

# ON AN EIGENVALUE PROBLEM INVOLVING THE VARIABLE EXPONENT AND INDEFINITE WEIGHT

Qing-Mei Zhou<sup>1</sup>, Ke-Qi Wang<sup>\*2</sup>, Bin Ge<sup>3</sup>

*This paper is mainly concerned with the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian eigenvalue problem with a indefinite weight function, that is,*

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta_{p(x)} u = \lambda V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

*The main result of this paper establishes that any  $\lambda > 0$  sufficiently small is an eigenvalue of the above nonhomogeneous quasilinear problem. The proofs will be based on the Ekeland's variational principle combined with adequate variational techniques.*

**Keywords:** Eigenvalue problem, Variable exponent, Indefinite weight, Weak solution.

**MSC2010:** 35D 05, 35J 60, 35P 30.

## 1. Introduction

The study of eigenvalue problems involving operators with variable exponents growth conditions has captured a special attention in the last few years. For more details we refer to Mihăilescu et al. [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7], Fan, Zhang and Zhao [8, 9], Kefi et al. [10, 11, 12] and Benouhiba [13].

Recently, Ge in [14] studied the following nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(a(|\nabla u|)\nabla u) = \lambda V(x) |u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases} \quad (P)$$

where  $\lambda > 0$  is a real number,  $V$  is an indefinite sign-changing weight and  $q : \overline{\Omega} \rightarrow (1, \infty)$  is a continuous function. In the case when  $a(|\nabla u(x)|) = |\nabla u(x)|^{p(x)-2}$  with  $p$  is a continuous function on  $\overline{\Omega}$ , problem (P) is reduced to the following nonlinear eigenvalue problem

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) = \lambda V(x) |u|^{q(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P_0)$$

Thus, when  $1 < q(x) < \inf_{x \in \Omega} p(x) \leq \sup_{x \in \Omega} p(x) < s(x)$  for any  $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  is an eigenvalue for problem  $(P_0)$ .

From the above cited contributions, we are interested in the existence of solutions for the following the nonhomogeneous eigenvalue problem  $(P_0)$  with  $q(x) = p(x)$

$$\begin{cases} -\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u) = \lambda V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases} \quad (P_1)$$

<sup>1</sup>Library, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, 150040, P.R. China, e-mail: zhouqm@nefu.edu.cn

<sup>2</sup>College of Mechanical and Electrical Engineering, Northeast Forestry University, Harbin, 150040, P.R. China, e-mail: wangkqnefu@163.com (Correspondence author)

<sup>3</sup>School of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin, 150001, P.R. China, e-mail: gebin791025@hrbeu.edu.cn

On the other hand, problems like  $(P_0)$  have been largely considered in the literature in the recent years. We give in what follows a concise but complete image of the actual stage of research on this topic.

- In the case when  $V(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x) < \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$  and  $q(x)$  has a subcritical growth

Mihăilescu and Rădulescu [1] used the Ekeland's variational principle in order to prove the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues which lies in a neighborhood of the origin.

- In the case when  $V(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x) < \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x) \leq \max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x) < \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$ , similar

with those used by Fan and Zhang in the proof of Theorem 4.7 in [15], can be applied in order to show that any  $\lambda > 0$  is an eigenvalue of problem  $(P_0)$ .

- In the case when  $V(x) \equiv 1$ ,  $\max_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} q(x) < \min_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} p(x)$  it can be proved that the energy

functional associated to problem  $(P_0)$  has a nontrivial minimum for any positive  $\lambda$  (see Theorem 4.3 in [15]). Clearly, in this case the result in [1] can be also applied. Consequently, in this situation there exist two positive constants  $\lambda_*$  and  $\lambda^*$  such that any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_*) \cup (\lambda^*, +\infty)$  is an eigenvalue of problem  $(P_0)$ .

- The same problem, for  $V(x) = 1$  and  $p(x) = q(x)$  is studied by Fan, Zhang and Zhao in [9]. The authors established the existence of infinitely many eigenvalues for problem  $(P_0)$  by using an argument based on the Ljusternik-Schnirelmann critical point theory. Denoting by  $\Lambda$  the set of all nonnegative eigenvalues, they showed that  $\sup \Lambda = +\infty$  and they pointed out that only under special conditions, which are somehow connected with a kind of monotony of the function  $p(x)$ , we have  $\inf \Lambda > 0$  (this is in contrast with the case when  $p(x)$  is a constant; then, we always have  $\inf \Lambda > 0$ ).

Motivated by all results mentioned above, it is very natural for us to pose an interesting question, that is,

**Question:** In the case that  $V(x)$  is allowed to be sign-changing and  $p(x) = q(x)$ . Can we obtain the same results as described in [1, 14] by replacing them with some suitable assumptions?

Few papers have treated the existence of nontrivial solutions for problem  $(P_1)$ . Can we achieve the result? In the present paper, we restrict our attention to the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues for problem  $(P_1)$  and are most interested in seeking definite answers to **Question**. To be precise, we make the following hypotheses on  $p, r, V$ .

$(h_1)$   $p, r \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ ,  $1 < p(x) \leq N$ ,  $r(x) > \frac{Np(x)}{p(x)-1}$ ,  $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$ ,  $V \in L^{r(x)}(\Omega) \cap C(\Omega)$  and  $V > 0$  in  $\Omega_0 \subset \Omega$ , where  $|\Omega_0| > 0$ .

$(h_2)$  There exists an open subset  $U \subset \Omega_0$  and a point  $x_0 \in U$  such that  $p(x_0) < p(x)$  for all  $x \in \partial U$ .

Thus, the case considered here is different from all the cases studied before. In this new situation we will show the existence of a continuous family of eigenvalues for problem  $(P_1)$  in a neighborhood of the origin. More precisely, we show that there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  is an eigenvalue for problem  $(P_1)$ .

We start with some preliminary basic results on the theory of variable exponent Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . For more details we refer to the book by Diening-Harjulehto-Hästö-Ružička [16] and the papers by Fan et al. [17, 18], Kováčik-Rákosník [19], and Edmunds-Rákosník [20, 21]. Throughout this article, we assume that  $p \in C(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $p(x) > 1$ , for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ .

Set  $C_+(\bar{\Omega}) = \{h \mid h \in C(\bar{\Omega}), h(x) > 1 \text{ for all } x \in \bar{\Omega}\}$ . For any  $h \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$  we define  $h^+ = \sup_{x \in \Omega} h(x)$  and  $h^- = \inf_{x \in \Omega} h(x)$ . For any  $p \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$ , we define the generalized Lebesgue space

$$L^{p(x)}(\Omega) = \{u : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \mid u \text{ is measurable and } \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx < +\infty\}.$$

This Luxemburg type norm  $|u|_{p(x)} = \inf \left\{ \mu > 0 \mid \int_{\Omega} \left| \frac{u(x)}{\mu} \right|^{p(x)} dx \leq 1 \right\}$  makes  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  a Banach space. We denote by  $L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$  the conjugate space of  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ , where  $\frac{1}{p(x)} + \frac{1}{p'(x)} = 1$ . For any  $u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ ,  $v \in L^{p'(x)}(\Omega)$  the Hölder-type inequality  $\left| \int_{\Omega} uv dx \right| \leq 2|u|_{p(x)}|v|_{p'(x)}$  holds true.

An important role in manipulating the generalized Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces is played by the modular of the  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  space, which is the mapping  $\rho : L^{p(x)}(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  defined by  $\rho(u) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^{p(x)} dx$ . If  $u_n, u \in L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$ , then the following relations hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{p(x)} > 1 &\Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^- \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^+, \\ |u|_{p(x)} < 1 &\Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)}^+ \leq \rho(u) \leq |u|_{p(x)}^-, \\ |u_n - u|_{p(x)} \rightarrow 0 &\Leftrightarrow \rho(u_n - u) \rightarrow 0. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Moreover, if  $s(x) \in L^{\infty}(\Omega)$  with  $1 \leq p(x)s(x) \leq +\infty$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then for any  $u \in L^{s(x)}(\Omega)$  with  $u \neq 0$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |u|_{p(x)s(x)} > 1 &\Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)s(x)}^- \leq |u|^{p(x)}|_{s(x)} \leq |u|_{p(x)s(x)}^+, \\ |u|_{p(x)s(x)} < 1 &\Rightarrow |u|_{p(x)s(x)}^+ \leq |u|^{p(x)}|_{s(x)} \leq |u|_{p(x)s(x)}^-. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We also define  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  as the closure of  $C_0^{\infty}(\Omega)$  under the norm  $\|u\| = |\nabla u|_{p(x)}$ . Thus, the space  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$  is a separable and reflexive Banach space. Next, we recall some embedding results regarding variable exponent Lebesgue-Sobolev spaces. We note that if  $\alpha \in C_+(\bar{\Omega})$  and  $\alpha(x) < p^*(x)$  for all  $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , then the embedding  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$  is compact and continuous, where  $p^*(x)$  denotes the corresponding critical Sobolev exponent, that is  $p^*(x) = \frac{Np(x)}{N-p(x)}$  if  $p(x) < N$  or  $p^*(x) = +\infty$  if  $p(x) \geq N$ . We refer to [19] for more properties of Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponent.

For applications of Sobolev spaces with variable exponent we refer to Acerbi and Mingione [22], Chen, Levine, Rao [23], Ruzicka [24], and Zhikov [25].

## 2. The main results and proof of the theorem

We say that  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$  is an eigenvalue of problem  $(P_1)$  if there exists  $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} uv dx,$$

for all  $v \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . We point out that if  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of problem  $(P_1)$ , then the corresponding  $u \in W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega) \setminus \{0\}$  is a weak solution of  $(P_1)$ .

Our main result is given by the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Assume that conditions  $(h_1)$  and  $(h_2)$  are fulfilled. Then there exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  is an eigenvalue for problem  $(P_1)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $E$  denote the generalized Sobolev space  $W_0^{1,p(x)}(\Omega)$ . Define the functionals  $J, I : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$J(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx \text{ and } I(u) = \int_{\Omega} \frac{V(x)}{p(x)} |u|^{p(x)} dx.$$

Standard arguments imply that  $J, I \in C^1(E, \mathbb{R})$  with

$$\langle J'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u \nabla v dx \text{ and } \langle I'(u), v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} V(x) |u|^{p(x)-2} uv dx,$$

for any  $u, v \in E$ . Next, for any  $\lambda > 0$ , we define the functional associated with problem (P),  $\varphi_\lambda : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\varphi_\lambda(u) = J(u) - \lambda I(u), \quad \forall u \in E.$$

We divide the proof of Theorem 2.1 into three steps.

• **Step 1.** There exists  $\lambda_0 > 0$  such that for any  $0 < \lambda < \lambda_0$  there exist  $\rho, \nu > 0$  such that  $\varphi_\lambda(u) \geq \nu$  for any  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ .

Let  $\frac{1}{r(x)} + \frac{1}{r'(x)} = 1$ . Assumption  $(h_1)$  implies that  $r(x) > N$ ,  $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$ , furthermore,  $p(x)r(x) > N$ ,  $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$ . Thus,  $p(x)r'(x) < p^*(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$  it follows that the embeddings  $E \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)r'(x)}(\Omega)$  is compact and continuous. So, there exists a positive constant  $c_1 > 0$  such that

$$|u|_{p(x)r'(x)} \leq c_1 \|u\|. \quad (3)$$

We fix  $\rho \in (0, 1)$  such that  $\rho < \frac{1}{c_1}$ . Then relation (3) implies  $|u|_{p(x)r'(x)} < 1$ ,  $\forall u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$ . Taking into account relations (2) and (3) we deduce that for any  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$  the following inequalities hold true:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(u) &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} \int_{\Omega} |V(x)| |u|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} |u|^{p(x)}|_{q'(x)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} |u|_{p(x)r'(x)}^{p^-} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} c_1^{p^-} \|u\|^{p^-} \\ &= \frac{1}{p^+} \rho^{p^+} - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} c_1^{p^-} \rho^{p^-} \\ &= \rho^{p^-} \left( \frac{1}{p^+} \rho^{p^+ - p^-} - \frac{\lambda}{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} c_1^{p^-} \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the above inequality we remark that if we define  $\lambda_0 = \frac{p^- \rho^{p^+ - p^-}}{2c_1^{p^-} p^+ |V|_{r(x)}}$ , then for any  $\lambda \in (0, \lambda_0)$  any  $u \in E$  with  $\|u\| = \rho$  there exists  $\nu = \frac{\rho^{p^+}}{2p^+} > 0$  such that  $\varphi_\lambda(u) \geq \nu > 0$ . The Step 1 is completed.

• **Step 2.** There exists  $\eta \in E$  such that  $\eta \geq 0$ ,  $\eta \neq 0$  and  $\varphi_\lambda(\eta) < 0$ , for  $t > 0$  small enough.

From  $(h_2)$ , we may assume that  $\bar{U} \subset \Omega_0$ , then there is  $\varepsilon_1 > 0$  such that  $p(x_0) < p(x) - 4\varepsilon_1$  for any  $x \in \partial U$ , and there is  $\varepsilon_2 > 0$  such that

$$p(x_0) < p(x) - 2\varepsilon_1, \quad \forall x \in B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U), \quad (4)$$

where  $B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U) = \{x : \exists y \in \partial U \text{ s.t. } |x - y| < \varepsilon_2\}$ , and there is  $\varepsilon_3 > 0$  such that  $B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0) \subset U \setminus B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U)$  and

$$|p(x_0) - p(x)| < \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall x \in B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0). \quad (5)$$

From (4) and (5) it follows that

$$p(x) > p(y) + \varepsilon_1, \quad \forall x \in B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U), \forall y \in B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0). \quad (6)$$

Let  $\eta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_0)$  such that  $|\nabla \eta(x)| \leq c$ ,  $0 \leq \eta(x) \leq 1$  for any  $x \in \Omega_0$ , and

$$\eta(x) = \begin{cases} 0, & x \notin U \cup B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U), \\ 1, & x \in U \setminus B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U). \end{cases}$$

Thus, for all  $t \in (0, \delta)$  with  $\delta = \min\{1, \frac{1}{c}\}$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_\lambda(t\eta) &= \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla t\eta|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \int_\Omega \frac{1}{p(x)} V(x) |t\eta|^{p(x)} dx \\ &= \int_{B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U)} \frac{1}{p(x)} |\nabla t\eta|^{p(x)} dx - \lambda \int_{B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0)} \frac{1}{p(x)} V(x) |t\eta|^{p(x)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{p^-} \int_{B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U)} |ct|^{p(x)} dx - \frac{\lambda V_0}{p^+} \int_{B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0)} |t|^{p(x)} dx \leq \frac{1}{p^-} |B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U)| (ct)^{p(\xi_1)} - \frac{\lambda V_0}{p^+} |B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0)| t^{p(\xi_2)}, \end{aligned}$$

where  $\xi_1 \in B_{\varepsilon_2}(\partial U)$ ,  $\xi_2 \in B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0)$  and  $V_0 = \min_{x \in \overline{B_{\varepsilon_3}(x_0)}} V(x) > 0$ .

On the other hand, using (6) it follows that  $p(\xi_1) > p(\xi_2) + \varepsilon_1 > p(\xi_2)$ . Therefore  $\varphi_\lambda(t\eta) < 0$   $t > 0$  small enough. The proof of Step 2 is completed.

By Step 1, we have

$$\inf_{v \in \partial B_\rho(0)} \varphi_\lambda(v) > 0. \quad (7)$$

On the other hand, by Step 2, there exists  $\eta \in E$  such again  $\varphi_\lambda(t\eta) < 0$  for  $t > 0$  small enough. Using (2) and (3), we have  $\varphi_\lambda(u) \geq \frac{1}{p^+} \|u\|^{p^+} - \lambda c_1^{p^-} |V|_{r(x)} \|u\|^{p^-}$ ,  $\forall u \in B_\rho(0)$ . Thus,  $-\infty < c_\lambda := \inf_{v \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}} \varphi_\lambda(v) < 0$ . Now let  $\varepsilon$  be such that  $0 < \varepsilon < \inf_{v \in \partial B_\rho(0)} \varphi_\lambda(v) - \inf_{v \in B_\rho(0)} \varphi_\lambda(v)$ .

Then, by applying Ekeland's variational principle to the functional  $\varphi_\lambda : \overline{B_\rho(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , there exist  $u_\varepsilon \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}$  such that  $\varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{v \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}} \varphi_\lambda(v) + \varepsilon$ , and  $\varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) < \varphi_\lambda(u) + \varepsilon \|u - u_\varepsilon\|$ ,  $u \neq u_\varepsilon$ . Since  $\varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) \leq \inf_{v \in \overline{B_\rho(0)}} \varphi_\lambda(v) + \varepsilon \leq \inf_{v \in B_\rho(0)} \varphi_\lambda(v) + \varepsilon < \inf_{v \in \partial B_\rho(0)} \varphi_\lambda(v)$ , we deduce that  $u_\varepsilon \in B_\rho(0)$ .

Now, we define  $T_\lambda : \overline{B_\rho(0)} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $T_\lambda(u) = \varphi_\lambda(u) + \varepsilon \|u - u_\varepsilon\|$ . It is clear that  $u_\varepsilon$  is an minimum of  $T_\lambda$ . Therefore, for small  $t > 0$  and  $v \in B_1(0)$ , we have  $\frac{T_\lambda(u_\varepsilon + tv) - T_\lambda(u_\varepsilon)}{t} \geq 0$ , which implies that  $\frac{\varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon + tv) - \varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon)}{t} + \varepsilon \|v\| \geq 0$ . As  $t \rightarrow 0$ , we have  $\langle d\varphi_\lambda(u_\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \|v\| \rangle \geq 0$ ,  $\forall v \in B_1(0)$ . Hence,  $\|\varphi'_\lambda(u_\varepsilon)\|_{E^*} \leq \varepsilon$ . We deduce that there exists a sequence  $\{u_n\}_n^\infty \subset B_\rho(0)$  such that

$$\varphi_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow c_\lambda \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi'_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0. \quad (8)$$

It is clear that  $\{u_n\}_n^\infty$  is bounded in  $E$ . Thus, there exists  $u \in E$  such that, up to a subsequence,  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $E$ .

• **Step 3.** We will show that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $E$ .

Let  $\alpha(x) = \frac{r(x)p(x)}{r(x)-p(x)}$ . Assumption  $(h_1)$  implies that  $r(x) > N$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Thus,  $\alpha(x) < p^*(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ . Using again the fact that  $p(x) < p^*(x)$ ,  $\forall x \in \overline{\Omega}$ , we deduce that the embeddings  $E \hookrightarrow L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $E \hookrightarrow L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  are compact and continuous. So, there exists a positive constant  $c_2 > 0$  such that  $|u|_{p(x)} \leq c_2 \|u\|$ ,  $\forall u \in E$ . Thus

$$|u_n|_{p(x)} \leq c_2 \|u_n\| \quad \text{and} \quad u_n \rightarrow u \text{ in } L^{\alpha(x)}(\Omega). \quad (9)$$

The Hölder's type inequality and relation (9) imply

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_\Omega V(x) |u_n|^{p(x)-2} u_n (u_n - u) dx \right| &\leq |V|_{r(x)} \left| |u_n|^{p(x)-1} \right|_{p'(x)} |u_n - u|_{\alpha(x)} \\ &\leq |V|_{r(x)} (1 + |u_n|_{p(x)}^{p^+-1}) |u_n - u|_{\alpha(x)} \leq |V|_{r(x)} (1 + c_2^{p^+-1} \|u_n\|^{p^+-1}) |u_n - u|_{\alpha(x)} \\ &\leq |V|_{r(x)} (1 + c_2^{p^+-1} \rho^{p^+-1}) |u_n - u|_{\alpha(x)} \rightarrow 0, \text{ as } n \rightarrow +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Moreover, since  $d\varphi_\lambda(u_n) \rightarrow 0$  and  $\{u_n\}_n^\infty$  is bounded in  $E$ , we have

$$|\langle d\varphi_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle| \leq |\langle d\varphi_\lambda(u_n), u_n \rangle| + |\langle d\varphi_\lambda(u_n), u \rangle| \leq \|d\varphi_\lambda(u_n)\|_{E^*} \|u_n\| + \|d\varphi_\lambda(u_n)\|_{E^*} \|u\|,$$

that is,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle d\varphi_\lambda(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0$ . Using (10) and the last relation we deduce that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_n|^{p(x)-2} \nabla u_n \nabla (u_n - u) dx = 0. \quad (11)$$

From (11) and the fact that  $u_n \rightharpoonup u$  in  $E$  it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \langle J'(u_n), u_n - u \rangle = 0$ , and by Theorem 3.1 in Fan and Zhang [15] we deduce that  $u_n \rightarrow u$  in  $E$ . Thus, in view of (8), we obtain  $\varphi_\lambda(u) = c_\lambda < 0$  and  $\varphi'_\lambda(u) = 0$ . The proof of Theorem 2.1 is completed.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] *M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu*, On a nonhomogeneous quasilinear eigenvalue problem in Sobolev spaces with variable exponent, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **135** (2007), 2929–2937.
- [2] *M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu*, Concentration phenomena in nonlinear eigenvalue problems with variable exponents and sign-changing potential, *J. Anal. Math.*, **111** (2010), 267–287.
- [3] *M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu*, Continuous spectrum for a class of nonhomogeneous differential operators, *Manuscripta Math.*, **125** (2008), 157–167.
- [4] *M. Mihăilescu, V. Rădulescu, D. Repovš*, On a non-homogeneous eigenvalue problem involving a potential: an Orlicz-Sobolev space setting, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **93** (2010), 132–148.
- [5] *M. Mihăilescu, D. Stancu-Dumitru*, On an eigenvalue problem involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplace operator plus a non-local term, *Diff. Equ. Appl.*, **3** (2009), 367–378.
- [6] *M. Bocea and M. Mihăilescu*, On the continuity of the Luxemburg norm of the gradient in  $L^p(\cdot)$  with respect to  $p(\cdot)$ , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **142** (2014), 507–517.
- [7] *M. Bocea and M. Mihăilescu*, The principal frequency of  $\Delta_\infty$  as a limit of Rayleigh quotients involving Luxemburg norms, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **138** (2014), 236–252.
- [8] *X. L. Fan*, Remarks on eigenvalue problems involving the  $p(x)$ -Laplacian, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **352** (2009), 85–98.
- [9] *X. L. Fan, Q. H. Zhang, D. Zhao*, Eigenvalues of  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **302** (2005), 306–317.
- [10] *K. Benali, K. Kefi*, Mountain pass and Ekeland’s principle for eigenvalue problem with variable exponent, *Complex Var. Elliptic Eqns.*, **54** (2009), 795–809.
- [11] *M. Bouslimi, K. Kefi*, Existence of solution for an indefinite weight quasilinear problem with variable exponent, *Complex Var. Elliptic Eqn.*, **58** (2013), 1655–1666.
- [12] *K. Kefi*,  $p(x)$ -Laplacian with indefinite weight, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **139** (2011), 4351–4360.
- [13] *N. Benouhiba*, On the eigenvalues of weighted  $p(x)$ -Laplacian on  $\mathbb{R}^N$ , *Nonlinear Analysis.*, **74** (2011), 235–243.
- [14] *B. Ge*, On an eigenvalue problem with variable exponents and sign-changing potential, *Electron. J. Qual. Theory Differ. Equ.*, **92** (2015), 1–10.
- [15] *X.L. Fan, Q.H. Zhang*, Existence of solutions for  $p(x)$ -Laplacian Dirichlet problems, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **52** (2003), 1843–1852.
- [16] *L. Diening, P. Harjulehto, P. Hästö, and M. Ružička*, Lebesgue and Sobolev spaces with variable exponents, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, vol. 2017, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2011.
- [17] *X.L. Fan, J. S. Shen, D. Zhao*, Sobolev embedding theorems for spaces  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **262** (2001), 749–760.
- [18] *X.L. Fan, D. Zhao*, On the spaces  $L^{p(x)}(\Omega)$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **263** (2001), 424–446.
- [19] *O. Kováčik, K. Rákosník*, On spaces  $L^{p(x)}$  and  $W^{m,p(x)}$ , *Czechoslov. Math. J.*, **41** (1991), 592–618.
- [20] *D.E. Edmunds, J. Rákosník*, Sobolev embedding with variable exponent, *Studia Math.*, **143** (2000), 267–293.
- [21] *D.E. Edmunds, J. Rákosník*, Density of smooth functions in  $W^{k,p(x)}(\Omega)$ , *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser.*, **437** (1992), 229–236.
- [22] *E. Acerbi, G. Mingione*, Gradient estimates for the  $p(x)$ -Laplacean system, *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, **584** (2005), 117–148.
- [23] *Y.M. Chen, S. Levine, M. Rao*, Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, **66** (2006), 1383–1406.
- [24] *M. Ružička*, Electrorheological fluids: modeling and mathematical theory, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, Vol. 1748, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000.
- [25] *V.V. Zhikov*, Averaging of functionals of the calculus of variations and elasticity theory, *Math. USSR Izv.*, **29** (1987), 33–66.