

HYBRID ITERATIVE TECHNIQUES APPROACH TO A MINIMIZATION PROBLEM

Lu Zheng¹, Tzu-Chien Yin²

This paper is to investigate iterative techniques for solving a constrained convex minimization problem in Hilbert spaces. We propose a hybrid gradient projection method for solving this constrained convex minimization problem. Strong convergence result is obtained under some additional conditions.

Keywords: minimization problem, gradient projection, hybrid method, strong convergence.

MSC2020: 90C25, 47H09.

1. Introduction

Let \mathcal{H} be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and induced norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let \mathcal{C} a nonempty closed and convex subset of \mathcal{H} . Recall that the (nearest point or metric) projection from \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{C} , is denoted by $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ which assigns, to each $q^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{H}$, the unique point $P_{\mathcal{C}}(q^{\dagger}) \in \mathcal{C}$ fulfilling the following inequality

$$\|q^{\dagger} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(q^{\dagger})\| \leq \|p - q^{\dagger}\|, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{C}.$$

It is well known that $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ satisfies the following basic result: for all $q^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\langle q^{\dagger} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(q^{\dagger}), p - P_{\mathcal{C}}(q^{\dagger}) \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (1)$$

In this paper, our purpose aims to solve the following constrained convex minimization problem:

$$\min_{z^{\dagger} \in \mathcal{C}} \varphi(z^{\dagger}), \quad (2)$$

where $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a real-valued convex function.

Throughout, we assume that the constrained convex minimization problem (2) is consistent, i.e., its solution set is nonempty. Denote the solution set of (2) by $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$.

Assume that the convex function $\varphi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Fréchet differentiable. Use $\nabla \varphi$ to denote the gradient of φ . It is well known that $q^{\dagger} \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$ is equivalent to solving the following variational inequality problem

$$\langle \nabla \varphi(q^{\dagger}), p - q^{\dagger} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (3)$$

Note that the above optimality condition (3) can be converted into the following inequality

$$\langle q^{\dagger} - (q^{\dagger} - \nabla \varphi(q^{\dagger})), p - q^{\dagger} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \mathcal{C}. \quad (4)$$

With the help of the characteristic inequality (1) of the projection $P_{\mathcal{C}}$, inequality (4) is equivalent to the following fixed point equation

$$q^{\dagger} = P_{\mathcal{C}}(q^{\dagger} - \varpi \nabla \varphi(q^{\dagger})), \quad (5)$$

¹School of Business, Trinity Western University, Vancouver V2Y 1Y1, Canada; MBA Center of Tianjin University of Finance and Economics, Tianjin 300387, China, e-mail: Loriluz@163.com

²Corresponding author. Research Center for Interneuronal Computing, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, e-mail: yintzuchien@mail.cmuh.org.tw

where ϖ is an any positive constant.

Based on the fixed point equation (5), we can apply the well-known gradient projection method to solve the minimization problem (2). The gradient projection method defines an iterative sequence $\{x_n\}$ by the following form

$$x_0 \in \mathcal{C}, x_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{C}}(x_n - \varpi \nabla \varphi(x_n)), \quad n \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

In general, if $\nabla \varphi$ is only assumed to be Lipschitz continuous, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (6) is weak convergent in an infinite dimensional Hilbert spaces. If $\nabla \varphi$ is Lipschitz and strongly monotone, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (6) strongly converges to a minimizer of φ in \mathcal{C} . The gradient projection algorithm (6) is a powerful tool for solving the constrained convex optimization problems ([1, 6, 9–11, 13–15, 17, 24, 47]), fixed point problems ([3, 8, 12, 16, 19–23, 40]), variational inequality problems ([2, 28, 35–38, 41–43, 46, 48, 49]), equilibrium problems ([30, 45, 50]), and split feasibility problems ([4, 5, 27, 29, 31–34, 39, 44]). Many scholars constructed and modified various projection iterative algorithms for solving (2). Especially, Xu [26] suggested a viscosity-type gradient projective algorithm and proved that the proposed algorithm converges strongly to a minimizer of (2).

In this paper, we continue to study iterative algorithms for solving the constrained convex minimization problem (2). We propose a hybrid gradient projection method for solving this constrained convex minimization problem. Strong convergence result is obtained under some additional conditions.

2. Preliminaries

Let \mathcal{C} be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Use \rightarrow and \rightharpoonup to stand for strong convergence and weak convergence, respectively. Use $\omega_w(x_n) := \{x \in \mathcal{H} : \text{there exists a subsequence } \{x_{n_i}\} \subset \{x_n\} \text{ such that } x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup x\}$ to mean the weak ω -limit set of the sequence $\{x_n\}$.

Definition 2.1. An operator $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be Lipschitz continuous if

$$\|U(x) - U(y)\| \leq \varsigma \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{C},$$

where $\varsigma > 0$ is a constant.

We call U nonexpansive when $\varsigma = 1$. It is well-known that the projection $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ is nonexpansive. If $L < 1$, then U is said to be contractive.

Definition 2.2. An operator $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be averaged, if and only if U can be written as the average of the identity I and a nonexpansive operator; namely,

$$U = (1 - \gamma)I + \gamma S \quad (7)$$

where $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ is a constant and S is a nonexpansive operator.

In general, we call U γ -averaged if (7) holds. In the sequel, we use $\text{Fix}(U)$ to mean the fixed point set of U .

Definition 2.3. Recall that an operator $U : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be firmly nonexpansive, if

$$\|U(p^\dagger) - U(q^\dagger)\|^2 \leq \langle U(p^\dagger) - U(q^\dagger), p^\dagger - q^\dagger \rangle$$

for all $p^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$ and $q^\dagger \in \mathcal{C}$.

It is well known that the metric projection $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ is firmly nonexpansive.

Definition 2.4. Recall that an operator $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is said to be strongly positive if there exists a constant $\sigma > 0$ such that $\langle \phi(x), x \rangle \geq \sigma \|x\|^2$, $\forall x \in \mathcal{H}$.

Lemma 2.1 ([18]). Let $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ be two bounded sequences in \mathcal{H} . Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- $x_{n+1} = (1 - \eta_n)y_n + \eta_n x_n, \forall n \geq 0;$
- $\eta_n \in (0, 1)$ and $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta_n < 1;$
- $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.$

Then, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$.

Lemma 2.2 ([7]). *Let \mathcal{C} be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Let $S : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ be a nonexpansive operator. If $\text{Fix}(S) \neq \emptyset$, then S is demiclosed, namely, $x_n \rightharpoonup p^\dagger$ and $x_n - Sx_n \rightarrow 0$ imply that $p^\dagger \in \text{Fix}(S)$.*

Lemma 2.3 ([25]). *Suppose the following conditions hold:*

- $\alpha_n \in (0, +\infty)$, $\varpi_n \in (0, 1)$ and $\beta_n \in \mathbb{R}$;
- $\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 - \varpi_n)\alpha_n + \beta_n$;
- $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varpi_n = \infty$ and $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n/\varpi_n \leq 0$ or $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\beta_n| < \infty$.

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0$.

3. Main results

In this section, we will state and prove our main results.

Let \mathcal{C} be a nonempty closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Assume that $\varphi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Fréchet differentiable convex function with the gradient $\nabla\varphi$ being ς -Lipschitz continuous.

Next, we propose a hybrid iterative algorithm for solving the minimization problem (2).

Algorithm 3.1. *Assume that $\psi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a contractive operator with coefficient $\delta \in (0, 1)$. Assume that $\phi : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$ is a strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient σ . Assume that $\{\varpi_n\} \subset (0, \frac{2}{\varsigma})$ and $\{\tau_n\} \subset (0, 1)$ are two real number sequences. Assume that μ is a positive constant. For a given initial point $x_0 \in \mathcal{C}$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ iteratively by the following pattern*

$$x_{n+1} = P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n), \quad n \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Now, we demonstrate the convergence of Algorithm 3.1.

Theorem 3.1. *Suppose that $\text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi) \neq \emptyset$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

(C1): $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \tau_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=0}^{+\infty} \tau_n = +\infty$ and $0 < \mu < \frac{\sigma}{\delta}$;
(C2): $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \varpi_n < \frac{2}{\varsigma}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} (\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n) = 0$.

Then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (8) converges to a minimizer $\hat{x} \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$ which is the unique solution of the following VI

$$\langle \phi(\hat{x}) - \mu \psi(\hat{x}), x - \hat{x} \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall x \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi). \quad (9)$$

Proof. Let $x^* \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$. Note that $x^* \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi) \Leftrightarrow x^* = P_{\mathcal{C}}(x^* - \varpi \nabla\varphi(x^*))$, $\forall \varpi > 0$. Thanks to condition (C2), we obtain $x^* = P_{\mathcal{C}}(x^* - \varpi_n \nabla\varphi(x^*))$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $P_{\mathcal{C}}$ and

$I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi$ are nonexpansive ([26]), from (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| &= \|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n] - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)x^*\| \\
&\leq \|P_{\mathcal{C}}[\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n] - P_{\mathcal{C}}[x^*]\| \\
&\leq \|\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n - x^*\| \\
&= \|\tau_n \mu(\psi(x_n) - \psi(x^*)) + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - x^*) + \mu \tau_n \psi(x^*) - \tau_n \phi(x^*)\| \\
&\leq \tau_n \mu \|\psi(x_n) - \psi(x^*)\| + |I - \tau_n \phi| \|x_n - x^*\| + \tau_n \|\mu \psi(x^*) - \phi(x^*)\| \\
&\leq \mu \delta \tau_n \|x_n - x^*\| + (1 - \sigma \tau_n) \|x_n - x^*\| + \tau_n \|\mu \psi(x^*) - \phi(x^*)\| \\
&= [1 - (\sigma - \mu \delta) \tau_n] \|x_n - x^*\| + \tau_n \|\mu \psi(x^*) - \phi(x^*)\| \\
&\leq \max \left\{ \|x_n - x^*\|, \frac{\|\mu \psi(x^*) - \phi(x^*)\|}{\sigma - \mu \delta} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

By induction, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - x^*\| \leq \max \left\{ \|x_0 - x^*\|, \frac{\|\mu \psi(x^*) - \phi(x^*)\|}{\sigma - \mu \delta} \right\}.$$

It follows that the sequence $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. It is obviously that the sequences $\{\psi(x_n)\}$, $\{\phi(x_n)\}$ and $\{\nabla \varphi(x_n)\}$ are all bounded. Observe that $P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)$ is $\frac{2 + \varsigma \varpi_n}{4}$ -averaged for each $n \geq 0$. Then, according to the definition of the averaged operator, we have

$$P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi) = \frac{2 - \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} I + \frac{2 + \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} U_n, \quad (10)$$

where U_n is a nonexpansive operator.

Set $v_n = \tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n$ for all $n \geq 0$. Taking into account of (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
x_{n+1} &= P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] \\
&= \frac{2 - \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} x_n + \frac{2 + \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} U_n P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] + \frac{2 - \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} (P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - x_n) \\
&= \frac{2 - \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} x_n + \frac{2 + \varsigma \varpi_n}{4} (U_n P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] + \frac{2 - \varsigma \varpi_n}{2 + \varsigma \varpi_n} (P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - x_n)).
\end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Using the definition of v_n , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|v_{n+1} - v_n\| &= \|\tau_{n+1} \mu \psi(x_{n+1}) + (I - \tau_{n+1} \phi)x_{n+1} - \tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) - (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n\| \\
&\leq \tau_{n+1} \mu \|\psi(x_{n+1}) - \psi(x_n)\| + \mu |\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n| \|\psi(x_n)\| \\
&\quad + |I - \tau_{n+1} \phi| \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n| \|\phi(x_n)\| \\
&\leq [1 - (\sigma - \mu \delta) \tau_{n+1}] \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \mu |\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n| \|\psi(x_n)\| \\
&\quad + |\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n| \|\phi(x_n)\|,
\end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

and

$$\|v_n - x_n\| = \|\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n - x_n\| \leq \tau_n (\mu \|\psi(x_n)\| + \|\phi(x_n)\|). \quad (13)$$

From (10), we receive

$$\begin{aligned}
U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] &= \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n+1}\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]) \\
&= \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n+1}\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]) \\
&\quad - \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]) \\
&\quad + (\frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}} - \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n})(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] \\
&\quad - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]).
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| &\leq \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n+1}\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] \\
&\quad - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| + \frac{\varsigma|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| \\
&\quad + |\frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}} - \frac{4}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}|\|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] \\
&\quad - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\|
\end{aligned}$$

Then,

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| &\leq \frac{4|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|\nabla\varphi(P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n])\| + \frac{\varsigma|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| \\
&\quad + \frac{4\varsigma|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{(2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1})(2 + \varsigma\varpi_n)}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| \\
&\quad - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Set $y_n = U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}(P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - x_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$. By virtue of (12), (13) and (14), we acquire

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| &= \|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_{n+1}] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}(P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_{n+1}] - x_{n+1}) \\
&\quad - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}(P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - x_n)\| \\
&\leq \|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_{n+1}] - U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| + \|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| \\
&\quad + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_{n+1}] - x_{n+1}\| + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - x_n\| \\
&\leq \|U_{n+1}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n] - U_nP_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|v_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \\
&\quad + \|v_{n+1} - v_n\| + \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_n}\|v_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq [1 - (\sigma - \mu\delta)\tau_{n+1}]\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \mu|\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n|\|\psi(x_n)\| \\
&\quad + |\tau_{n+1} - \tau_n|\|\phi(x_n)\| + \tau_n(\mu\|\psi(x_n)\| + \|\phi(x_n)\|) + \tau_{n+1}(\mu\|\psi(x_{n+1})\| \\
&\quad + \|\phi(x_{n+1})\|) + \frac{4|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|\nabla\varphi(P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n])\| + \frac{\varsigma|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1}}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| \\
&\quad + \frac{4\varsigma|\varpi_{n+1} - \varpi_n|}{(2 + \varsigma\varpi_{n+1})(2 + \varsigma\varpi_n)}\|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n\nabla\varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\| - \frac{2 - \varsigma\varpi_n}{4}P_{\mathcal{C}}[v_n]\|.
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Since the sequences $\{x_n\}$, $\{\psi(x_n)\}$, $\{\phi(x_n)\}$ and $\{\nabla\varphi(x_n)\}$ are bounded, with the help of (15), we can deduce

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0. \quad (16)$$

Owing to (11), we have $x_{n+1} = \frac{2-\varsigma\varpi_n}{4}x_n + \frac{2+\varsigma\varpi_n}{4}y_n$. By condition (C2), we get $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2-\varsigma\varpi_n}{4} \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2-\varsigma\varpi_n}{4} < 1$. In the light of (16) and Lemma 2.1, we conclude

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2+\varsigma\varpi_n}{4} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0. \quad (17)$$

In terms of (8), we attain

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)x_n\| \\ &= \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n) \\ &\quad - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)x_n\| \\ &\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + \tau_n(\mu \|\psi(x_n)\| + \|\phi(x_n)\|). \end{aligned}$$

This together with condition (C1) and (17) implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_n - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)x_n\| = 0. \quad (18)$$

Next we show that $\omega_w(x_n) \subset \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$. Select any $\tilde{x} \in \omega_w(x_n)$. Since $\{x_n\}$ and $\{\varpi_n\}$ are bounded, we can choose a common subsequence $\{n_i\} \subset \{n\}$ such that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \tilde{x}$ and $\varpi_{n_i} \rightarrow \varpi \in (0, \frac{2}{\varsigma})$ as $i \rightarrow +\infty$.

Observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_i} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i}\| &\leq \|x_{n_i} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n_i} \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i}\| + \|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n_i} \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i} \\ &\quad - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i}\| \\ &\leq \|x_{n_i} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_{n_i} \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i}\| + |\varpi_{n_i} - \varpi| \|\nabla \varphi(x_{n_i})\|, \end{aligned}$$

which together with (18) implies that

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow +\infty} \|x_{n_i} - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi \nabla \varphi)x_{n_i}\| = 0. \quad (19)$$

Since $\varpi \in (0, \frac{2}{\varsigma})$, $P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi \nabla \varphi)$ is nonexpansive. Noting that $x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup \tilde{x}$, applying Lemma 2.2 to (19), we conclude that $\tilde{x} \in \text{Fix}(P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi \nabla \varphi)) = \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$. Therefore, $\omega_w(x_n) \subset \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$.

It is clear that the VI (9) has a unique solution which is denoted by \hat{x} . Next, we show $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x_n - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0$. In fact, we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x_n - \hat{x} \rangle = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x_{n_k} - \hat{x} \rangle \quad (20)$$

Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ is bounded, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_{k_j}}\}$ of $\{x_{n_k}\}$ such that $x_{n_{k_j}} \rightharpoonup x^\dagger \in \text{Sol}(\mathcal{C}, \varphi)$. Note that \hat{x} solves (9). Hence,

$$\lim_{j \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x_{n_{k_j}} - \hat{x} \rangle = \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x^\dagger - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0. \quad (21)$$

Combining (20) and (21), we deduce $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), x_n - \hat{x} \rangle \leq 0$. This together with $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ implies that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), \tau_n \mu (\psi(x_n) - \psi(\hat{x})) + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - \hat{x}) \rangle \leq 0. \quad (22)$$

Finally, we show $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$. From (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - \hat{x}\|^2 &= \|P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)P_{\mathcal{C}}(\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n) - P_{\mathcal{C}}(I - \varpi_n \nabla \varphi)\hat{x}\|^2 \\
&\leq \|\tau_n \mu \psi(x_n) + (I - \tau_n \phi)x_n - \hat{x}\|^2 \\
&= \|\tau_n \mu(\psi(x_n) - \psi(\hat{x})) + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - \hat{x}) + \tau_n(\mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}))\|^2 \\
&\leq \|\tau_n \mu(\psi(x_n) - \psi(\hat{x})) + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - \hat{x})\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\tau_n \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), \tau_n \mu(\psi(x_n) - \psi(\hat{x})) + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - \hat{x}) \rangle \\
&\leq [1 - (\sigma - \mu\delta)\tau_n] \|x_n - \hat{x}\|^2 + 2\tau_n \langle \mu \psi(\hat{x}) - \phi(\hat{x}), \tau_n \mu(\psi(x_n) - \psi(\hat{x})) \\
&\quad + (I - \tau_n \phi)(x_n - \hat{x}) \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

According to Lemma 2.3, (22) and (23), we conclude that $x_n \rightarrow \hat{x}$. The proof is completed. \square

4. Conclusions

This paper, we investigate iterative algorithms for solving a constrained convex minimization problem (2) in Hilbert spaces. A popular way for finding a minimizer of (2) is to apply the well-known gradient projection algorithm (6). In this paper, we propose a hybrid gradient projection algorithm [Algorithm 3.1] for solving the constrained convex minimization problem (2). We prove a strong convergence result [Theorem 3.1] under some assumptions. Our result improves and extends some existing results in the literature.

REFERENCES

- [1] *P. H. Calamai and J. J. More*, Projected gradient methods for linearly constrained problems, *Math. Program.*, **39**(1987), 93-116.
- [2] *L. C. Ceng, A. Petrusel, J. C. Yao and Y. Yao*, Systems of variational inequalities with hierarchical variational inequality constraints for Lipschitzian pseudocontractions, *Fixed Point Theory*, **20**(2019), 113-133.
- [3] *V. Dadashi and M. Postolache*, Forward-backward splitting algorithm for fixed point problems and zeros of the sum of monotone operators, *Arab. J. Math.*, **9**(2020), 89-99.
- [4] *Q. L. Dong, L. Liu and Y. Yao*, Self-adaptive projection and contraction methods with alternated inertial terms for solving the split feasibility problem, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **23**(2022), No. 3, 591-605.
- [5] *Q. L. Dong, Y. Peng and Y. Yao*, Alternated inertial projection methods for the split equality problem, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **22**(2021), 53-67.
- [6] *E. M. Gafni and D. P. Bertsekas*, Two metric projection methods for constrained optimization, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **22**(1984), 936-964.
- [7] *K. Goebel and W. A. Kirk*, Topics in Metric Fixed Point Theory, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 28. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1990.
- [8] *R. H. Haghi, M. Postolache and Sh. Rezapour*, On T-stability of the Picard iteration for generalized φ -contraction mappings, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2012**(2012), Article ID 658971.
- [9] *S. He, Z. Wang, Q. Dong, Y. Yao and Y. Tang*, The dual randomized Kaczmarz algorithm, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.* in press.

[10] *K. C. Kiwiel*, The efficiency of subgradient projection methods for convex optimization I: General level methods, SIAM J. Control Optim., **34**(1996), 660-676.

[11] *K. C. Kiwiel*, The efficiency of subgradient projection methods for convex optimization II: Implementations and extensions, SIAM J. Control Optim., **34**(1996), 677-697.

[12] *G. Lopez, V. Martin and H. K. Xu*, Perturbation techniques for nonexpansive mappings with applications, Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl., **10**(2009), 2369-2383.

[13] *B. T. Polyak*, Introduction to Optimization, Optimization Software, New York, 1987.

[14] *D. R. Sahu, A. Pitea and M. Verma*, A new iteration technique for nonlinear operators as concerns convex programming and feasibility problems, Numer. Algorithms, **83**(2020), No. 2, 421-449.

[15] *S. S. Santra, O. Bazighifan and M. Postolache*, New conditions for the oscillation of second-order differential equations with sublinear neutral terms, Mathematics, **9**(2021), No. 11, Article Number 1159.

[16] *W. Sintunavarat and A. Pitea*, On a new iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Berinde mappings with convergence analysis, J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl., **9**(2016), 2553-2562.

[17] *M. Su and H. K. Xu*, Remarks on the gradient-projection algorithm, J. Nonlinear Anal. Optim., **1**(2010), 35-43.

[18] *T. Suzuki*, Strong convergence theorems for infinite families of nonexpansive mappings in general Banach spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **2005**(2005), 103-123.

[19] *B. S. Thakur, D. Thakur and M. Postolache*, A new iterative scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Suzuki's generalized nonexpansive mappings, Appl. Math. Comput., **275**(2016), 147-155.

[20] *B. S. Thakur, D. Thakur and M. Postolache*, A new iteration scheme for approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, Filomat, **30**(2016), 2711-2720.

[21] *D. Thakur, B. S. Thakur and M. Postolache*, New iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, J. Inequal. Appl., **2014**(2014), Article Number 328.

[22] *G. I. Uzarelu, A. Bejenaru and M. Postolache*, Newton-like methods and polynomio-graphic visualization of modified Thakur processes, Int. J. Comput. Math., **98**(2021), No. 5, 1049-1068.

[23] *G. I. Uzarelu and M. Postolache*, Algorithm for generalized hybrid operators with numerical analysis and applications, J. Nonlinear Variational Anal., in press.

[24] *C. Y. Wang and N. H. Xiu*, Convergence of gradient projection methods for generalized convex minimization, Comput. Optim. Appl., **16**(2000), 111-120.

[25] *H. K. Xu*, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, J. London Math. Soc., **66**(2002), 240-256.

[26] *H. K. Xu*, Averaged mappings and the gradient-projection algorithm, J. Optim. Theory Appl., **150**(2011), 360-378.

[27] *Y. Yao, R. P. Agarwal, M. Postolache and Y.C. Liou*, Algorithms with strong convergence for the split common solution of the feasibility problem and fixed point problem, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014**(2014), Art. No. 183.

[28] *Y. Yao, Olaniyi S. Iyiola and Y. Shehu*, Subgradient extragradient method with double inertial steps for variational inequalities, *J. Sci. Comput.*, **90**(2022), Article number 71.

[29] *Y. Yao, L. Leng, M. Postolache and X. Zheng*, Mann-type iteration method for solving the split common fixed point problem, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **18**(2017), 875-882.

[30] *Y. Yao, H. Li and M. Postolache*, Iterative algorithms for split equilibrium problems of monotone operators and fixed point problems of pseudo-contractions, *Optim.*, in press, DOI: 10.1080/02331934.2020.1857757.

[31] *Y. Yao, Y. C. Liou and M. Postolache*, Self-adaptive algorithms for the split problem of the demicontractive operators, *Optim.*, **67**(2018), 1309-1319.

[32] *Y. Yao, Y. C. Liou and J. C. Yao*, Split common fixed point problem for two quasi-pseudocontractive operators and its algorithm construction, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2015**(2015), Article Number 127.

[33] *Y. Yao, Y. C. Liou and J. C. Yao*, Iterative algorithms for the split variational inequality and fixed point problems under nonlinear transformations, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10**(2017), 843-854.

[34] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and Y. C. Liou*, Strong convergence of a self-adaptive method for the split feasibility problem, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**(2013), Article Number 201.

[35] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache, Y. C. Liou and Z. Yao*, Construction algorithms for a class of monotone variational inequalities, *Optim. Lett.*, **10**(2016), 1519-1528.

[36] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and J. C. Yao*, Iterative algorithms for the generalized variational inequalities, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **81**(2019), 3-16.

[37] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and J. C. Yao*, An iterative algorithm for solving the generalized variational inequalities and fixed points problems, *Mathematics*, **7**(2019), Article Number 61.

[38] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and J. C. Yao*, Strong convergence of an extragradient algorithm for variational inequality and fixed point problems, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **82(1)**(2020), 3-12.

[39] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and Z. Zhu*, Gradient methods with selection technique for the multiple-sets split feasibility problem, *Optim.*, **69**(2020), 269-281.

[40] *Y. Yao, X. Qin and J. C. Yao*, Projection methods for firmly type nonexpansive operators, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **19**(2018), 407-415.

[41] *Y. Yao, N. Shahzad, M. Postolache and J. C. Yao*, Convergence of self-adaptive Tseng-type algorithms for split variational inequalities and fixed point problems, *Carpathian J. Math.*, in press.

[42] *Y. Yao, N. Shahzad and J. C. Yao*, Convergence of Tseng-type self-adaptive algorithms

for variational inequalities and fixed point problems, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **37**(2021), 541-550.

- [43] *Y. Yao, Y. Shehu, X. Li and Q. Dong*, A method with inertial extrapolation step for split monotone inclusion problems, *Optim.*, **70**(2021), 741-761.
- [44] *Y. Yao, J. C. Yao, Y. C. Liou and M. Postolache*, Iterative algorithms for split common fixed points of demicontractive operators without priori knowledge of operator norms, *Carpathian J. Math.*, **34**(2018), 459-466.
- [45] *Y. Yao, J. C. Yao and M. Postolache*, An iterate for solving quasi-variational inclusions and nonmonotone equilibrium problems, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, in press.
- [46] *C. Zhang, Z. Zhu, Y. Yao and Q. Liu*, Homotopy method for solving mathematical programs with bounded box-constrained variational inequalities, *Optim.*, **68**(2019), 2293-2312.
- [47] *X. Zhao, M. A. Kobis, Y. Yao and J. C. Yao*, A projected subgradient method for nondifferentiable quasiconvex multiobjective optimization problem, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **190**(2021), 82-107.
- [48] *X. Zhao, J. C. Yao and Y. Yao*, A proximal algorithm for solving split monotone variational inclusions, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **82**(2020), No. 3, 43-52.
- [49] *X. Zhao and Y. Yao*, Modified extragradient algorithms for solving monotone variational inequalities and fixed point problems, *Optim.*, **69**(2020), 1987-2002.
- [50] *L. J. Zhu, Y. Yao and M. Postolache*, Projection methods with linesearch technique for pseudomonotone equilibrium problems and fixed point problems, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, **83**(2021), No. 1, 3-14.