ) such that the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ,  $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ ,
- (2)  $x(\alpha + \beta)y = x\alpha y + x\beta y$ ,
- (3)  $(cx)\alpha y = c(x\alpha y) = x\alpha(cy)$ ,
- (4)  $0\alpha y = y\alpha 0 = 0$ ,

for all  $x, y, z \in V$ ,  $c \in F$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

Moreover, a  $\Gamma$ -algebra is called associative if

- (5)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ ,

and *unital* if for every  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , there is an element  $1_\alpha$  in  $V$  such that  $1_\alpha \alpha v = v = v \alpha 1_\alpha$  for all non-zero elements of  $V$ .

A non-empty subset  $V'$  of a  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra if it is a subspace of  $V$  and for all  $x, y \in V'$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have  $x\alpha y \in V'$ . A subset  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  is called a *left (right) ideal* if it is a  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra of  $V$  and for all  $a \in I$  and  $v \in V$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we have  $v\alpha a \in I$  ( $a\alpha v \in I$ ) and is a *(two-sided) ideal* if it is both a left and right ideal. It easy to see that  $V$  and  $\{0\}$  are ideals of  $V$ . An ideal  $I$  such that  $\{0\} \subset I \subset V$  is called *proper*.

Let  $X$  be a subset of  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$ . Then, the smallest left (right, two-sided) ideal of  $V$  containing  $X$  exists and we shall call it the left (right or two-sided) ideal generated by  $X$ , and will be denoted by  $\langle X \rangle_l$  ( $\langle X \rangle_r$  or  $\langle X \rangle$ ). If  $X = \{x\}$ , then we also write  $\langle x \rangle$  instead of  $\langle \{x\} \rangle$ .

**Example 1.1.** Let  $A$  be a vector space and  $\Gamma$  be a groupoid. For every  $x, y \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we define  $x\alpha y = 0$ . Then,  $A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -algebra.

**Example 1.2.** Let  $F$  be a field,  $V$  and  $W$  be two vector spaces and  $A = \text{Hom}_F(V, W)$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{Hom}_F(W, V)$ . For every  $f, g \in A$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  we define  $f\alpha g = f \circ \alpha \circ g$ , where  $\circ$  is the combination operation. Then,  $A$  is an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra.

**Example 1.3.** Let  $A$  and  $\Gamma$  be the sets of  $n \times m$  and  $m \times n$  matrices over the field  $F$ , respectively. Then, it is easy to see that  $A$  is an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra.

**Example 1.4.** Consider the pervious example. Let  $A$  be the set of  $3 \times 2$  matrices over the field of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$  and

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \end{pmatrix} : a, b \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then,  $A$  is an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra and

$$B = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : x, y \in \mathbb{R} \right\},$$

is a  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra of  $A$ .

Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be  $\Gamma_1$ - and  $\Gamma_2$ -algebras respectively,  $T$  be a linear transformation from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$ ,  $f$  be a homomorphism from  $\Gamma_1$  to  $\Gamma_2$ . Then, we say that  $(T, f)$  is a  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ -homomorphism (homomorphism) from  $(V_1, \Gamma_1)$  to  $(V_2, \Gamma_2)$  if  $(T, f)(x\alpha y) = T(x\alpha y) = T(x)f(\alpha)T(y)$ .

**Example 1.5.** Let  $V_1$  be the vector space of  $n \times 1$  real matrices generated by  $a = (a_{i1})_{n \times 1}$  such that  $a_{11} = 1$  and  $a_{i1} = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ ,  $\Gamma_1 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{1 \times n} : r_1 \in \mathbb{R} \}$ ,  $V_2$  be the vector space of  $m \times 1$  real matrices generated by  $b = (b_{i1})_{m \times 1}$  such that  $b_{11} = 1$  and  $b_{i1} = 0$  for  $i \neq 1$ ,  $\Gamma_2 = \{ \begin{pmatrix} r_2 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{1 \times m} : r_2 \in \mathbb{R} \}$ ,  $T$  be the linear transformation from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  with the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} k & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $0 \neq k \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $f : \Gamma_1 \rightarrow \Gamma_2$  defined by  $f(X) = \frac{1}{k} \times X$ . Then,  $(T, f)$  is a homomorphism from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$ .

For non-empty subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  and non-empty subset  $\Gamma_1$  of  $\Gamma$ . Let

$$A\Gamma_1 B := \{a\gamma b : a \in A, b \in B \text{ and } \gamma \in \Gamma_1\},$$

$$A\Gamma_1^\Sigma B := \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n a_i \gamma_i b_i : a_i \in A, b_i \in B, \gamma_i \in \Gamma_1 \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{N} \right\},$$

$$\mathbb{Z}X = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n n_i x_i : n_i \in \mathbb{Z}, x_i \in X \right\}.$$

If  $A = \{a\}$ , then we also write  $a\Gamma_1 B$  instead of  $\{a\}\Gamma_1 B$ .

An ideal  $P$  is called *prime* if  $A\Gamma^\Sigma B \subseteq P$ , then  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$  and  $P$  is called *semiprime* if  $A\Gamma^\Sigma A \subseteq P$  then  $A \subseteq P$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $V$  be a  $\Gamma$ -algebra and  $X$  be a non-empty subset of  $V$ . Then,

- (1)  $\langle X \rangle_r = \mathbb{Z}X + X\Gamma^\Sigma V$ ,
- (2)  $\langle X \rangle_l = \mathbb{Z}X + V\Gamma^\Sigma X$ ,
- (3)  $\langle X \rangle = \mathbb{Z}X + X\Gamma^\Sigma V + V\Gamma^\Sigma X + V\Gamma^\Sigma X\Gamma^\Sigma V$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $V$  be a  $\Gamma$ -algebra. Then, the ordinary dimension of  $V$  as a vector space is called the dimension and the dimension of the subspace of  $V$  generated by all products of the form  $axb$  is called the  $\Gamma$ -dimension.

**Example 1.6.** Let  $A$  be the vector space of  $2 \times 3$  real matrices with the basis

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and  $\Gamma$  be a groupoid of  $3 \times 2$  matrices of the form  $\begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $r \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then,

$A$  is a  $\Gamma$ -algebra and the dimension of  $A$  is 4 but the  $\Gamma$ -dimension is 0. Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ -r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 1.7.** Suppose that

$$A = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & c \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c \in \mathbb{R} \right\} \text{ and } \Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} : r \in \mathbb{R} \right\}.$$

Then, the dimension of  $A$  is 3 and the  $\Gamma$ -dimension of  $A$  is 1.

## 2. Results about $m \times n$ matrices

**Lemma 2.1.** Let  $A$  be the vector space of  $m \times n$  real matrices and  $\Gamma$  be a set of  $n \times m$  real matrices, where the  $ij$  entire is a real number and the others are zero. Then, the elements of  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $A$  are  $m \times n$ -matrices with dependent rows.

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $A$  be the vector space of  $m \times n$  real matrices and  $\Gamma$  is a set of  $n \times m$  real matrices with  $1 \leq k \leq mn$  non-zero entries. Then, every element of  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $A$  is the sum of  $k$ ,  $m \times n$  real matrices with dependent rows.

*Proof.* The proof obtains by Lemma 2.1 and the following relation,

$$a(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \cdots + \alpha_k)b = a\alpha_1b + a\alpha_2b + \cdots + a\alpha_kb,$$

where  $a, b \in A$  and  $\alpha_i \in \Gamma$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $A$  be the vector space of  $m \times n$  real matrices and  $\Gamma$  is a groupoid of  $n \times m$  real matrices with at least one non-zero entire. Then, the dimension and  $\Gamma$ -dimension of  $A$  are equals.

*Proof.* With out loss of generality, suppose that  $\alpha_{n \times m} = \begin{pmatrix} r & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  is an

arbitrary element of  $\Gamma$ . Then, the basis element  $E_{ij}$  obtained from the product  $A_{m \times n} \alpha_{n \times m} B_{m \times n}$ , where  $A_{m \times n} = (a_{i'j'})$ ,  $B_{m \times n} = (b_{i'j'})$

$$a_{i'j'} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{r} & i' = i, j' = 1 \\ 0 & o.w \end{cases}$$

$$b_{i'j'} = \begin{cases} 1 & i' = 1, j' = j \\ 0 & o.w \end{cases}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

### 3. Regular $\Gamma$ -algebra

A  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  is *regular* if for every  $x \in V$ , there exists  $y \in V$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  such that

$$x = x\alpha y\beta x.$$

In this case  $x$  is called an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -*regular element*. An ideal  $I$  of a  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -*regular* if every element of  $I$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular. An element  $x$  of a  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$  is called  $\alpha$ -*idempotent* if  $x\alpha x = x$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $F$  be a field,  $V = F \times F$  and  $\Gamma$  be a sub-groupoid of  $F$ . For every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \in F$ , we define

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, x_2) \oplus (x_3, x_4) &= (x_1 + x_3, x_2 + x_4), \\ (x_1, x_2) \widehat{(\alpha, \beta)} (x_3, x_4) &= (x_1\alpha x_3, x_2\beta x_4). \end{aligned}$$

Then,  $V$  is a regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra.

Notice: Let  $V$  be a regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra. Then,  $\langle x \rangle_r = x\Gamma^\Sigma V$ . Indeed, since  $V$  is regular there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $y \in V$  such that  $x = x\alpha y\beta x$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{Z}x = \mathbb{Z}(x\alpha y\beta x) \subseteq x\Gamma^\Sigma V$ . This implies that  $\langle x \rangle_r = x\Gamma^\Sigma V$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $V$  be an associative regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra such that every element is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular. Then, every finitely generated right (left) ideal of  $V$  is generated by idempotent elements.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in V$ . Then, there exists  $y \in V$  such that  $x = x\alpha y\beta x$ . We have  $(x\alpha y)\beta(x\alpha y) = (x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha y = x\alpha y$ . Hence,  $x\alpha y$  is a  $\beta$ -idempotent element of  $V$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x\alpha y \rangle_r &= (x\alpha y)\Gamma^\Sigma V = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n (x\alpha y)\beta_i v_i : n \in \mathbb{N}, v_i \in V, \beta_i \in \Gamma \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n x\alpha(y\beta_i v_i) : n \in \mathbb{N}, v_i \in V, \beta_i \in \Gamma \right\} \\ &\subseteq x\Gamma^\Sigma V = \langle x \rangle_r. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, since

$$x = x\alpha y\beta x \in (x\alpha y)\Gamma^\Sigma V,$$

$\langle x \rangle_r \subseteq \langle x\alpha y \rangle_r$ . Therefore,  $\langle x \rangle_r = \langle x\alpha y \rangle_r$ .

Without loss of generality we suppose that  $I = \langle x, y \rangle_r$ . Now,  $\langle x \rangle_r = \langle a \rangle_r$ , for some  $\beta$ -idempotent element and since  $y - a\beta y \in \langle x, y \rangle_r$ , we have  $\langle$

$x, y >_r = < a, y - a\beta y >_r$ , and there exists a  $\beta$ -idempotent element  $b \in V$  such that  $< b >_r = < y - a\beta y >_r$ . Consequently,  $a\beta b = 0$  and

$$(b - b\beta a)\beta(b - b\beta a) = b\beta b - (b\beta b)\beta a - (b\beta a)\beta b + (b\beta a)\beta(b\beta a) = b - b\beta a;$$

$$b\beta(b - b\beta a) = b\beta b - b\beta(b\beta a) = b\beta b - (b\beta b)\beta a = b - b\beta a.$$

We conclude that  $< b - b\beta a >_r = < b >_r = < y - a\beta y >_r$ .

Therefore,  $< x, y >_r = < a, b - b\beta a >_r$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $V$  be a  $\Gamma$ -algebra,  $x_1 = x - x\alpha y\beta x$  and  $x_1 = x_1\alpha a\beta x_1$  for some  $a \in V$ . Then,  $x = xab\beta x$  for some  $b \in V$ .*

*Proof.* We observe that

$$\begin{aligned} x = x_1 + x\alpha y\beta x &= x_1\alpha a\beta x_1 + x\alpha y\beta x \\ &= (x - x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha a\beta(x - x\alpha y\beta x) + x\alpha y\beta x \\ &= x\alpha(a - a\beta x\alpha y - y\beta x\alpha a + y\beta x\alpha a\beta x\alpha y)\beta x. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $x = xab\beta x$  for some,  $b \in a - a\beta x\alpha y - y\beta x\alpha a + y\beta x\alpha a\beta x\alpha y$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.1.** *Let  $V_1 \leq V_2$  be ideals in an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra  $V$ . Then,  $V_2$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular if and only if  $V_1$  and  $[V_2 : V_1]$  are both  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V_2$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular. Then, obviously  $[V_2 : V_1]$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular. Let  $x \in V_1$ . Then, we have  $x = x\alpha y\beta x$  for some  $y \in V_2$ . We set  $b = y\beta x\alpha y$ . Then,  $b$  is an element of  $V_1$  such that

$$xab\beta x = x\alpha(y\beta x\alpha y)\beta x = (x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha y\beta x = x\alpha y\beta x = x,$$

Then,  $V_1$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular.

Conversely, assume that  $V_1$  and  $[V_2 : V_1]$  are both  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular and  $x \in V_1$ . Hence, there exist  $\hat{\alpha}, \hat{\beta} \in \hat{\Gamma}$  and  $y + V_1 \in [V_2 : V_1]$  such that

$$x + V_1 = (x + V_1)\hat{\alpha}(y + V_1)\hat{\beta}(x + V_1) = x\alpha y\beta x + V_1,$$

where  $\hat{\Gamma} = \{\hat{\gamma} : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$ . Hence,  $x - x\alpha y\beta x \in V_1$  for some  $y \in V_2$ . Since  $V_1$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular,

$$x - x\alpha y\beta x = (x - x\alpha y\beta x)\alpha z\beta(x - x\alpha y\beta x)$$

for some  $z \in V_1$ , from which we conclude that  $x = xab\beta x$ . Therefore,  $V_2$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $V$  be a regular associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra such that every element is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -regular. Then,  $\theta = \{x \in V : x\alpha y = y\alpha x \text{ for all } y \in V, \alpha \in \Gamma : x = x\alpha y\alpha x\}$  is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -regular.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in \theta$ . There exists  $y \in V$  such that  $x = x\alpha y\alpha x$ . We set  $z = y\alpha x\alpha y$ . Then, we obtain that

$$x\alpha z\alpha x = x\alpha(y\alpha x\alpha y)\alpha x = (x\alpha y\alpha x)\alpha y\alpha x = x\alpha y\alpha x = x.$$

We have

$$z\alpha v = y\alpha x\alpha y\alpha v = (y\alpha y)\alpha v\alpha x\alpha x = y\alpha x\alpha y\alpha x\alpha v\alpha y = y\alpha x\alpha v\alpha y.$$

In the same way,  $v\alpha z = y\alpha v\alpha x\alpha y = y\alpha x\alpha v\alpha y = z\alpha v$ , where  $v \in V$ . Therefore,  $z \in \theta$  and  $\theta$  is  $(\alpha, \alpha)$ -regular.  $\square$

Let  $V$  be a  $\Gamma$ -algebra. An equivalence relation  $\rho$  on  $V$  is called *regular* if for every  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2$ , such that  $(a_1, b_1) \in \rho$  and  $(a_2, b_2) \in \rho$ , then  $(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2) \in \rho$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $(a_1\alpha a_2, b_1\alpha b_2) \in \rho$  and is called *strong regular* if  $(a_1 + a_2, b_1 + b_2) \in \rho$  and  $(a_1\alpha a_2, b_1\beta b_2) \in \rho$  for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

Suppose that  $\rho$  is a regular relation on a  $\Gamma$ -algebra. We define a binary operations on  $[V : \rho]$ , the set of all equivalence classes, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\rho(a)\hat{\alpha}\rho(b) &= \rho(a\alpha b), \\ \rho(a) \oplus \rho(b) &= \rho(a + b).\end{aligned}$$

Let  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2 \in V$  and  $\rho(a_1) = \rho(b_1)$  and  $\rho(a_2) = \rho(b_2)$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}(a_1, b_1) \in \rho \text{ and } (a_2, b_2) \in \rho &\implies (a_1\alpha a_2, b_1\alpha b_2) \in \rho \\ &\implies \rho(a_1)\hat{\alpha}\rho(a_2) = \rho(b_1)\hat{\alpha}\rho(b_2)\end{aligned}$$

and  $\rho(a_1) \oplus \rho(a_2) = \rho(b_1) \oplus \rho(b_2)$ .

It is easy to see that  $[V : \rho]$  is a  $\hat{\Gamma}$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\rho$  is a strong regular relation. Then, for every  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

$$\rho(a)\hat{\alpha}\rho(b) = \rho(a)\hat{\beta}\rho(b).$$

Hence,  $[V : \rho]$  is an algebra.

Suppose that  $V$  is a  $\Gamma$ -algebra and  $a$  is an element of  $V$ . We say that  $b$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -inversion of  $a$  if  $a\alpha b\beta a = a$ ,  $b\beta a\alpha b = b$ .

**Example 3.2.** Let  $V = \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\Gamma = \{(r, 0, 0) : r \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . Then,  $V$  is a  $\Gamma$ -algebra with  $\Gamma$ -dimension 1. If  $a = (1, 0, 0)$ ,  $b = (3, 0, 0)$ ,  $\alpha = (2, 0, 0)$ ,  $\beta = (\frac{1}{6}, 0, 0)$ , then  $b$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -inversion of  $a$ .

Suppose that  $V$  is an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra and  $a$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular. Then, there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $b \in V$  such that  $a = a\alpha b\beta a$ . Let  $x = b\beta a\alpha b$ . Then, we observe that

$$\begin{aligned}a\alpha x\beta a &= a\alpha(b\beta a\alpha b)\beta a = (a\alpha b\beta a)\alpha b\beta a = a\alpha b\beta a = a; \\ x\beta a\alpha x &= (b\beta a\alpha b)\beta a\alpha(b\beta a\alpha b) = b\beta(a\alpha b\beta a)\alpha(b\beta a\alpha b) \\ &= b\beta a\alpha b\beta a\alpha b = b\beta(a\alpha b\beta a)\alpha b = b\beta a\alpha b = x.\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.4.** Let  $\rho$  be a regular relation on a regular associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra and  $\rho(a)$  be an idempotent in  $[V : \rho]$ . Then, there exists an idempotent element  $e$  in  $V$  such that  $\rho(a) = \rho(e)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\rho(a)$  is a  $\gamma$ -idempotent element in  $[V : \rho]$ . Then, there exists  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  such that  $\rho(a) = \rho(a)\hat{\gamma}\rho(a) = \rho(a\gamma a)$ . Let  $x$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -inversion of  $a\gamma a$ . Then,

$$(a\gamma a)\alpha x\beta(a\gamma a) = a\gamma a x\beta(a\gamma a)\alpha x = x.$$

Let  $e = a\alpha x\beta a$ . Then,

$$e\gamma e = (a\alpha x\beta a)\gamma(a\alpha x\beta a) = a\alpha(x\beta a\gamma a\alpha x)\beta a = a\alpha x\beta a = e.$$

and so  $e$  is  $\gamma$ -idempotent. We have

$$(a\alpha x\beta a, (a\gamma a)\alpha x\beta(a\gamma a)) \in \rho,$$

and  $(e, a\gamma a) \in \rho$ . Therefore,  $\rho(e) = \rho(a\gamma a)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $V$  be an associative  $\Gamma$ -algebra such that  $\{0\}$  is a semiprime ideal, every family of semiprime ideals has a maximal element and  $[V : P]$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular for all prime ideal of  $V$ . Then,  $V$  is a regular algebra.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  is not regular. Then, there exists  $x \in V$  such that  $x \notin x\Gamma V\Gamma x$ . There exists a semiprime ideal  $P$  in  $V$  such that it is maximal with respect to the property  $x \notin x\Gamma V\Gamma x + P$ . If  $[V : P]$  is regular, then

$$x + P \in (x + P)\hat{\Gamma}[V : P]\hat{\Gamma}(x + P).$$

Hence, there exists  $y + P \in [V : P]$  such that

$$x + P \in (x + P)\hat{\alpha}(y + P)\hat{\beta}(x + P) = x\alpha y\beta x + P.$$

This implies that  $x \in x\alpha y\beta x + P \subseteq x\Gamma y\Gamma x + P$ , which is a contradiction. Thus,  $x \notin x\Gamma V\Gamma x + P$ . Then,  $P$  is not prime. Hence, there exist ideals  $A$  and  $B$  such that  $A\Gamma^\Sigma B \subseteq P$  and  $A \not\subseteq P$ ,  $B \not\subseteq P$ . Now, suppose that  $T_1 = \{v \in V : v\Gamma^\Sigma B \subseteq P\}$  and  $T_2 = \{v \in V : T_1\Gamma^\Sigma v \subseteq P\}$ . We see that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are semiprime.

Now, let  $A_1$  and  $A_2$  be two ideals such that  $A_1\Gamma^\Sigma A_1 \subseteq T_1$ . Then,  $(A_1\Gamma^\Sigma A_1)\Gamma^\Sigma B$  and  $A_1\Gamma^\Sigma(A_1\Gamma^\Sigma B) \subseteq P$ . Since  $P$  is prime and  $B \not\subseteq P$ , implies that  $A_1 \subseteq P$ . In the same way, one can see that  $T_2$  is a semiprime ideal. On the other hand

$$(T_1 \cap T_2)\Gamma^\Sigma(T_1 \cap T_2) \subseteq T_1\Gamma^\Sigma T_2 \subseteq P.$$

Hence,  $T_1 \cap T_2 \subseteq P$ . Since  $A \not\subseteq P$  and  $B \not\subseteq P$ ,  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  properly contain  $P$ . Because the maximality of  $P$ ,  $[V : T_1]$  and  $[V : T_2]$  are regular. Thus, there exist  $x_1, x_2 \in V$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} x + P &= (x + P)\hat{\alpha}(x_1 + P)\hat{\beta}(x + P), \\ x + P &= (x + P)\hat{\alpha}(x_2 + P)\hat{\beta}(x + P). \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $x - x\alpha x_1\beta x \in T_1$  and  $x - x\alpha x_2\beta x \in T_2$ . This implies that

$$x - x\alpha(x_1 + x_2 - x_1\beta x\alpha x_2)\beta x = (x - x\alpha x_1\beta x) - (x - x\alpha x_1\beta x)\alpha x\beta x \in T_1$$

and

$$x - x\alpha(x_1 + x_2 - x_1\beta x\alpha x_2)\beta x = (x - x\alpha x_2\beta x) - x\alpha x_1\beta(x - x\alpha x_2\beta x) \in T_2.$$



We conclude that  $x \in x\Gamma V\Gamma x + T_1 \cap T_2 \subseteq x\Gamma V\Gamma x + P$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $V$  must be regular.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $V$  be an associative unital  $\Gamma$ -algebra and set*

$$\Theta = \left\{ x \in V : V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V \text{ is an } (\alpha, \beta)\text{-regular ideal} \right\}.$$

*Then,  $\Theta$  is an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideal and  $[V : \Theta]$  has no non-zero  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideal.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x, y \in \Theta$ . Then,  $V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V$  and  $V\Gamma\Sigma y\Gamma\Sigma V$  are  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideals. By Lemma 4.3,  $V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V + V\Gamma\Sigma y\Gamma\Sigma V$  is a regular ideal. Since

$$V\Gamma\Sigma(x + y)\Gamma\Sigma V \subseteq V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V + V\Gamma\Sigma y\Gamma\Sigma V,$$

$V\Gamma\Sigma(x + y)\Gamma\Sigma V$  is regular. In the same way, we can see that  $\Theta\Gamma V, V\Gamma\Theta \subseteq \Theta$ .

Let  $J$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideal of  $V$  and  $x \in J$ . Then,,

$$V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V \subseteq V\Gamma\Sigma J\Gamma\Sigma V \subseteq J.$$

Hence,  $V\Gamma\Sigma x\Gamma\Sigma V$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular and  $J \subseteq \Theta$ . Let  $[J : \Theta]$  be an  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideal of  $[V : \Theta]$ . Since  $\Theta$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular,  $J$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular and  $J \subseteq \Theta$ . This implies that  $[V : \Theta]$  has not non-zero  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -regular ideal.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $V$  be a regular  $\Gamma$ -algebra. Then, the dimension and the  $\Gamma$ -dimension of  $V$  are equal.*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in V$ . Since  $V$  is regular there exist  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$  and  $y \in V$  such that  $x = x\alpha y\beta x$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

#### 4. $T$ -functor and $H$ -system

The category  $\Gamma AL$  is the category whose objects are  $\Gamma$ -algebras. For  $\Gamma_1$ -algebra  $V_1$  and  $\Gamma_2$ -algebra  $V_2$ ,  $Mor(V_1, V_2)$  is the set of all  $(\Gamma_1, \Gamma_2)$ -epimorphisms. The composition of morphisms denotes the usual composition of homomorphisms and so satisfies the associative law.  $(Id_V, Id_\Gamma) : (V, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma)$  is the identity map satisfies the required property  $(Id_V, Id_\Gamma) \circ (\varphi, f) = (\varphi, f)$  for every  $(\varphi, f) \in Mor(V', V)$  and  $(\varphi, f) \circ (Id_V, Id_\Gamma) = (\varphi, f)$ , for every  $(\varphi, f) \in Mor(V, V')$ . The category  $AL$  is the category whose objects are algebras and  $Mor(A_1, A_2)$  is the set of all algebra homomorphisms from  $A_1$  to  $A_2$  and it satisfies the associative law.

Let  $V$  be a  $\Gamma$ -algebra and

$$\Delta_V = \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) : \alpha_i \in \Gamma, x_i \in V, n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Then, the relation  $\theta$  on  $\Delta_V$  defined by

$$\left( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) \right) \theta \left( \prod_{j=1}^m (y_j, \beta_j) \right) \text{ if and only if } \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \alpha_i x = \sum_{j=1}^m y_j \beta_j x, \forall x \in V,$$

is an equivalence relation. We denote the equivalence class containing  $\prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i)$  by  $\theta \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) \right)$ . Then,  $[\Delta_V : \theta]$  forms a vector space. Now, we define a multiplication on  $[\Delta_V : \theta]$  as follows:

$$\theta \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) \right) \theta \left( \prod_{j=1}^n (y_j, \beta_j) \right) = \theta \left( \prod_{i,j} (x_i \alpha_i y_j, \beta_j) \right).$$

We denote this algebra by  $V_L$  and is called the *left operator algebra*. In the same way, we can define the *right operator algebra*.

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  be  $\Gamma_1$ - and  $\Gamma_2$ - algebras, respectively. If  $(\varphi, f) : (V_1, \Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (V_2, \Gamma_2)$  is an epimorphism, then there exists a unique homomorphism  $\overline{(\varphi, f)} : [\Delta_{V_1} : \theta_1] \longrightarrow [\Delta_{V_2} : \theta_2]$  such that the following diagram is commutative:*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V_1, \Gamma_1) & \xrightarrow{(\varphi, f)} & (V_2, \Gamma_2) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ [\Delta_{V_1}, \theta_1] & \xrightarrow{\overline{(\varphi, f)}} & [\Delta_{V_2}, \theta_2] \end{array}$$

Moreover, if  $(\varphi, f)$  is an isomorphism, then  $\overline{(\varphi, f)}$  is an isomorphism.

*Proof.* We define  $\overline{(\varphi, f)} : [\Delta_{V_1}, \theta_1] \longrightarrow [\Delta_{V_2}, \theta_2]$  by

$$\overline{(\varphi, f)} \left( \theta \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) \right) \right) = \theta \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (\varphi(x_i), f(\alpha_i)) \right),$$

for every  $\theta \left( \prod_{i=1}^n (x_i, \alpha_i) \right) \in [\Delta_{V_1}, \theta_1]$ . It is easy to see that this function is well-defined and homomorphism. One can see that if  $(\varphi, f)$  is an isomorphism, then induced homomorphism  $\overline{(\varphi, f)}$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *There is a covariant functor between the subcategory of  $\Gamma$ -algebras and the category of algebras.*

*Proof.* By Proposition 4.1, it is straightforward.  $\square$

Let  $(\varphi_1, f_1) : (V_1, \Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (V_2, \Gamma_2)$  and  $(\varphi_2, f_2) : (V_1, \Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (V_2, \Gamma_2)$  be homomorphisms. We define

$$S(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^n \varphi_i(v_r) f_j(\alpha_r) v : v_r \in V_1, \alpha_r \in \Gamma_1, n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq i, j \leq 2, i \neq j \right\}.$$

This homomorphism is said to be *S-conjugate* if  $S(\varphi_1, \varphi_2) = 0$ .

Let  $V_1, V_2, \dots, V_n$  and  $V$  be  $\Gamma_1$ -,  $\Gamma_2$ -,  $\dots$ ,  $\Gamma_n$ - and  $\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \times \Gamma_2 \dots \Gamma_n$ - algebras, respectively, and suppose that we are given  $(\Gamma_i, \Gamma)$ - homomorphisms  $(\sigma_i, \chi_i) : (V_i, \Gamma_i) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  and  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_i)$ - homomorphism  $(\pi_i, \vartheta_i) : (V, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V_i, \Gamma_i)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  such that  $\pi_j \sigma_i = \delta_{ij}$  and  $\sum \sigma_i \pi_i = Id_V$ . Then,  $V$  is called an *H-system*.

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $V$  be an  $H$ -system and  $(\varphi_i, f_i) : (V_i, \Gamma_i) \longrightarrow (W, \Gamma)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq n)$  are given. Then, there exists a unique homomorphism  $(\varphi, f) : (V, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (W, \Gamma)$  such that  $(\varphi, f) \circ (\sigma_i, \chi_i) = (\varphi_i, f_i)$ . If  $(\psi_i, g_i) : (W, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V_i, \Gamma_i)$ , then there exists a unique homomorphism  $(\psi, g) : (W, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma)$  such that  $(\psi, g) \circ (\psi_i, \vartheta_i) = (\psi_i, g_i)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\varphi, g) : (W, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma)$  defined by  $\varphi = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \phi_j$ . Then,

$$\varphi \sigma_i = \left( \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \phi_j \right) \sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \phi_j \sigma_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \varphi_j \phi_j \delta_{ij} = f_i.$$

It is easy to see that this homomorphism is unique.

Now, we define  $\psi : W \longrightarrow V$  by  $\psi = \sum_{j=1}^n \sigma_j \psi_j$ . This is a unique homomorphism such that  $\pi_i \psi = \psi_i$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a subcategory of  $\Gamma AL$  such that for every  $H$ -system  $V$  of  $\Omega$ ,  $\Delta_V$  is an  $H$ -system in  $AL$ . Then, for every morphism  $\varphi_1$  and  $\varphi_2$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $T(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = T(\varphi_1) + T(\varphi_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\varphi_i, f_i) : (V_i, \Gamma_i) \longrightarrow (W_i, \Gamma_i)$ ,  $(1 \leq i \leq 2)$  are morphisms. Since  $\Delta_{V_1}$  is an  $H$ -system of  $AL$ , we have  $T(\pi_1)T(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$  and  $T(\pi_2)T(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2)$  are identity morphisms. Hence,

$$T(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) = T(\sigma_1)T(\pi_1)T(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) + T(\sigma_2)T(\pi_2)T(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2).$$

We define  $\varphi : W \longrightarrow V_2$  by  $\varphi = \varphi_1 \pi_1 + \varphi_2 \pi_2$ . Then,  $\varphi \sigma_1 = \varphi_1$  and  $\varphi \sigma_2 = \varphi_2$ . Moreover,  $\varphi(\sigma_1 + \sigma_2) = \varphi_1 + \varphi_2$ . Hence,

$$T(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = T(\varphi_1) + T(\varphi_2).$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $0 \longrightarrow (V_1, \Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1, f_1)} (V, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{(\pi_2, g_2)} (V_2, \Gamma_2) \longrightarrow 0$  be an exact sequence in  $\Gamma AL$ . Then, the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) *There exists  $(\Gamma_2, \Gamma)$ -homomorphism  $(\sigma_2, f_2) : (V_2, \Gamma_2) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma)$  and  $(\Gamma, \Gamma_1)$ -homomorphism  $(\pi_1, g_1) : (V, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V_1, \Gamma_1)$  such that  $V$  is an  $H$ -system.*
- (2) *There exists  $\Gamma$ -subalgebra of  $V_1$  such that  $V = (\sigma_1, f_1)(V_1, \Gamma_1) \oplus V_1$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $0 \longrightarrow (V_1, \Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1, f_1)} (V, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{(\pi_2, g_2)} (V_2, \Gamma_2) \longrightarrow 0$  be a split exact sequence in  $\Gamma AL$ . Then,  $0 \longrightarrow \Delta_{V_1} \xrightarrow{T(\sigma_1, f_1)} \Delta_V \xrightarrow{T(\pi_2, g_2)} \Delta_{V_2} \longrightarrow 0$  is a split exact sequence in  $AL$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let for every split exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow (V_1, \Gamma_1) \longrightarrow (V, \Gamma) \longrightarrow (V_2, \Gamma_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

*implies that  $0 \longrightarrow \Delta_{V_1} \longrightarrow \Delta_V \longrightarrow \Delta_{V_2} \longrightarrow 0$  is a split exact sequence. Then, for every homomorphism  $\varphi_1, \varphi_2$ , we have  $T(\varphi_1 + \varphi_2) = T(\varphi_1) + T(\varphi_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $V$  is an  $H$ -system. This implies that

$$0 \longrightarrow (V_1, \Gamma_1) \xrightarrow{(\sigma_1, f_1)} (V, \Gamma) \xrightarrow{(\pi_2, g_2)} (V_2, \Gamma_2) \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence. By hypothesis

$$0 \longrightarrow \Delta_{V_1} \xrightarrow{T(\sigma_1, f_1)} \Delta_V \xrightarrow{T(\pi_2, g_2)} \Delta_{V_2} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence. In the same way

$$0 \longrightarrow \Delta_{V_2} \xrightarrow{T(\sigma_2, f_2)} \Delta_V \xrightarrow{T(\pi_1, g_1)} \Delta_{V_1} \longrightarrow 0$$

is a split exact sequence. Hence,

$$T(\pi_2, g_2)T(\sigma_1, f_1) = T((\pi_2, g_2)(\sigma_1, f_1)) = Id,$$

$$T(\pi_1, g_1)T(\sigma_2, f_2) = T((\pi_1, g_1)(\sigma_2, f_2)) = Id.$$

By a routine process,  $T(V, \Gamma)$  is an  $H$ -system. This completes the proof.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] *S. Chakraborty and A.C. Paul*, On Jordan isomorphisms of 2-torsion free prime gamma rings, Novi Sad J. Math., **40** (2010), 1-5.
- [2] *W.E. Barnes*, On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa, Pacific J. Math., **18** (1966), 411-422.
- [3] *S. Kyuno*, On prime  $\Gamma$ -rings, Pacific J. Math., **75** (1978), 185-190.
- [4] *J. Luh*, On the theory of simple  $\Gamma$ -rings, Michigan Math. J., **16** (1969), 65-75.
- [5] *N. Nobusawa*, On generalization of the ring theory, Osaka J. Math., **1** (1978), 185-190.
- [6] *D. Özden, M.A. Öztürk and Y.B. Jun*, Permuting tri-derivations in prime and semi-prime gamma rings, Kyungpook Math. J., **46** (2006), 153-167.
- [7] *A.C. Paul and S. Uddin*, On Artinian gamma rings, Aligarh Bull. Math., **28** (2009), 15-19.