

ON COMMON SOLUTION OF A MONOTONE VARIATIONAL INCLUSION FOR TWO MAPPINGS AND A FIXED POINT PROBLEM

Ferdinand Udochukwu Ogbuisi¹

In this paper, we study the operator $Res_{\lambda T}^f \circ A_\lambda^f$ which is the composition of the resolvent of a maximal monotone operator T and the antiresolvent of a Bregman inverse strongly monotone operator A with respect $\lambda > 0$ and construct an iterative method for approximating a common solution of a monotone inclusion problem and fixed point problem. We further state and prove a strong convergence theorem for obtaining a common solution of a monotone inclusion problem for sum of two operators and a fixed point problem for a Quasi-Bregman strictly pseudocontractive mapping in a reflexive Banach space. Our result extends and compliment related results in the literature.

Keywords: Maximal monotone mapping; Bregman inverse strongly monotone mapping; Quasi-Bregman strictly pseudocontractive; Reflexive Banach space; Strong convergence; Resolvent operator.

MSC2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H06, 47H09, 47J05, 47J25.

1. Introduction

Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space E and let E^* be the topological dual of E . Let the norm and the duality pairing between E and E^* be respectively denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let \mathbb{R} be the set of real numbers. A functional $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ is said to be:

- (1) **proper** if its effective domain $D(f) = \{x \in E : f(x) < \infty\} \neq \emptyset$.
- (2) **convex** if $f(\lambda x + (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y), \forall \lambda \in (0, 1); x, y \in D(f)$.
- (3) **lower semicontinuous** at $x_0 \in D(f)$ if $f(x_0) \leq \liminf_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x)$ and lower semicontinuous

on the domain $D(f)$ if it is lower semicontinuous at every point in $D(f)$.

The *Fenchel conjugate* function of f is the convex functional $f^* : E^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$f^*(\xi) = \sup\{\langle \xi, x \rangle - f(x) : x \in E\}.$$

It is clear that when f is proper and lower semicontinuous, then so is f^* . The function f is said to be *cofinite* if $\text{dom } f^* = E^*$.

Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex function and $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ where $\text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ stands for the interior of the domain of f . For any $y \in E$, we define the directional derivative of f at x by

$$f^o(x, y) := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{f(x + ty) - f(x)}{t}. \quad (1)$$

If the limit as $t \rightarrow 0^+$ in (1) exists for each y , then the function f is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* at x . In this case, the gradient of f at x is the linear function $\nabla f(x)$, which is defined by $\langle \nabla f(x), y \rangle := f^o(x, y)$ for all $y \in E$ (see [13]). The function f is said to be *Gâteaux differentiable* if it is Gâteaux differentiable at each $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$. When the limit as $t \rightarrow 0$ in (1) is attained uniformly for any $y \in E$ with $\|y\| = 1$, we say that f is *Fréchet*

School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa.; Department of Mathematics, University of Nigeria, Nsukka, Nigeria. e-mail: fudochukwu@yahoo.com, ferdinand.ogbuisi@unn.edu.ng

differentiable at x . In this paper, we will take $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ to be an admissible function, that is, a proper, lower semicontinuous, convex and Gâteaux differentiable function. Under these conditions we know that f is continuous in $\text{int}(\text{dom}f)$ (see [4]).

The function f is said to be *Legendre* if it satisfies the following two conditions.

- (L1) $\text{int}(\text{dom}f) \neq \emptyset$, and the subdifferential ∂f is single-valued on its domain.
- (L2) $\text{int}(\text{dom}f^*) \neq \emptyset$, and ∂f^* is single-valued on its domain.

Bauschke, Borwein and Combettes in [4] was the first to study the class of Legendre functions in infinite dimensional Banach spaces and their definition is equivalent to conditions (L1) and (L2) because the space E is assumed to be reflexive (see [4], Theorems 5.4 and 5.6, page 634). In reflexive Banach spaces, it has been established that $\nabla f = (\nabla f^*)^{-1}$ (see [7], page 83). $\nabla f = (\nabla f^*)^{-1}$ together with the conditions (L1) and (L2) gives

$$\text{ran} \nabla f = \text{dom} \nabla f^* = \text{int}(\text{dom}f)^* \text{ and } \text{ran} \nabla f^* = \text{dom} \nabla f = \text{int}(\text{dom}f).$$

Moreover, f is Legendre if and only if f^* is Legendre (see [4], Corollary 5.5, page 634) and the functions f and f^* are Gateaux differentiable and strictly convex in the interior of their respective domains.

One important and interesting Legendre function is $(\frac{1}{p})\| \cdot \|^p$ with $p \in (1, \infty)$ when E is a smooth and strictly convex Banach space (cf. [4], Lemma 6.2, page 639). In this case the gradient ∇f of f is coincident with the generalized duality mapping of E , i.e., $\nabla f = J_p$ ($1 < p < \infty$). In particular, $\nabla f = I$ the identity mapping in Hilbert spaces.

Definition 1.1. *The bifunction $D_f : \text{dom}f \times \text{int}(\text{dom}f) \rightarrow [0; +\infty)$, which is defined by*

$$D_f(y, x) := f(y) - f(x) - \langle \nabla f(x), y - x \rangle, \quad (2)$$

is called the Bregman distance (cf. [6, 13]).

The Bregman distance does not satisfy the well-known properties of a metric, but it does have the following important property, which is called the three point identity: for any $x \in \text{dom}f$ and $y, z \in \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$

$$D_f(x, y) + D_f(y, z) - D_f(x, z) = \langle \nabla f(z) - \nabla f(y), x - y \rangle. \quad (3)$$

In 1967, Bregman[6] first employed the technique of Bregman distance in the process of designing and analysing feasibility and optimization algorithms. The Bregman distance approach have since been found invaluable in the design and analysis of iterative methods in fixed point theory as it offers an effective way to extend results in Hilbert spaces to reflexive Banach spaces (see, [2, 3] and some the references therein).

According to [9], Section 1.2, page 17 (see also [8]), the modulus of total convexity of f is the bifunction $v_f : \text{int}(\text{dom}f) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$ which is defined by

$$v_f(x, t) := \inf \{D_f(y, x) : y \in \text{dom}f, \|y - x\| = t\}.$$

The function f is said to be *totally convex at a point* $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$ if $v_f(x, t) > 0$ whenever $t > 0$ and is said to be *totally convex* when it is totally convex at every point $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$. Examples of totally convex functions can be found in [9, 12].

Butnariu *et al.* [10] established connections between uniform convexity at a point, total convexity at a point, uniform convexity on bounded sets and sequential consistency and used these relations to obtain improved convergence results for the outer Bregman projection algorithm for solving convex feasibility problems and the generalized proximal point algorithm for optimization in Banach spaces. In 2005, Butnariu and Resmerita [12] introduced

a Bregman type iterative algorithms and used Bregman type iterative method to solve operator equations. Resmerita [23] studied the existence of totally convex functions in Banach spaces and obtained continuity and stability properties of Bregman projections.

Remark 1.1. *We now make the following observations:*

- (1) *The property of totally convexity of f is less stringent than uniform convexity (see [9], section 2.3).*
- (2) *The function f is totally convex on bounded subsets if and only if f is uniformly convex on bounded subsets (see [12], Theorem 2.10).*

The function f is called sequentially consistent (see [12]) if for any sequences $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\{y_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in $\text{intdom } f$ and $\text{dom } f$ respectively, such that $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is bounded and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_f(y_n, x_n) = 0 \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Let C be a convex subset of $\text{intdom } f$ and let T be a self-mapping of C . A point $p \in C$ is said to be a fixed point of T if $Tp = p$ and the set of fixed point of a mapping T will be denoted by $F(T)$ in this paper. A point $p \in C$ is said to be an *asymptotic fixed point* of T if C contains a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ which converges weakly to p and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - Tx_n\| = 0$. The set of asymptotic fixed points of T is denoted by $\hat{F}(T)$.

Definition 1.2. [5] *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of E . A mapping $T : C \rightarrow \text{intdom } f$ is called*

- (i) *Bregman Firmly Nonexpansive (BFNE for short) if*

$$\langle \nabla f(Tx) - \nabla f(Ty), Tx - Ty \rangle \leq \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(y), Tx - Ty \rangle \quad \forall x, y \in C.$$

- (ii) *Bregman Strongly Nonexpansive (BSNE) with respect to a nonempty $\hat{F}(T)$ if*

$$D_f(p, Tx) \leq D_f(p, x)$$

for all $p \in \hat{F}(T)$ and $x \in C$ and if whenever $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C$ is bounded, $p \in \hat{F}(T)$ and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (D_f(p, x_n) - D_f(p, Tx_n)) = 0,$$

it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_f(Tx_n, x_n) = 0.$$

- iii) *Bregman relatively nonexpansive if $\hat{F}(T) = F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$D_f(p, Tx) \leq D_f(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T).$$

- (iv) *Bregman quasi-nonexpansive if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and*

$$D_f(p, Tx) \leq D_f(p, x), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T).$$

- (v) *Bregman quasi- k -strictly pseudocontractive (see [25]), if there exists a constant $k \in [0, 1)$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$; such that*

$$D_f(p, Tx) \leq D_f(p, x) + k D_f(x, Tx), \forall x \in C, p \in F(T).$$

- (vi) *closed if for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} \subset C$ with $x_n \rightarrow x \in C$ and $Tx_n \rightarrow y \in C$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $Tx = y$.*

Let $B : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a single-valued nonlinear mapping and $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a set-valued mapping. Then the Variational Inclusion Problem (VIP) (for the sum of the two mappings) is to find $x \in E$ such that

$$0^* \in A(x) + B(x). \tag{4}$$

The solution set of problem (4) is denoted by $\text{VIP}(A, B)$.

The VIP (4) has been applied to solving problems arising in mechanics, optimization, nonlinear programming, economics, finance, applied sciences, etc (see for example [1, 14, 15, 24] and the references therein). However, most of the existing iterative schemes for approximating zeros of the sum of two monotone operators are mainly found in the frame work of Hilbert spaces, whereas many important problems related to practical problems are generally defined in Banach spaces. For example, the maximal monotone operator related to elliptic boundary value problem has Sobolev space $W^{1,p}(\Omega)$, ($\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$) as its natural domain of definition [17]. Thus the need to extend the problem of finding zeros of monotone operators to real Banach spaces.

Let $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a mapping. Then A is said to be a monotone if for any $x, y \in \text{dom}A$, we have

$$\xi \in Ax \text{ and } \eta \in Ay \implies \langle \xi - \eta, x - y \rangle \geq 0, \quad (5)$$

and A is said to be maximal monotone if A is monotone and the graph of A is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. Let $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone mapping, then for any $\lambda > 0$ the resolvent of A associated with λ is the operator $\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f : E \rightarrow 2^E$ defined by

$$\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f = (\nabla f + \lambda A)^{-1} \circ \nabla f. \quad (6)$$

It is known that $\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f$ is a BFNE operator, single-valued and $F(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f) = A^{-1}(0)$ (see [5]). If $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of E , then $\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f$ is BSNE and $\hat{F}(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f) = F(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f)$ (see [19]).

Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space E , a mapping $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ is called single valued Bregman inverse strongly monotone (BISM) on the set C if

$$C \cap (\text{int dom}f) \neq \emptyset \quad (7)$$

and for any $x, y \in C \cap (\text{int dom}f)$, we have

$$\langle Ax - Ay, \nabla f^*(\nabla f(x) - Ax) - \nabla f^*(\nabla f(y) - Ay) \rangle \geq 0. \quad (8)$$

Remark 1.2. (see [16]). *The class of BISM mappings is more general than the class of firmly nonexpansive operators in Hilbert spaces. Indeed, if $f = \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$, then $\nabla f = \nabla f^* = I$, where I is the identity operator and (8) becomes*

$$\langle Ax - Ay, x - Ax - (y - Ay) \rangle \geq 0, \quad (9)$$

that is

$$\|Ax - Ay\|^2 \leq \langle x - y, Ax - Ay \rangle. \quad (10)$$

For more details on BISM see [11, 20] and the references therein. The anti-resolvent $A_\lambda^f : E \rightarrow E$ associated with a mapping $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ and $\lambda > 0$ is defined by

$$A_\lambda^f := \nabla f^* \circ (\nabla f - \lambda A). \quad (11)$$

If the Legendre function f is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of E ; then the anti-resolvent A_λ^f is a single-valued BSNE operator which satisfies $F(A_\lambda^f) = \hat{F}(A_\lambda^f)$ (cf. [19]).

Let E be a real Banach space. A mapping $T : E \rightarrow 2^E$ is called accretive if for each $x, y \in E$, there exists $j(x - y) \in J(x - y)$ (J is the normalised duality mapping), such that

$$\langle j(x - y), u - v \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall u \in Tx, v \in Ty.$$

Clearly, the accretive operators and the monotone operators on Banach spaces are two distinct extensions of the monotone operators from Hilbert spaces to Banach spaces but it is the problem of finding the zeros of accretive operators that have been given much attention in the literature. On the other hand, finding the zeros of monotone operators in Banach spaces are still not so popular and even the very few that existed only considered the case for a single operator i.e., $0 \in Bx$ instead of $0 \in (B + A)x$.

Inspired and motivated by the fact that the study of monotone variational inclusion problems for sum of two operators has mainly been restricted to Hilbert spaces, we design an iterative algorithm for approximating a fixed point of Bregman quasi- k -strict pseudo-contraction mappings, which is also a solution of a monotone variational inclusion problem for sum of two mappings A and B where A is a maximal monotone mapping and B is a Bregman inverse strongly monotone mapping on a real reflexive Banach space. Our result extend and compliment some related results in the literature for example [22, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30].

2. Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. (see [22], Proposition 8). *Let $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator such that $A^{-1}(0^*) \neq \emptyset$. Then*

$$D_f(u, \text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f(x)) + D_f(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f(x), x) \leq D_f(u, x), \quad (12)$$

for all $\lambda > 0$, $u \in A^{-1}(0^*)$ and $x \in X$.

Lemma 2.2. (see [16], Proposition 11). *Let $A : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a BISM mapping such that $A^{-1}(0^*) \neq \emptyset$. Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Legendre function which satisfies the range condition, $\text{ran}(\nabla f - \lambda A) \subset \text{ran}(\nabla f)$. Then the following hold:*

- (i) $A^{-1}(0^*) = F(A_\lambda^f)$,
- (ii) the anti-resolvent A_λ^f is a BFNE operator. In addition,

$$D_f(u, A_\lambda^f x) + D_f(A_\lambda^f x, x) \leq D_f(u, x)$$

for any $u \in A^{-1}(0^*)$ and for all $x \in \text{dom } A_\lambda^f$.

Lemma 2.3. ([9]). *The function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is totally convex on bounded sets if and only if it is sequentially consistent.*

Lemma 2.4. ([21]). *If $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of E , then ∇f is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E from the strong topology of E to the strong topology of E^* .*

Lemma 2.5. ([16]). *Assume that $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a Legendre function which is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subset of E . Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of E . Let $\{T_i : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ be BSNE operators which satisfy $\hat{F}(T_i) = F(T_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ and let $T := T_N \circ T_{N-1} \circ \dots \circ T_1$. If $\cap\{F(T_i) : 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ and $F(T)$ are nonempty, then T is also BSNE with $F(T) = \hat{F}(T)$.*

The Bregman projection (see, [6]) with respect to f of $x \in \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ onto a nonempty, closed and convex set $C \subset \text{int}(\text{dom } f)$ is defined as the necessarily unique vector $\text{Proj}_C^f(x) \in C$, which satisfies

$$D_f(\text{Proj}_C^f(x), x) = \inf\{D_f(y, x) : y \in C\}. \quad (13)$$

Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E . Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function and let $x \in E$. It is known from [12] that $z = \text{Proj}_C^f x$ if and only if

$$\langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(z), y - z \rangle \leq 0, \quad \forall y \in C. \quad (14)$$

We also have,

$$D_f(y, \text{Proj}_C^f(x)) + D_f(\text{Proj}_C^f(x), x) \leq D_f(y, x), \quad \forall x \in E, y \in C. \quad (15)$$

Proposition 2.1. *Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a convex, Legendre and Gâteaux differentiable function. In addition, if $f : E \rightarrow (-\infty; +\infty]$ is a proper lower semi-continuous function, then $f^* : E^* \rightarrow (-\infty, +\infty]$ is a proper weak* lower semi-continuous and convex function (see [18]). Hence V_f is convex in the second variable. Thus, for all $z \in E$,*

$$D_f(z, \nabla f^*(\sum_{i=1}^N t_i \nabla f(x_i))) \leq \sum_{i=1}^N t_i D_f(z, x_i). \quad (16)$$

where $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^N \subset E$ and $\{t_i\} \subset (0, 1)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^N t_i = 1$.

Lemma 2.6. ([21]). *If $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of E , then ∇f is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E from the strong topology of E to the strong topology of E^* .*

Lemma 2.7. ([9]). *The function f is totally convex on bounded sets if and only if it is sequentially consistent.*

Lemma 2.8. ([22]). *Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Gâteaux differentiable and totally convex function. If $x_0 \in E$ and the sequence $\{D_f(x_n, x_0)\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded, then the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is also bounded.*

Lemma 2.9. ([25]). *Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Legendre function which is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of E . Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a Bregman quasi-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with respect to f . Then $F(T)$ is closed and convex.*

Lemma 2.10. ([25]). *Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a Legendre function which is uniformly Fréchet differentiable on bounded subsets of E . Let C be a nonempty, closed, and convex subset of E and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a Bregman quasi-strictly pseudocontractive mapping with respect to f . Then, for any $x \in C, p \in F(T)$ and $k \in [0, 1)$ the following holds:*

$$D_f(x, Tx) \leq \frac{1}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x) - \nabla f(Tx), x - p \rangle.$$

3. Main Results

Theorem 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space E and Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of E such that $C \subset \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$. Let $B : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a BISM mapping and $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and Bregman quasi- k -strict pseudo-contraction such that $\Gamma = F(T) \cap F(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated iteratively as follows.*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C &= C_0 \\ y_n &= \nabla f^*(\alpha_n \nabla f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n)]) \\ u_n &= \nabla f^*(\beta_n \nabla f(y_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \nabla f(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f(y_n))) \\ C_{n+1} &= \{z \in C_n : D_f(z, y_n) + D_f(x_n, u_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(Tx_n), x_n - z \rangle + \langle \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(u_n), x_n - z \rangle\} \\ x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (17)$$

Let α_n, β_n and γ_n be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n) \gamma_n > 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* = P_\Gamma^f x_0$.

Before we start the proof of the theorem, let us make the following important observation: It is known that $Res_{\lambda A}^f$ and B_λ^f are BSNE operators and since $F(Res_{\lambda A}^f) \cap F(B_\lambda^f) = F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f) = (A + B)^{-1}0 \neq \emptyset$, it then follows from Lemma 2.5 that $Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f$ is BSNE and $F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f) = \hat{F}(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f)$. Moreover, $F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f) = (A + B)^{-1}0$.

Proof. We partition the proof into 4 steps.

Step 1. $\Gamma \subset C_n$ for all $n \geq 0$.

It has been shown that $F(T)$ is closed and convex (see Lemma 2.9). Moreover, $Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f$ is a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping and therefore $F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f)$ is closed and convex (see, [19]). Hence, we have that Γ is nonempty, closed and convex and that P_Γ^f is well defined.

Next we show that C_n is closed and convex $\forall n \geq 0$. From the statement of Theorem 3.1, we have that $C_0 = C$ is closed and convex. Now suppose that C_j is closed and convex for some $j \geq 1$. Let $z \in C_{j+1}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(z, y_j) + D_f(x_j, u_j) &\leq D_f(z, x_j) + \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla f(Tx_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

which is the same as

$$\begin{aligned} 2f(x_j) - f(y_j) - f(u_j) &\leq \langle \nabla f(u_j), x_j - u_j \rangle + \langle \nabla f(y_j), z - y_j \rangle - \langle \nabla f(x_j), z - x_j \rangle \\ &\quad + \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla f(Tx_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Therefore, C_{j+1} is closed and convex and then we conclude that C_n is closed and convex for all $n \geq 0$.

We now show that $\Gamma \subset C_n \forall n \geq 0$. Obviously, $\Gamma \subset C_0 = C$. Assume that $\Gamma \subset C_j$ for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $z \in \Gamma$, then from (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(z, u_j) &= D_f(z, \nabla f^*(\beta_j \nabla f(y_j) + (1 - \beta_j) \nabla f(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f(y_j)))) \\ &\leq \beta_j D_f(z, y_j) + (1 - \beta_j) D_f(z, Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f(y_j)) \\ &\leq \beta_j D_f(z, y_j) + (1 - \beta_j) D_f(z, y_j) \\ &= D_f(z, y_j). \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

Also,

$$\begin{aligned} D_f(z, y_j) &= D_f(z, \nabla f^*(\alpha_j \nabla f(x_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)[(1 - \gamma_j) \nabla f(x_j) + \gamma_j \nabla f(Tx_j)])) \\ &\leq \alpha_j D_f(z, x_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)(1 - \gamma_j) D_f(z, x_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)\gamma_j D_f(z, Tx_j) \\ &\leq \alpha_j D_f(z, x_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)(1 - \gamma_j) D_f(z, x_j) + (1 - \alpha_j)\gamma_j D_f(z, x_j) \\ &\quad + (1 - \alpha_j)\gamma_j k D_f(x_j, Tx_j) \\ &\leq \alpha_j D_f(z, x_j) + k D_f(x_j, Tx_j) \\ &\leq \alpha_j D_f(z, x_j) + \frac{k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Moreover, from the three point identity (3), we have

$$D_f(z, u_j) = D_f(z, x_j) + D_f(x_j, u_j) + \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(u_j), z - x_j \rangle. \quad (22)$$

From (21) and (22), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(x_j, u_j) &= D_f(z, u_j) - D_f(z, x_j) + \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\leq D_f(z, y_j) - D_f(z, x_j) + \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\leq D_f(z, x_j) - D_f(z, x_j) + \frac{k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\leq D_f(z, x_j) - D_f(z, x_j) + \frac{1}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla f(Tx_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Therefore, from (21) and (23), we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(z, y_j) + D_f(x_j, u_j) &\leq D_f(z, x_j) + \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_j) - \nabla f(Tx_j), x_j - z \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla f(Tx_j) - \nabla f(u_j), x_j - z \rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Hence $z \in C_{j+1}$ and thus $\Gamma \subset C_n \forall n \geq 0$.

Step 2. $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ for some $x^* \in C$.

Since $x_n = P_{C_n}^f x_0$ and $x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}^f x_0 \in C_{n+1} \subset C_n$, then

$$D_f(x_n, x_0) \leq D_f(x_{n+1}, x_0), \quad n \geq 1. \tag{25}$$

Again from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(x_n, x_0) &= D_f(P_{C_n}^f x_0, x_0) \\
&\leq D_f(z, x_0) - D_f(z, x_n) \\
&\leq D_f(z, x_0).
\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Combining (25) and (26), we conclude that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_f(x_n, x_0)$ exists.

Furthermore, since $x_m = P_{C_m}^f x_0 \in C_m \subset C_n$ for $m > n$, then from (15), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(x_m, x_n) &= D_f(x_m, P_{C_n}^f x_0) \\
&\leq D_f(x_m, x_0) - D_f(P_{C_n}^f x_0, x_0) \\
&= D_f(x_m, x_0) - D_f(x_n, x_0) \rightarrow 0, \quad n, m \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{27}$$

Since f is totally convex on bounded subsets of E , then it follows from Lemma 2.7 that f is sequentially consistent. Thus $\|x_m - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, m, n \rightarrow \infty$, which means that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Since C is a closed subset of a reflexive Banach space E and $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in C , then there exists $x^* \in C$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^*, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 3. $x^* \in F(T) \cap F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f)$.

Clearly, since $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} D_f(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0, \tag{28}$$

which implies

$$\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{29}$$

Again, from $x_{n+1} = P_{C_{n+1}}^f x_0 \in C_{n+1}$, and (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
D_f(x_{n+1}, y_n) + D_f(x_n, u_n) &\leq D_f(x_{n+1}, x_n) + \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(Tx_n), x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \\
&\quad + \langle \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(u_n), x_n - x_{n+1} \rangle \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.
\end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

Thus $D_f(x_{n+1}, y_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$ and $D_f(x_n, u_n) \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$.

Therefore, $\|x_{n+1} - y_n\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|x_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0$.

Furthermore,

$$\|y_n - x_n\| \leq \|y_n - x_{n+1}\| + \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (31)$$

and

$$\|x_{n+1} - u_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (32)$$

Also,

$$\|y_n - u_n\| \leq \|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - u_n\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (33)$$

Since f is uniformly Fréchet differentiable and bounded on bounded subsets of E , we have from Lemma 2.6 that ∇f is uniformly continuous on bounded subsets of E . Thus it follows from (31) and (32) respectively that $\|\nabla f(y_n) - \nabla f(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0$ and $\|\nabla f(u_n) - \nabla f(y_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. But from (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla f(y_n) - \nabla f(x_n)\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n \nabla f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n)] - \nabla f(x_n)\| \\ &= \|\alpha_n(\nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(x_n)) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(x_n)]\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|(1 - \gamma_n)(\nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(x_n)) + \gamma_n(\nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(x_n))\| \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n\|\nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(x_n)\|, \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

which gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(x_n)\| \\ &= \frac{1}{(1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n} \|\nabla f(y_n) - \nabla f(x_n)\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (35)$$

Similarly,

$$\|\nabla f(u_n) - \nabla f(y_n)\| = (1 - \beta_n)\|\nabla f(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f(y_n) - \nabla f(y_n)\|, \quad (36)$$

which implies

$$\|\nabla f(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f(y_n) - \nabla f(y_n)\| = \frac{1}{(1 - \beta_n)} \|\nabla f(u_n) - \nabla f(y_n)\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (37)$$

Since ∇f^* is uniformly norm-to-norm continuous on bounded subset of E^* , we have from (35) and (37) respectively that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Tx_n - x_n\| = 0, \quad (38)$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f(y_n) - y_n\| = 0. \quad (39)$$

It follows from (38), the fact that T is closed and $x_n \rightarrow x^*$ that $x^* \in F(T)$. Again, since $Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f$ is a Bregman strongly nonexpansive mapping such that $\hat{F}(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f) = F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f)$ and $y_n \rightarrow x^*$, then it follows from (39) that $x^* \in F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f)$. Thus $x^* \in F(T) \cap F(Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f)$.

Step 4. $x^* = P_{\Gamma}^f x_0$.

From $x_n = P_{C_n}^f x_0$ and (14), we have

$$\langle \nabla f(x_0) - \nabla f(x_n), x_n - z \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall z \in C_n.$$

Thus since $\Gamma \subset C_n$, we have

$$\langle \nabla f(x_0) - \nabla f(x_n), x_n - p \rangle \geq 0, \quad \forall p \in \Gamma. \quad (40)$$

Taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (40), we obtain that

$$\langle \nabla f(x_0) - \nabla f(x^*), x^* - p \rangle \geq 0, \forall p \in \Gamma, \quad (41)$$

which implies from the characterisation of the Bregman projection that $x^* = P_\Gamma^f x_0$. \square

Corollary 3.1. *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space E and Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of E such that $C \subset \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$. Let $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and Bregman quasi- k -strict pseudo-contraction such that $\Gamma_1 = F(T) \cap F(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated iteratively as follows.*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C &= C_0 \\ y_n &= \nabla f^*(\alpha_n \nabla f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n)]) \\ u_n &= \nabla f^*(\beta_n \nabla f(y_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \nabla f(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f(y_n))) \\ C_{n+1} &= \{z \in C_n : D_f(z, y_n) + D_f(x_n, u_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(Tx_n), x_n - z \rangle + \langle \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(u_n), x_n - z \rangle\} \\ x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (42)$$

Let α_n, β_n and γ_n be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n > 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* = P_{\Gamma_1}^f x_0$.

Corollary 3.2. *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space E and Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of E such that $C \subset \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$. Let $B : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a BISM mapping and let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and Bregman quasi- k -strict pseudo-contraction such that $\Gamma_2 = F(T) \cap F(B_\lambda^f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated iteratively as follows.*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C &= C_0 \\ y_n &= \nabla f^*(\alpha_n \nabla f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n)]) \\ u_n &= \nabla f^*(\beta_n \nabla f(y_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \nabla f(B_\lambda^f(y_n))) \\ C_{n+1} &= \{z \in C_n : D_f(z, y_n) + D_f(x_n, u_n) \\ &\leq \frac{1+k}{1-k} \langle \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(Tx_n), x_n - z \rangle + \langle \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(u_n), x_n - z \rangle\} \\ x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

Let α_n, β_n and γ_n be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n > 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* = P_{\Gamma_2}^f x_0$.

Corollary 3.3. *Let C be a nonempty, closed and convex subset of a reflexive real Banach space E and Let $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a strongly coercive Legendre function which is bounded, uniformly Fréchet differentiable and totally convex on bounded subsets of E such that $C \subset \text{int}(\text{dom}f)$. Let $B : E \rightarrow E^*$ be a Bregman quasi-nonexpansive mapping and $A : E \rightarrow 2^{E^*}$ be a maximal monotone operator. Let $T : C \rightarrow C$ be a closed and Bregman quasi-nonexpansive such that $\Gamma_3 = F(T) \cap F(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f) \neq \emptyset$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the sequence generated iteratively as follows.*

$$\begin{cases} x_0 \in C &= C_0 \\ y_n &= \nabla f^*(\alpha_n \nabla f(x_n) + (1 - \alpha_n)[(1 - \gamma_n) \nabla f(x_n) + \gamma_n \nabla f(Tx_n)]) \\ u_n &= \nabla f^*(\beta_n \nabla f(y_n) + (1 - \beta_n) \nabla f(\text{Res}_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_\lambda^f(y_n))) \\ C_{n+1} &= \{z \in C_n : D_f(z, y_n) + D_f(x_n, u_n) \\ &\leq \langle \nabla f(x_n) - \nabla f(Tx_n), x_n - z \rangle + \langle \nabla f(Tx_n) - \nabla f(u_n), x_n - z \rangle\} \\ x_{n+1} &= P_{C_{n+1}} x_0, n \geq 0. \end{cases} \quad (44)$$

Let α_n, β_n and γ_n be sequences in $(0, 1)$ such that $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \alpha_n)\gamma_n > 0$ and $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} (1 - \beta_n) > 0$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to $x^* = P_{\Gamma_3}^f x_0$

4. Conclusion

In a real Hilbert space H , A mapping $T : C \rightarrow C$, (C is a closed convex subset of H) is said to be k -demicontractive, if $\exists k \in [0, 1)$ and $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ such that

$$\|Tx - p\|^2 \leq \|x - p\|^2 + k\|x - Tx\|^2, \forall x \in H, p \in F(T). \quad (45)$$

Moreover, if we take $f = \frac{1}{2}\|\cdot\|^2$ in a real Hilbert space, we have that $\nabla f = I$ and $D_f(x, y) = \frac{1}{2}\|x - y\|^2$, where I is the identity operator of H . Thus, it is easy to see that the Bregman- k -strictly pseudocontractive mapping is more general than the k -demicontractive mappings in real Hilbert space. Also, we observe that $Res_{\lambda A}^f = (I + \lambda A)^{-1} = J_{\lambda A}$ and $B_{\lambda}^f = (I - \lambda B)$, which means that $Res_{\lambda A}^f \circ B_{\lambda}^f$ becomes the resolvent operator $J_{\lambda A}(I - \lambda A)$ popularly used in approximating VIP (4) for a maximal monotone operator A and an α -inverse strongly monotone operator B in real Hilbert spaces. Hence our result in this paper extends the results on finding common solutions of VIP (4) for two operators and fixed point problems for demicontractive mappings(also quasi nonexpansive mappings) from real Hilbert space to real reflexive Banach space. We note here that the BISM operator is 1-inverse strongly monotone in Hilbert space.

Acknowledgement: This work was done when the author is a Post-doctoral Research Fellow at the School of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science, University of KwaZulu-Natal, Durban, South Africa and the author is supported by the National Research Foundation (NRF) of South Africa(S& F-DST/NRF Innovation Postdoctoral Fellowship; Reference Number: SFP170530234929). Opinions expressed and conclusions arrived are those of the author and are not necessarily to be attributed to the NRF.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Ahmad, Q. H. Ansari and S. S. Irfan, Generalized variational inclusions and generalized resolvent equations in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **49**(2005), 1825-1835.
- [2] Y. I. Alber and D. Butnariu, Convergence of Bregman projection methods for solving consistent convex feasibility problems in reflexive Banach spaces, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.*, **92**(1997), 33-61.
- [3] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, Legendre functions and the method of random Bregman projections, *J. Convex Anal.*, **4**(1997), 27-67.
- [4] H. H. Bauschke, J. M. Borwein and P. L. Combettes, Essential smoothness, essential strict convexity, and Legendre functions in Banach spaces, *Commun. Contemp. Math.*, **3**(2001), 615-647.
- [5] H. H. Bauschke, J. M. Borwein and P. L. Combettes, Bregman monotone optimization algorithms, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **42** (2003), 596-636.
- [6] L. M. Bregman, The relaxation method for finding common points of convex sets and its application to the solution of problems in convex programming, *USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys.*, **7**(1967), 200-217.
- [7] J. F. Bonnans and A. Shapiro, Perturbation Analysis of Optimization Problems, Springer, New York, 2000.
- [8] D. Butnariu, Y. Censor and S. Reich, Iterative averaging of entropic projections for solving stochastic convex feasibility problems, *Comput. Optim. Appl.* **8** (1997) 21-39.
- [9] D. Butnariu and A. N. Iusem, Totally Convex Functions for Fixed Points Computation and Infinite Dimensional Optimization, *Applied Optimization*, vol. 40, Kluwer Academic, Dordrecht, 2000.

[10] *D. Butnariu, A. N. Iusem and C. Zalinescu*, On uniform convexity, total convexity and convergence of the proximal point and outer Bregman projection algorithms in Banach spaces, *J. Convex Anal.*, **10**(2003), 35-61.

[11] *D. Butnariu and G. Kassay*, A proximal-projection methods for finding zeroes of set-valued operators, *SIAM J. Control Optim.*, **47**, (2008), 2096-2136.

[12] *D. Butnariu and E. Resmerita*, Bregman distances, totally convex functions, and a method for solving operator equations in Banach spaces, *Abstr. Appl. Anal.*, **2006**, Art. ID 84919, 39 pp.

[13] *Y. Censor and A. Lent*, An iterative row-action method for interval convex programming, *J. Optim. Theory Appl.* **34** (1981) 321-353.

[14] *S. S. Chang*, Existence and approximation of solutions for set-valued variational inclusion in Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **47**(2001), 583-594.

[15] *Y. -P. Fang and N. -J. Huang*, H-accretive operators and resolvent operator technique for solving variational inclusions in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Lett.*, **17**, (2004), 647-653.

[16] *G. Kassay S. Riech and S. Sabach*, Iterative methods for solving systems of variational inequalities in Reflexive Banach spaces, *SIAM J. Optim.*, **21**(2011), 1319-1344.

[17] *U. Mosco*, Perturbation of Variational Inequalities [M].*Amer. Math. Soc.*, Providence, R.I., 1970.

[18] *R. P. Phelps*; Convex Functions, Monotone Operators, and Differentiability, 2nd Edition, in: Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1364, Springer Verlag, Berlin, 1993.

[19] *S. Reich and S. Sabach*, Existence and approximation of fixed points of Bregman firmly nonexpansive mappings in reflexive Banach spaces, in Fixed Point Algorithm for Inverse problems in Science and Engineering Volume 49, Edited by H.H. Bauschke et.al. Springer, New York, (2011), 299314.

[20] *S. Riech and S. Sabach*, Two strong convergence theorems for Bregman strongly nonexpansive operators in reflexive Banach spaces, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **73**, (2010), 122-135.

[21] *S. Reich and S. Sabach*, A strong convergence theorem for a proximal-type algorithm in reflexive Bnanch spaces, *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **10**(2009), 471-485.

[22] *S. Reich and S. Sabach*, Two strong convergence theorems for a proximal method in reflexive Banach spaces, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.*, **31**(2010), 22-44.

[23] *E. Resmerita*, On total convexity, Bregman projections and stability in Banach spaces, *J. Convex Anal.*, **11**(2004), 1-16.

[24] *C. -F. Shi and S. -Y Liu*, Generalized set-valued variational inclusions in q-uniformly smooth Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **296**, (2004), 553-562.

[25] *G. C. Ugwunnadi, B. Ali, I. Idris and M. S. Minjibir*, Strong convergence theorem for quasi-Bregman strictly pseudocontractive mappings and equilibrium problems in Banach spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014**, 2014:231, 16 pp.

[26] *Z-M. Wang and A. Wei*, Some results on a finite family of Bregman quasi-strict pseudo-contractions, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10** (2017), 975-989.

[27] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache, Y.C. Liou and Z. Yao*, Construction algorithms for a class of monotone variational inequalities. *Optim. Lett.* **10**(2016), No. 7, 1519-1528.

[28] *Y. Yao, M. Postolache and Y.C. Liou*, Variant extragradient-type method for monotone variational inequalities. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Art. No. 185 (2013).

[29] *T. Yuying and S. plubbtieang*, Strong convergence theorem by hybrid and shrinking projection methods for sum of two monotone operators, *J. Inequal Appl.*, **2017**: 2017(1) 72.

[30] *J. Zhang and N. Jiang*, Hybrid algorithm for common solution of monotone inclusion problem and fixed point problem and application to variational inequalities, *Springerplus* (2016)5:803.