

## IRREDUCIBLE COVARIANT REPRESENTATIONS ASSOCIATED TO AN R-DISCRETE GROUPOID

Roxana VIDICAN<sup>1</sup>

*Unei perechi covariante pozitiv definite  $(T, \rho)$  relativ la un grupoid r-discret  $G$ , i se poate asocia, printr-o teoremă de tip Stinespring, o reprezentare covariantă  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$ . Vom stabili o condiție necesară și suficientă de ireductibilitate pentru aceasta din urmă.*

*Let  $(T, \rho)$  be a positive definite covariant pair with respect to an r-discrete groupoid  $G$ . Using a theorem of type Stinespring, we can associate to the pair  $(T, \rho)$  a covariant representation  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$ . In this article, we provide a necessary and sufficient condition of irreducibility for the representation  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$ .*

**Keywords:** r-discrete groupoid, positive definite covariant pair, covariant representation

### 1. Introduction

For a suitable amenable, r-discrete, principal groupoid  $G$  with the unit space  $G^0$  and the semigroup  $\mathfrak{I}$  of its compact and open  $G$ -sets, we define a **covariant representation of  $\mathfrak{I}$** , to be a pair  $(T, \rho)$ , where

- (i)  $\rho$  is a \*-representation of  $C_0(G^0)$  (= the  $C^*$ -algebra of complex-valued functions on  $G^0$ , that are continuous and vanishing at infinity) on a (complex and separable) Hilbert space  $H$ ;
- (ii)  $T = \{T(s) \mid s \in \mathfrak{I}\}$  is a family of operators on  $H$  such that  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$  and  $T(s)T(t) = T(st)$ , for  $s, t \in \mathfrak{I}$ ;
- (iii)  $T(s)\rho(a) = \rho(a \circ s)T(s)$ , for  $a \in C_0(G^0)$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$  (see Obs. (vi)); and
- (iv)  $T(s) = \rho(\mathbf{1}_s)$ , for  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$  such that  $s \subseteq G^0$  (where  $\mathbf{1}_s$  denote the characteristic function of  $s$ ).

---

<sup>1</sup> Assist., Department of Mathematics II, University “Politehnica” of Bucharest, ROMANIA

**Definition** If  $T$  is a function from  $\mathfrak{I}$  to  $B(H)$  and  $\rho$  is a \*-representation of  $C_0(G^0)$  on  $H$ , then  $(T, \rho)$  is a **positive definite covariant pair**, if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i)  $T(s)\rho(a) = \rho(a \circ s)T(s)$ , for  $a \in C_0(G^0), s \in \mathfrak{I}$ ;
- (ii)  $T(s)T(t) = T(st)$ , for  $s, t \in \mathfrak{I}$ ;
- (iii)  $T(s) = \rho(\aleph_s)$ , for  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$  with  $s \subseteq G^0$ ; and
- (iv) for each finite collection of points  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}$ , the operator matrix  $(T(s_i^{-1}s_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$  acting on  $H \oplus \dots \oplus H$ , is non-negative (this means that,  $\sum_{i,j} \langle T(s_i^{-1}s_j)\xi_j, \xi_i \rangle_H \geq 0$ , for any  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in H \times \dots \times H$ ).

**Theorem** Let  $(T, \rho)$  be a positive definite covariant pair with values in  $B(H)$ . Then there are a Hilbert space  $\tilde{H}$ , a covariant representation  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  of  $\mathfrak{I}$  on  $\tilde{H}$  and a Hilbert space isomorphism  $V$  mapping  $H$  into  $\tilde{H}$  such that  $\rho(a) = V^* \tilde{\rho}(a) V$ , for  $a \in C_0(G^0)$  and  $T(t) = V^* U(t) V$ , for  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

We shall show a necessary and sufficient condition of irreducibility for the covariant representation  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  from the above theorem in terms of the pair  $(T, \rho)$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

The definitions for the notions of: amenable, r-discrete principal groupoid,  $G$ - set,  $C^*$ -algebra associated with a locally compact groupoid, can be found in [1], [2], [3], [4] or [5].

Now, to understanding more easily the content of this paper, we shall present, first of all, the most important stages of the proof of the theorem from “Introduction”.

Let  $\tilde{H}_0$  be the set of all functions  $f : \mathfrak{I} \rightarrow H$ , such that

- (1) there is a compact set  $K_f$  (depending of  $f$ ) such that  $K_f \subseteq G$  and  $f(t) = 0$ , for  $t \cap K_f = \emptyset$ ; and
- (2) if  $t, t_1 \in \mathfrak{I}$  such that  $t_1 \subseteq t$ , then  $f(t_1) = \rho(\aleph_{d(t_1)})f(t)$  (where  $d(t_1) = t_1^{-1}t_1$ ).

We define on  $\tilde{H}_0$  a sesquilinear functional  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by the formula

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum \langle T(s^{-1}t)f(t), g(s) \rangle_H,$$

where the sum is over any two finite sets  $\{t_i\}, \{s_j\}$  in  $\mathfrak{I}$  such that  $K_f \subseteq \cup t_i$ ,  $K_g \subseteq \cup s_j$ , and such that  $t_i \cap t_j = s_k \cap s_l = \emptyset$ , if  $i \neq j, k \neq l$ .

Let  $N = \{f \in \tilde{H}_0 \mid \langle f, f \rangle = 0\}$ . The sesquilinear functional  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  from above passes to an inner product on  $\tilde{H}_0 / N$ . (For this inner product we keep the notation  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .)

Let  $\tilde{H}$  be the completion of  $\tilde{H}_0 / N$  in the associated norm. Next, we define

$$V : H \rightarrow \tilde{H} \quad \text{by} \quad V\xi = \tilde{\xi} + N,$$

where  $\tilde{\xi}(t) = \rho(E(\aleph_{t^{-1}}))\xi$  ( $E$  being the conditional expectation from  $C^*(G)$  to  $C^*(G^0) = C_0(G^0)$ ; see [4], p.104). This operator  $V$  is an isometry.

Note that, for  $f \in \tilde{H}_0$  and  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$ , if we denote  $f_t(s) = f(t^{-1}s)$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{I}$ , we obtain  $f_t \in \tilde{H}_0$ .

Now, for  $q \in \mathfrak{I}$ , the operator  $U$  on  $\tilde{H}_0 / N$  defined by  $U(q)(f + N) = f_q + N$ ,  $\forall f \in \tilde{H}_0$  satisfies the properties  $U(q^{-1}) = U(q)^*$  and  $U(st) = U(s)U(t)$ , for  $q, s, t \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

Finally, for  $a \in C_0(G^0)$ , we define  $\tilde{\rho}_0(a)$  on  $\tilde{H}_0$  by the formula  $(\tilde{\rho}_0(a)f)(t) = \rho(a \circ t^{-1})f(t)$ . Denote  $\tilde{\rho}(a)(f + N) = \tilde{\rho}_0(a)f + N$ ,  $\tilde{\rho}$  is a  $*$ -representation of  $C_0(G^0)$  on  $\tilde{H}$ .

The pair  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  and the operator  $V$  have the properties asked in the theorem.

**Observations** (i)  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  is just a positive definite covariant pair: let  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in H \times \dots \times H$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}$ ; then

$$0 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle T(s_i^{-1}s_j)\xi_j, \xi_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \langle U(s_i^{-1}s_j)V\xi_j, V\xi_i \rangle.$$

From here, using  $V(H) = \tilde{H}$ , we deduce that  $(U(s_i^{-1}s_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is a positive operator matrix.

(ii) As  $V$  is an isometry, it results  $V^*V = I_H$ . Since  $V$  is an isomorphism, there exists  $V^{-1}$  and  $V^*V = V^{-1}V = I_H$ , hence  $(V^* - V^{-1})V = 0$ . Then  $VH = \tilde{H}$  implies  $V^* = V^{-1}$ . Consequently,  $T(t) = V^*U(t)V \Leftrightarrow VT(t)V^* = U(t)$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

(iii) If  $\rho$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $C^*(G^0)$ , then the family  $\{T(s)\}_{s \in \mathfrak{I}}$  is non-degenerate (i.e.  $\overline{\text{Sp}}\{T(s)\xi \mid s \in \mathfrak{I}, \xi \in H\} = H$ ) and so is  $\{U(s)\}_{s \in \mathfrak{I}}$  also: let  $\eta \in H$  and  $\{a_i\}_i \subseteq C_c(G^0)$ ,  $\{\xi_i\}_i \subseteq H$  such that  $\eta = \lim_i \rho(a_i)\xi_i$ ; fix  $\{s_i\}_i \subseteq \mathfrak{I}$  such that  $\forall i : \text{supp } a_i \subseteq s_i^{-1}s_i$ . Then

$$\eta = \lim_i \rho(\mathfrak{N}_{s_i^{-1}s_i} a_i)\xi_i = \lim_i \rho(\mathfrak{N}_{s_i^{-1}s_i})\rho(a_i)\xi_i = \lim_i T(s_i^{-1}s_i)\rho(a_i)\xi_i.$$

For the second part of the assertion : since  $V$  is an isometry,  $V, V^*$  are continuous operators. Therefore,

$$\tilde{H} = V(H) = V(\overline{\text{Sp}}(T(\mathfrak{I})H)) \subseteq \overline{\text{Sp}}(VT(\mathfrak{I})(H)) = \overline{\text{Sp}}(VT(\mathfrak{I})V^*(\tilde{H})) = \overline{\text{Sp}}(U(\mathfrak{I})\tilde{H})$$

(iv) If  $(T, \rho)$  is a positive definite covariant pair, then  $T^*(s) = T(s^{-1})$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{I}$ : from the proof of the theorem, we know that  $U^*(t) = U(t^{-1})$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathfrak{I}$ , hence  $T^*(t) = V^*U(t^{-1})V = T(t^{-1})$ ,  $\forall t \in \mathfrak{I}$ .

(v) If  $(T, \rho)$  is a positive definite covariant pair, then  $\|T(s)\| \leq 1$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{I}$ : let  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$ ; then we have  $\|T(s)\|^2 = \|T(s)^*T(s)\| = \|T(s^{-1})T(s)\| = \|T(s^{-1}s)\| = \|\rho(\mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}s})\| \leq \|\mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}s}\| = 1$ .

(vi) For  $a \in C_0(G^0)$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$ , we have  $a \circ s = \mathfrak{N}_s a \mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}}$  (where  $s$  from the right side is a  $G$ -set, while  $s$  from the left side is a notation for the function  $u \mapsto d(u \cdot s)$ ;  $u \cdot s$  is the element  $x \in s$  with  $r(x) = u$ ), hence  $a \circ s^{-1} \circ s = (a \circ s^{-1}) \circ s = (\mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}} a \mathfrak{N}_s) \circ s = (\mathfrak{N}_s \mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}}) a (\mathfrak{N}_s \mathfrak{N}_{s^{-1}}) = \mathfrak{N}_{ss^{-1}} a \mathfrak{N}_{ss^{-1}} = a \circ ss^{-1}$ . Now:  $\rho(a)T(s) = \rho(a)T(ss^{-1}s) = \rho(a)T(ss^{-1})T(s) = \rho(a)\rho(\mathfrak{N}_{ss^{-1}})T(s) = \rho(a \circ (ss^{-1}))T(s) = \rho(a \circ s^{-1} \circ s)T(s) = T(s)\rho(a \circ s^{-1})$ .

### 3. Irreducible representations of $C_0(G^0)$

**Lema 1** If  $(T_1, \rho_1), (T_2, \rho_2)$  are two positive definite covariant pairs of  $\mathfrak{I}$  on  $B(H)$  with  $\rho_1, \rho_2$  non-degenerate representations of  $C_0(G^0)$  such that

$$\forall n \in N^* \text{ and } \forall s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}: (T_1(s_i^{-1}s_j))_n \leq (T_2(s_i^{-1}s_j))_n,$$

while  $(U_1, \tilde{\rho}_1, \tilde{H}_1)$ , respectively  $(U_2, \tilde{\rho}_2, \tilde{H}_2)$  are the corresponding covariant representations, then there exists a contraction  $W \in B(\tilde{H}_2, \tilde{H}_1)$  (i.e.  $\|W\| \leq 1$ ) satisfying:

$$(i) \quad WV_2 = V_1;$$

$$(ii) \quad WU_2(s) = U_1(s)W, \forall s \in \mathfrak{I}; \text{ and}$$

$$(iii)$$

$$W\tilde{\rho}_2(a) = \tilde{\rho}_1(a)W, \forall a \in C_0(G^0) \Leftrightarrow T_1(t)\rho_2(a \circ t^{-1}) = T_1(t)\rho_1(a \circ t^{-1}), \forall t \in \mathfrak{I},$$

$$\forall a \in C_0(G^0).$$

**Proof:** (i) Let  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}$ . Then:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sum_j U_1(s_j) V_1 h_j \right\| \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle V_1^* U_1(s_i^{-1} s_j) V_1 h_j, h_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle T_1(s_i^{-1} s_j) h_j, h_i \rangle \leq \sum_{i,j} \langle T_2(s_i^{-1} s_j) h_j, h_i \rangle = \\ &= \left\| \sum_j U_2(s_j) V_2 h_j \right\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

Defining  $W : \tilde{H}_2 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1$  by  $WU_2(t)V_2 h = U_1(t)V_1 h$ , we remark that  $W$  is a contraction with  $WV_2 = V_1$ .

(ii) For  $s, t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $h \in H$ , we have

$$WU_2(s)U_2(t)V_2 h = WU_2(st)V_2 h = U_1(st)V_1 h = U_1(s)U_1(t)V_1 h = U_1(s)WU_2(t)V_2 h.$$

(iii) If  $a \in C_0(G^0), t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $h \in H$ , it follows

$$W\tilde{\rho}_2(a)U_2(t)V_2 h = WU_2(t)\tilde{\rho}_2(a \circ t^{-1})V_2 h = WU_2(t)V_2 \rho_2(a \circ t^{-1})h = U_1(t)V_1 \rho_2(a \circ t^{-1})h$$

On the other hand,

$$\tilde{\rho}_1(a)WU_2(t)V_2 h = \tilde{\rho}_1(a)U_1(t)V_1 h = U_1(t)\tilde{\rho}_1(a \circ t^{-1})V_1 h = U_1(t)V_1 \rho_1(a \circ t^{-1})h.$$

$$\text{Hence, } W\tilde{\rho}_2(a) = \tilde{\rho}_1(a)W \Leftrightarrow V_1^* U_1(t) V_1 \rho_2(a \circ t^{-1})h = V_1^* U_1(t) V_1 \rho_1(a \circ t^{-1})h \Leftrightarrow$$

$$T_1(t)\rho_2(a \circ t^{-1})h = T_1(t)\rho_1(a \circ t^{-1})h \blacksquare$$

**Definition 2** Let  $H$  be a Hilbert space and  $U \in B(H)$ .  $U$  is a **positive operator** (and we shall denote this by  $U \geq 0$ ), if  $U = U^*$  and  $\langle U(x), x \rangle \geq 0, \forall x \in H$ .

**Notation 3** For a Hilbert space  $H$  and a set  $M$  such that  $M \subseteq B(H)$ , we write  $M'$  for the **commutant** of  $M$ , i.e.

$$M' = \{U \in B(H) \mid \forall V \in M : UV = VU\}$$

**Lemma 4** Let  $(T, \rho)$  a covariant positive definite pair on  $B(H)$  with  $\rho$  a non-degenerate representation of  $C_0(G^0)$ ,  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  the corresponding covariant representation on  $B(\tilde{H})$ , and  $V$  the isomorphism between  $H$  and  $\tilde{H}$  such that

$$\rho(a) = V^* \tilde{\rho}(a)V, \forall a \in C_0(G^0) \text{ and } T(t) = V^* U(t)V, \forall t \in \mathfrak{J}.$$

For  $W \in U(\mathfrak{J})'$  and  $S \in \tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))'$ , we define the applications

$$\Phi_W : \mathfrak{J} \rightarrow B(H) \text{ by } \Phi_W(t) = V^* W U(t) V, \forall t \in \mathfrak{J} \text{ and}$$

$$\Psi_S : C_0(G^0) \rightarrow B(H) \text{ by } \Psi_S(a) = V^* S \tilde{\rho}(a)V, \forall a \in C_0(G^0).$$

Under these conditions, the following assertions are true:

(i) the maps  $W \mapsto \Phi_W$  and  $S \mapsto \Psi_S$  are linear and injective;

(ii) if  $W \in U(\mathfrak{J})'$  and  $0 \leq W$ , then for  $n \in N^*$  and  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{J}$ , the operator matrix  $(\Phi_W(s_i^{-1} s_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is non-negative; and

(iii) if  $W \in U(\mathfrak{J})'$  such that  $W = W^*$  and  $\forall n \in N^*, \forall s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{J}$ , the operator matrix  $(\Phi_W(s_i^{-1} s_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is non-negative, then  $W \geq 0$ .

**Proof:** (i) It suffices to show, that the linear map  $W \mapsto \Phi_W$  is injective.

For the injectivity of  $S \mapsto \Psi_S$ , the proof is analogous.

We assume that  $\Phi_W = 0$ . Let  $s, t \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $h, k \in H$ . Then:

$$\langle WU(t)Vh, U(s)Vk \rangle = \langle U(s^{-1})WU(t)Vh, Vk \rangle = \langle V^* WU(s^{-1}t)Vh, k \rangle = \langle \Phi_W(s^{-1}t)h, k \rangle = 0$$

hence,  $W = 0$ . (One applies Obs. (iii)).

(ii) We use the observation (i) from “Preliminaries” and the following theorem:

“If  $A$  is an involutive algebra,  $H$  a Hilbert space,  $\pi$  a representation of  $A$  on  $H$  and  $T \in B(H)$  such that  $T \geq 0$  and  $T \in \pi(A)'$ , then there exists  $K \in B(H)$  with  $K = K^*, K^2 = T$  and  $K \in \pi(A)'$ . “

Thus, for  $W \in U(\mathfrak{J})'$  with  $0 \leq W$ , there is  $K \in B(\tilde{H})$  such that  $K = K^*$ ,  $K^2 = W$  and  $K \in U(\mathfrak{J})'$ .

Let  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{J}$  and  $(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \in H \oplus \dots \oplus H$ . The required conclusion follows by

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i,j} \langle \Phi_W(s_i^{-1} s_j) \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \langle V^* W U(s_i^{-1} s_j) V \xi_j, \xi_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle K^2 U(s_i^{-1} s_j) V \xi_j, V \xi_i \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle U(s_i^{-1} s_j) K V \xi_j, K V \xi_i \rangle \geq 0. \text{ (One uses obs. (iii).)} \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Let  $W$  as in the statement of the lemma. For  $n \in N^*$ ,  $s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{J}$ ,  $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H$  and  $k = U(s_1)Vh_1 + \dots + U(s_n)Vh_n$ , we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle Wk, k \rangle &= \sum_{i,j} \langle V^* U(s_i^{-1}) W U(s_j) V h_j, h_i \rangle = \sum_{i,j} \langle V^* W U(s_i^{-1} s_j) V h_j, h_i \rangle = \\ &= \sum_{i,j} \langle \Phi_W(s_i^{-1} s_j) h_j, h_i \rangle \geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

hence,  $W \geq 0$  ■

**Lemma 5** Let  $(T_1, \rho_1)$  and  $(T, \rho)$  two positive definite covariant pairs with  $\rho_1, \rho$  non-degenerate representations of  $C_0(G^0)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}\forall n \in \mathbb{N}^*, \forall s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}: (T_1(s_i^{-1} s_j))_{i,j=1}^n &\leq (T(s_i^{-1} s_j))_{i,j=1}^n \text{ and} \\ \forall t \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall a \in C_0(G^0): T_1(t) \rho_1(a \circ t^{-1}) &= T_1(t) \rho(a \circ t^{-1}).\end{aligned}$$

If  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  is the covariant representation with respect to  $(T, \rho)$ , then there is  $W \in U(\mathfrak{I})' \cap \tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))'$  with the properties:  $0 \leq W \leq I$  (  $I: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  is the identity operator,  $I(h) = h, \forall h \in \tilde{H}$  ),  $T_1 = \Phi_W$  and  $\rho_1 = \Psi_W$ .

**Proof:** Let  $V: H \rightarrow \tilde{H}$  the isomorphism corresponding to the pair  $(T, \rho)$ ,  $(U_1, \rho_1)$  the covariant representation and  $V_1: H \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1$  the isomorphism associated with the pair  $(T_1, \rho_1)$ .

The lemma 1 assures the existence of a contraction  $\tilde{W}: \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}_1$  such that  $\tilde{W}V = V_1$ ,  $\tilde{W}U(s) = U_1(s)\tilde{W}$ ,  $\forall s \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $\tilde{W}\tilde{\rho}(a) = \tilde{\rho}_1(a)\tilde{W}$ ,  $\forall a \in C_0(G^0)$ .

We shall denote  $W = \tilde{W}^* \tilde{W}$ . Then  $W \geq 0$  and for  $h \in \tilde{H}$ , we have:  
 $\langle Wh, h \rangle = \langle \tilde{W}h, \tilde{W}h \rangle \leq \langle h, h \rangle$ , hence  $0 \leq W \leq I$ .

Choose  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned}WU(s) &= \tilde{W}^* U_1(s) \tilde{W} = (U_1^*(s) \tilde{W})^* \tilde{W} = (U_1(s^{-1}) \tilde{W})^* \tilde{W} = (\tilde{W}U(s^{-1}))^* \tilde{W} = \\ &= U(s^{-1})^* \tilde{W}^* \tilde{W} = U(s)W\end{aligned}$$

implies  $W \in U(\mathfrak{I})'$ . Analogously,  $W \in \tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))'$ .

Suppose that  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $h, k \in H$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \Phi_W(t)h, k \rangle &= \langle WU(t)Vh, Vh \rangle = \langle \tilde{W}U(t)Vh, \tilde{W}Vh \rangle = \langle U_1(t)\tilde{W}Vh, \tilde{W}Vh \rangle = \\ &= \langle U_1(t)V_1h, V_1h \rangle = \langle V_1^* U_1(t)V_1h, h \rangle = \langle T_1(t)h, h \rangle,\end{aligned}$$

$T_1 = \Phi_W$ . Similarly,  $\rho_1 = \Psi_W$  ■

**Observations 6** (i) If  $s$  is a  $G$ -set, then  $ss^{-1}, s^{-1}s$  are also  $G$ -sets and at the same time, they are subsets of  $G^0$ . Moreover,  $s = ss^{-1}s$ . ( If  $x \in ss^{-1}s$ , then  $x = yu$ , with  $y \in s$  and  $u \in s^{-1}s$ , hence  $x = y \in s$ . Conversely, for  $x \in s$ , we have  $x = xx^{-1}x \in ss^{-1}s$  .)

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(ii) The operator } W \text{ from lemma 5 satisfies } W^2 = W, \text{ as follows from} \\
T_1 = \Phi_W \Rightarrow T_1(st) = \Phi_W(st), \forall s, t \in \mathfrak{I} \Rightarrow \Phi_W(s)\Phi_W(t) = T_1(s)T_1(t) = T_1(st) = \\
= \Phi_W(st) \Rightarrow V^*WU(s)VV^*WU(t)Vh = V^*WU(st)Vh, \forall s, t \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall h \in H \Rightarrow \\
V^*W^2U(st)Vh = V^*WU(st)Vh, \forall s, t \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall h \in H \Rightarrow \\
W^2U(st)Vh = WU(st)Vh, \forall s, t \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall h \in H \Rightarrow W^2U(ss^{-1}s)\tilde{h} = WU(ss^{-1}s)\tilde{h}, \\
\forall s \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall \tilde{h} \in \tilde{H} \Rightarrow W^2U(s)\tilde{h} = WU(s)\tilde{h}, \forall s \in \mathfrak{I}, \forall \tilde{h} \in \tilde{H}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 7** Let  $(T, \rho)$  a positive definite covariant pair. Then there is a bijection between the set

$$A = \{W \in U(\mathfrak{I})' \cap \tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))' \mid 0 \leq W \leq I, W^2 = W\}$$

and the family  $B$  of the positive definite covariant pairs  $(S, \theta)$  on  $B(H)$ , which have the property

$$\begin{aligned}
\forall n \in N^*, \forall s_1, \dots, s_n \in \mathfrak{I}: (S(s_i^{-1}s_j))_{i,j=1}^n \leq (T(s_i^{-1}s_j))_{i,j=1}^n \text{ and} \\
S(t)\rho(a \circ t^{-1}) = S(t)\theta(a \circ t^{-1}), \forall a \in C_0(G^0), \forall t \in \mathfrak{I}.
\end{aligned}$$

**Proof:** Assume  $W \in A$ . By lemma 4, for  $n \in N^*$  and  $t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{I}$ , the operator matrix  $(\Phi_W(t_i^{-1}t_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is non-negative. Because  $W \leq I$ , we can claim that  $\forall n \in N^*$  and  $\forall t_1, \dots, t_n \in \mathfrak{I}: (\Phi_{I-W}(t_i^{-1}t_j))_{i,j=1}^n$  is a non-negative matrix, hence  $\Phi_W \leq T$ .

Using  $W^2 = W$ , it is clear that  $\Phi_W(st) = \Phi_W(s)\Phi_W(t), \forall s, t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and that  $\Psi_W$  is a representation of  $C_0(G^0)$ .

For  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$  such that  $s \subseteq G^0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_W(s) = V^*WU(s)V = V^*W\tilde{\rho}(\mathfrak{N}_s)V = \\
\Psi_W(\mathfrak{N}_s). \text{ Next, for } a \in C_0(G^0) \text{ and } s \in \mathfrak{I}, \text{ it follows}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_W(s)\Psi_W(a) = V^*WU(s)VV^*W\tilde{\rho}(a)V = V^*W^2U(s)\tilde{\rho}(a)V = \\
= V^*W^2\tilde{\rho}(a \circ s)U(s)V = V^*W\tilde{\rho}(a \circ s)VV^*WU(s)V = \Psi_W(a \circ s)\Phi_W(s).
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, if  $t \in \mathfrak{I}$  and  $a \in C_0(G^0)$ , then

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_W(t)\rho(a \circ t^{-1}) = V^*WU(t)V\rho(a \circ t^{-1}) \text{ and} \\
\Phi_W(t)\Psi_W(a \circ t^{-1}) = V^*WU(t)V V^*W\tilde{\rho}(a \circ t^{-1})V = V^*WU(t)\tilde{\rho}(a \circ t^{-1})V = \\
= V^*WU(t)V\rho(a \circ t^{-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\Phi_W(t)\rho(a \circ t^{-1}) = \Phi_W(t)\Psi_W(a \circ t^{-1})$ . All these imply  $(\Phi_W, \Psi_W) \in B$ .

By the lemma 4, the map  $W \mapsto (\Phi_W, \Psi_W)$  is injective. Its surjectivity follows from lemma 5 and observation 6 (ii) ■

**Proposition 8** If  $(T, \rho)$  is a non-null, positive definite covariant pair such that, for every  $(S, \theta) \in B$ , there is  $\lambda \in C$  with  $S = \lambda T$ , then the covariant representation  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  associated with  $(T, \rho)$  is irreducible (in the sense that, only the subspaces  $0$  and  $\tilde{H}$  are closed and invariant with respect to  $U(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))$ )

**Proof:** Let  $(T, \rho)$  and  $(S, \theta) \in B$  as in the statement of the proposition. By lemma 5, we can find  $W \in A$  such that  $S = \Phi_W$  and  $\theta = \Psi_W$ . Consequently, there is

$\lambda \in C$  such that  $\Phi_W = \lambda T$ . It results:

$$\begin{aligned} V^* W U(t) V &= \lambda T(t), \forall t \in \mathfrak{I} \Leftrightarrow W U(t) = \lambda V T(t) V^*, \forall t \in \mathfrak{I} \Leftrightarrow \\ W U(t) &= \lambda U(t), \forall t \in \mathfrak{I} \Leftrightarrow W = \lambda I \end{aligned}$$

Let  $K$  a closed subspace of  $\tilde{H}$ , such that  $K$  is invariant with respect to  $U(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))$ . For  $h \in \tilde{H}$ , we consider the writing  $h = h_1 + h_2$ , where  $h_1 \in K$  and  $h_2 \in K^\perp$ . We shall denote with  $P_K$ , the projection on  $K$ ,  $P_K : \tilde{H} \rightarrow \tilde{H}$ ,  $P_K h = h_1$ . It remains to show that,  $P_K \in A$  (  $A$  from proposition 7). Then it will exist  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $P_K = \lambda I$ . This is equivalent with  $K = 0$  or  $K = \tilde{H}$ .

For  $k \in K, h \in K^\perp$  and  $s \in \mathfrak{I}$ , we have  $U(s^{-1})k \in K$ , hence  $\langle U(s)h, k \rangle = \langle h, U(s^{-1})k \rangle = 0$ , from where  $U(s)h \in K^\perp$ . Thus, if  $h \in \tilde{H}$  such that  $h = h_1 + h_2$ , with  $h_1 \in K$  and  $h_2 \in K^\perp$ , we can write

$$\begin{aligned} P_K U(s)h &= P_K(U(s)h_1 + U(s)h_2) = U(s)h_1 = U(s)P_K h, \\ \text{i.e. } P_K &\in U(\mathfrak{I})'. \text{ Analogously, it results that } P_K \in \tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))'. \end{aligned}$$

For  $h \in \tilde{H}$  with the same decomposition as above, we find

$$\begin{aligned} P_K^2 h &= P_K h_1 = h_1 = P_K h, \langle P_K h, h \rangle = \langle h_1, h_1 + h_2 \rangle = \langle h_1, h_1 \rangle \geq 0 \text{ and} \\ \langle (P_K - I)h, h \rangle &= \langle h_1 - h, h \rangle = -\langle h_2, h \rangle = -\langle h_2, h_2 \rangle \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $P_K^2 = P_K$  and  $0 \leq P_K \leq I$  ■

**Proposition 9** If  $(T, \rho)$  is a non-null, positive definite covariant pair such that  $\rho$  is a non-degenerate representation of  $C_0(G^0)$  and  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  is irreducible, then for  $\forall (S, \theta) \in B$ , there is  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $S = \lambda T$ .

**Proof:** Choose  $(T, \rho)$  and  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  with the properties from the statement and  $(S, \theta) \in B$ . By lemma 5, there is an operator  $W \in A$  such that  $(S, \theta) = (\Phi_W, \Psi_W)$ .

Let  $K = W(\tilde{H})$ . Then

$$W(\tilde{H}) = \{h \in \tilde{H} \mid \exists k \in \tilde{H} \text{ a.î. } h = Wk\} = \{h \in \tilde{H} \mid h = Wk\}$$

is a linear closed subspace of  $\tilde{H}$ . Moreover, it is invariant with respect to  $U(\mathfrak{I})$  and  $\tilde{\rho}(C_0(G^0))$ . Because  $(U, \tilde{\rho})$  is irreducible, we deduce that  $W(\tilde{H})$  is 0 or  $\tilde{H}$ , hence  $W = \lambda I$ , for some  $\lambda \in C$ . Finally,  
 $\forall t \in \mathfrak{I} : S(t) = \Phi_W(t) = V^* \lambda U(t) V = \lambda T(t)$  ■

R E F E R E N C E S

- [1] P. Muhly, B. Solel, "Subalgebras of groupoid  $C^*$ -algebras" in J. reine und angew. Math., **402** (1989), pp.41-75
- [2] P. Muhly, Coordinates in Operator Algebra, 1997, preprint
- [3] A. Paterson, "Groupoids, Inverse Semigroups, and their Operator Algebras" in Progress in Mathematics, **vol.170**, Birkhäuser, 1999
- [4] J. Renault, "A groupoid Approach to  $C^*$ -algebras" in Lecture Notes in Mathematics, **vol.793**, Springer Verlag, 1980
- [5] Roxana Vidican, " $C^*$ -subalgebras of the  $C^*$ -algebra associated with an r-discrete groupoid" in U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A, **vol. 64**, 2002, pp.23-38
- [6] Wm. B. Arveson, "Subalgebras of  $C^*$ -algebras" in Acta Math. **123** (1969), pp.141-224.

This research was supported by grant CNCSIS (Romanian National Council for Research in High Education) –code A 1065/2006