

A NOTE ON PROPER CONFORMAL VECTOR FIELDS IN CYLINDRICALLY SYMMETRIC STATIC SPACE-TIMES

Ghulam SHABBIR,¹ Shaukat IQBAL²

A study of proper conformal vector field in non conformally flat cylindrically symmetric static space-times is given by using the direct integration technique. Using the above mentioned technique we have shown that a very special class of the above space-time admits proper conformal vector fields.

Keywords: direct integration technique; conformal vector fields

1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to find the existence of conformal vector fields in the non conformally flat cylindrically symmetric static space-times. The conformal vector field which preserves the metric structure up to a conformal factor carries significant interest in Einstein's theory of general relativity. It is therefore important to study this symmetry. Different approaches [1, 3-8] were adopted to study conformal vector fields. In this paper a direct integration technique is used to study conformal vector fields in the non conformally flat cylindrically symmetric static space-times. Throughout M represents a four dimensional, connected, Hausdorff space-time manifold with Lorentz metric g of signature $(-, +, +, +)$. The curvature tensor associated with g_{ab} , through the Levi-Civita connection, is denoted in component form by $R^a{}_{bcd}$, the Weyl tensor components are $C^a{}_{bcd}$, and the Ricci tensor components are $R_{ab} = R^c{}_{acb}$. The usual covariant, partial and Lie derivatives are denoted by a semicolon, a comma and the symbol L , respectively. Round and square brackets denote the usual symmetrization and skew-symmetrization, respectively. The space-time M will

¹ Faculty of Engineering Sciences GIK Institute of Engineering Sciences and Technology Topi Swabi, NWFP, PAKISTAN, Email: shabbir@giki.edu.pk

² Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering GIK Institute of Engineering Sciences and Technology Topi Swabi, NWFP, PAKISTAN

be assumed non conformally flat in the sense that the Weyl tensor does not vanish over any non empty open subset of M .

The covariant derivative of any vector field X on M can be decomposed as

$$X_{a;b} = \frac{1}{2}h_{ab} + F_{ab} \quad (1)$$

where $h_{ab} (= h_{ba}) = L_X g_{ab}$ and $F_{ab} (= -F_{ba})$ are symmetric and skew symmetric tensors on M , respectively. A vector field X is called conformal vector field if the local diffeomorphisms ψ_t (for appropriate t) associated with X preserves the metric structure up to a conformal factor i.e. $\psi_t^* g = \phi g$, where ϕ is a nowhere zero positive function on some open subset of M and ψ_t^* is a pullback map on some open subset of M [3]. This is equivalent to the condition that

$$h_{ab} = \phi g_{ab},$$

or, equivalently, if

$$g_{ab;c} X^c + g_{cb} X^c_{,a} + g_{ac} X^c_{,b} = \phi g_{ab}, \quad (2)$$

where $\phi: U \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth conformal function on some subset of M , then X is a called conformal vector field. If ϕ is constant on M , X is homothetic (proper homothetic if $\phi \neq 0$) while if $\phi = 0$, then it is Killing [1]. If the vector field X is conformal, but not homothetic, then it is called proper conformal. It follows from [3] that for a conformal vector field X , the bivector F and the function ϕ satisfy (putting $\phi_a = \phi_{,a}$)

$$F_{ab;c} = R_{abcd} X^d - 2\phi_{[a} g_{b]c}, \quad (3)$$

$$\phi_{a;b} = -\frac{1}{2}L_{ab;c} X^c - \phi L_{ab} + R_{c(a} F_{b)}^c, \quad (4)$$

where $L_{ab} = R_{ab} - \frac{1}{6}R g_{ab}$.

2. Main Results

Consider a cylindrically symmetric static space-time in the usual coordinate system (t, r, θ, z) with line element [2]

$$ds^2 = -e^{V(r)} dt^2 + dr^2 + e^{U(r)} d\theta^2 + e^{W(r)} dz^2. \quad (5)$$

The possible Segre type of the above space-time is {1,111}, or one of its degeneracies. The above space-time (5) admits three linearly independent killing vector fields, which are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \quad (6)$$

A vector field X is said to be a conformal vector field if it satisfies the equation (2). One can write (2) explicitly using (5), and we have

$$V'(r)X^1 + 2X_{,0}^0 = \phi \quad (7)$$

$$X_{,0}^1 - e^{V(r)}X_{,1}^0 = 0 \quad (8)$$

$$e^{U(r)}X_{,0}^2 - e^{V(r)}X_{,2}^0 = 0 \quad (9)$$

$$e^{W(r)}X_{,0}^3 - e^{V(r)}X_{,3}^0 = 0 \quad (10)$$

$$2X_{,1}^1 = \phi \quad (11)$$

$$e^{U(r)}X_{,1}^2 + X_{,2}^1 = 0 \quad (12)$$

$$e^{W(r)}X_{,1}^3 + X_{,3}^1 = 0 \quad (13)$$

$$U'(r)X^1 + 2X_{,2}^2 = \phi \quad (14)$$

$$e^{W(r)}X_{,2}^3 + e^{U(r)}X_{,3}^2 = 0 \quad (15)$$

$$W'(r)X^1 + 2X_{,3}^2 = \phi, \quad (16)$$

where $\phi = \phi(t, r, \theta, z)$. Equations (11), (8), (12) and (13) give

$$\left. \begin{aligned}
X^0 &= \int e^{-V(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int \phi_t dr \right) dr + A_t^1(t, \theta, z) \int e^{-V(r)} dr + A^2(t, \theta, z) \\
X^1 &= \frac{1}{2} \int \phi dr + A^1(t, \theta, z) \\
X^2 &= - \int e^{-U(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int \phi_\theta dr \right) dr - A_\theta^1(t, \theta, z) \int e^{-U(r)} dr + A^3(t, \theta, z) \\
X^3 &= - \int e^{-W(r)} \left(\frac{1}{2} \int \phi_z dr \right) dr - A_z^1(t, \theta, z) \int e^{-W(r)} dr + A^4(t, \theta, z)
\end{aligned} \right\}, \quad (17)$$

where $A^1(t, \theta, z), A^2(t, \theta, z), A^3(t, \theta, z)$ and $A^4(t, \theta, z)$ are functions of integration. In order to determine $A^1(t, \theta, z), A^2(t, \theta, z), A^3(t, \theta, z)$ and $A^4(t, \theta, z)$ we need to integrate the remaining six equations. To avoid details, here we will present only results, when the above space-time (5) admits proper conformal vector fields. It follows after some tedious and lengthy calculations that there exist two cases when the above space-time (5) admits proper conformal Killing vector fields which are:

Case (1)

In this case the space-time (5) becomes

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + M^2(r)(-e^{-2d_7 N(r)} dt^2 + e^{-2d_{11} N(r)} d\theta^2 + e^{-2d_{14} N(r)} dz^2), \quad (18)$$

where $M(r) = \frac{1}{2} \int \phi(r) dr + d_9$ and $N(r) = \int \frac{1}{M(r)} dr$. The conformal

vector fields in this case are

$$X^0 = d_7 t + d_8, \quad X^1 = M(r), \quad X^2 = d_{11} \theta + d_{12}, \quad X^3 = d_{14} z + d_{15}, \quad (19)$$

where

$$d_7, d_8, d_9, d_{11}, d_{12}, d_{14}, d_{15} \in IR (d_7 \neq 0, d_{11} \neq 0, d_{14} \neq 0, d_7 \neq d_{11}, d_7 \neq d_{14},$$

$d_{11} \neq d_{14}$) and the conformal factor is $\phi(r) = 2 \frac{dM}{dr}$. One can write the above equation (19), after subtracting Killing vector fields (which are given in equation (6)) as

$$X = (d_7 t, M(r), d_{11} \theta, d_{14} z). \quad (20)$$

Here, the above space-time (18) admits four independent conformal vector fields (see equation (19)) in which one is proper conformal which is given in

equation (20) and three are independent Killing vector fields which are given in equation (6).

Case (2)

This case is the sub case of case (1). In this case we consider $d_{11} = d_{14}$ and $d_7 \neq d_{11}$ and the space-time (18) becomes

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + M^2(r)(-e^{-2d_7 N(r)} dt^2 + e^{-2d_{11} N(r)} (d\theta^2 + dz^2)). \quad (21)$$

The above space-time (21) admits four independent Killing vector fields which are

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, z \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} - \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial z}. \quad (22)$$

The conformal vector fields in this case are

$$X^0 = d_7 t + d_8, X^1 = M(r), X^2 = d_{11} \theta + d_{16} z + d_{12}, X^3 = d_{11} z - d_{16} \theta + d_{15}, \quad (23)$$

where $d_7, d_8, d_{11}, d_{12}, d_{15}, d_{16} \in IR$ ($d_7 \neq 0, d_{11} \neq 0, d_7 \neq d_{11}$) and the conformal factor is $\phi(r) = 2 \frac{dM}{dr}$. One can write the above equation (23), after subtracting

Killing vector fields (see equation (22)) as

$$X = (d_7 t, M(r), d_{11} \theta, d_{11} z). \quad (24)$$

The above space-time (21) admits five independent conformal vector fields in which four independent Killing vector fields which are given in equation (22) and one proper conformal vector field which is given in equation (24). The cases when $d_7 = d_{11}$, $d_7 \neq d_{14}$ and $d_7 = d_{14}$, $d_{11} \neq d_{14}$ are exactly the same.

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] *G. S. Hall*, conformal vector fields and conformal type collineations in space-times, General Relativity and Gravitation, **32** (2000) 933-941.
- [2] *H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M. A. H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselears and E. Herlt*, Exact solutions of Einstein's field equations, Cambridge University Press, 2003.
- [3] *G. S. Hall*, symmetries and curvature structure in general relativity, World Scientific, 2004.
- [4] *A. D. Catalano*, Closed conformal vector fields on pseudo Riemannian manifolds, International journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences, **2006** (2006) 1-8.
- [5] *M. Steller*, conformal vector fields on space-times, Ann. Global Anal. Geometry, **29** (2006) 293-317.

- [6] B. Biadabadi, conformal vector fields on tangent bundle of Finsler manifolds, Balkan J. of Geom. and its application, **11** (2006) 28-35.
- [7] D. S. Kim, Y. H. Kim and H. S. Park, Einstein spaces and conformal vector fields, Journal of the Korean Mathematics, **43** (2006) 133-145.
- [8] F. M. Sim and C. Tezer, conformal vector fields with respect to their Sasaki metric tensor, J. Geometry, **84** (2005) 133-151.