

## FIXED POINT RESULTS FOR NONLINEAR CONTRACTIONS WITH GENERALIZED $\Omega$ -DISTANCE MAPPINGS

by Issam Abu-Irwaq<sup>1</sup>, Wasfi Shatanawi<sup>2</sup>, Anwar Bataihah<sup>3</sup> and Inam Nuseir<sup>4</sup>

*Khojasteh et.al. [F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla and S. Radenovic, A new approach to the study of fixed point theory for simulation functions, Filomat 29:6 (2015)] defined a new class of mappings namely simulation functions in which they used it to unify several fixed point results in the literature. In this paper we introduce the notion of  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{Z})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$  through generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings which introduced by Abodayeh et.al. [K. Abodayeh, A. Bataihah and W. Shatanawi, Generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings and some fixed point theorems, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A, Vol. 79, Iss.2, 2017] and we prove some fixed point results. Also, we give an example to support our main result.*

**Keywords:** fixed point, simulation mappings, G-metric spaces, generalized Omega-distance

### 1. Introduction

The fixed point theory considered as a main tool in pure and applied mathematics since it gives a solution for the equation  $f(x) = x$  for a self mapping  $f$  under some considerations. In fact the fixed point theory has been studied in various directions for instance see [12]–[34].

The concept of  $b$ -metric spaces was introduced by Bakhtin [3] which has became well known by Czerwinski [4]. In 2014 Aghanjani et.al. [2] introduced the concept of  $G_b$ -metric spaces (or generalized  $b$ -metric spaces) using the concepts of  $G$ -metric spaces and  $b$ -metric spaces and studied some fixed point results, for more fixed point results on  $G_b$ -metric spaces we refer the reader to see [5, 6].

### 2. Preliminaries

The concept of  $G_b$ -metric spaces is defined as follows:

**Definition 2.1.** [2] Let  $X$  be a nonempty set and  $s \geq 1$  be a given real number. Suppose that a mapping  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  be a function satisfies:

- ( $G_b$ 1)  $G(x, y, z) = 0$  if  $x = y = z$ ;
- ( $G_b$ 2)  $G(x, x, y) > 0$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , with  $x \neq y$ ;
- ( $G_b$ 3)  $G(x, y, y) \leq G(x, y, z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ , with  $y \neq z$ ;
- ( $G_b$ 4)  $G(x, y, z) = G(p\{x, y, z\})$ , where  $p$  is a permutation of  $x, y, z$  (symmetry);
- ( $G_b$ 5)  $G(x, y, z) \leq s[G(x, a, a) + G(a, y, z)] \forall x, y, z, a \in X$  (rectangle inequality).

Then the function  $G$  is called generalized  $b$  metric and the pair  $(X, G)$  is called a generalized  $b$  metric space or  $G_b$ -metric space.

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, Jordan, e-mail: imabuirwaq@just.edu.jo

<sup>2</sup>Department of General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia and Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hashimite University, P.O. Box 150459, Zarqa, Jordan e-mail:wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa and swasfi@hu.edu.jo

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, The University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan anwerbataihah@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Faculty of Science and Arts, Jordan University of Science and Technology, Irbid 22110, Jordan, e-mail: imnuseir@just.edu.jo

Aghanjani *et.al.* [2] remarked that the class of  $G_b$ -metric spaces is larger than that of G-metric spaces.

The following example shows that  $G_b$ -metric on  $X$  need not be  $G$ -metric on  $X$ .

**Example 2.1.** [2] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G$ -metric space and  $p > 1$ . Define  $G_* : X \times X \times X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$  by  $G_*(x, y, z) = G(x, y, z)^p$ . Then  $G_*$  is  $G_b$ -metric on  $X$  with  $s = 2^{p-1}$ .

Now, we present some definitions and propositions in  $G_b$ -metric space.

**Definition 2.2.** [2] Let  $X$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space. A sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  is said to be

- (1)  $G_b$ -convergent to  $x \in X$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x, x_n, x_m) < \varepsilon \ \forall n, m \geq k$ .
- (2)  $G_b$ -Cauchy if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_l) < \varepsilon \ \forall n, m, l \geq k$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** [2] Let  $X$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) The sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $G_b$ -convergent to  $x$ .
- (2)  $G(x_n, x_n, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .
- (3)  $G(x_n, x, x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [2] Let  $X$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space. The sequence  $(x_n)$  is  $G_b$ -Cauchy iff for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $k \in \mathbf{N}$  such that  $G(x_n, x_m, x_m) < \varepsilon \ \forall n, m \geq k$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [2] A  $G_b$ -metric space  $X$  is called  $G_b$ -complete if every  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence is  $G_b$ -convergent in  $X$ .

Very recently, Abodayeh *et.al.* [1] defined the concept of generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings (or  $\Omega_b$ -distance) related to  $G_b$ -metric spaces and proved some fixed point theorems (see also [19]).

The notion of a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping is given by:

**Definition 2.4.** [1] Let  $X$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space. Then a mapping  $\Omega : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is called a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping or an  $\Omega_b$ -distance mapping on  $X$  if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1)  $\Omega(x, y, z) \leq s [\Omega(x, a, a) + \Omega(a, y, z)] \ \forall x, y, z, a \in X$  and  $s \geq 1$ ,
- (2) for any  $x, y \in X$ ,  $\Omega(x, y, \cdot), \Omega(x, \cdot, y) : X \rightarrow X$  are lower semi continuous,
- (3) for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x, a, a) \leq \delta$  and  $\Omega(a, y, z) \leq \delta$  imply  $G_b(x, y, z) \leq \varepsilon$ .

**Example 2.2.** [1] Let  $X = \mathbf{R}$ . Consider the  $G_b$ -metric  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  defined by  $G(x, y, z) = (|x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|)^2 \ \forall x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ . Define  $\Omega : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\Omega(x, y, z) = (|x - y| + |x - z|)^2 \ \forall x, y, z \in \mathbf{R}$ . Then  $\Omega$  is a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping with  $s = 2$ .

**Definition 2.5.** [1] Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be an  $\Omega_b$ -distance mapping on  $X$ . Then we say that  $X$  is  $\Omega$ -bounded if there exists  $M > 0$  such that  $\Omega(x, y, z) \leq M$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** [1] Let  $X$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega_b$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$ . Let  $(x_n), (y_n)$  be sequences in  $X$  and let  $(\alpha_n), (\beta_n)$  be sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  converging to zero and let  $x, y, z, a \in X$ . Then we have the following:

- (1) If  $\Omega_b(y_n, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega_b(x_n, y_m, z) \leq \beta_n$  for any  $m > n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $G(y_n, y_m, z) \rightarrow 0$  and hence  $y_n \rightarrow z$ .
- (2) If  $\Omega_b(y, x_n, x_n) \leq \alpha_n$  and  $\Omega_b(x_n, y, z) \leq \beta_n$  for  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $G(y, y, z) < \varepsilon$  and hence  $y = z$ .
- (3) If  $\Omega_b(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $m, n, l \in \mathbf{N}$  with  $n \leq m \leq l$ , then  $(x_n)$  is a  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence.
- (4) If  $\Omega_b(x_n, a, a) \leq \alpha_n$  for any  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , then  $(x_n)$  is a  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence.

Khojasteh *et.al.* [8] in 2015 introduced the concept of simulation mappings in which they used it to unify several fixed point results in the literature.

**Definition 2.6.** [8] Let  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  be a function. Then  $\zeta$  is called a simulation function if it satisfies the following conditions:

- ( $\zeta 1$ )  $\zeta(0, 0) = 0$ .

( $\zeta 2$ )  $\zeta(t, s) < s - t$  for all  $s, t > 0$ .

( $\zeta 3$ ) If  $(t_n)$  and  $(s_n)$  are sequences in  $[0, \infty)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} t_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} s_n > 0$ , then  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \zeta(t_n, s_n) < 0$ .

The set of all simulation functions are denoted by  $\mathcal{Z}$

Now, we give some examples of simulation functions. In the following  $\zeta$  is defined from  $[0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ .

**Example 2.3.** [8] Let  $h_1, h_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two continuous functions such that  $h_1(t) = h_2(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t = 0$  and  $h_2(t) < t \leq h_1(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \infty)$  and define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(t, s) = h_2(s) - h_1(t)$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is a simulation function.

**Example 2.4.** [8] Let  $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a continuous function such that  $g(t) = 0$  if and only if  $t=0$  and define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(t, s) = s - g(s) - t$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is a simulation function.

**Example 2.5.** [11] Let  $\eta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be an upper semi continuous function such that  $\eta(t) < t \forall t > 0$  and  $\eta(0) = 0$  and define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(t, s) = \eta(s) - t$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is a simulation function.

**Example 2.6.** [11] Let  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be a function such that  $\int_0^\varepsilon \gamma(u)du$  exists  $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  and define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(t, s) = s - \int_0^t \gamma(u)du$  for all  $t, s \in [0, \infty)$ . Then  $\zeta$  is a simulation function.

For more work on simulation functions in fixed point theory, we refer the reader to [9]-[11] and references therein.

### 3. Main Result

In our main result, we use a contraction condition equipped with c-comparison functions with base  $s$  which introduced by Shatanawi [7].

**Definition 3.1.** [7] Let  $s$  be a constant with  $s \geq 1$ . A function  $\phi : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is called a c-comparison function with base  $s$  if  $\phi$  satisfies the following:

- (i)  $\phi$  is monotone nondecreasing.
- (ii)  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} s^n \phi^n(st)$  converges for all  $t \geq 0$ .

**Remark 3.1.** [7] If  $\phi$  is a c-comparison function with base  $s$ , then  $\phi(t) < t$  for all  $t > 0$ .

The following example inspired from [7].

**Example 3.1.** Let  $s \geq 1$ . Define  $\phi_1, \phi_2 : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  by  $\phi_1(t) = kt$  where  $0 \leq k < \frac{1}{s}$  and  $\phi_2(t) = \frac{1}{a+s}$  where  $a > 0$ . Then  $\phi_1$  and  $\phi_2$  are c-comparison functions with base  $s$ .

Now, we introduce the following definition

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space equipped with a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping  $\Omega$  with base  $s \geq 1$  and  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$ . A self mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is said to be  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{Z})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$  if there is a c-comparison function  $\phi$  with base  $s$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\zeta(s\Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty), \phi s\Omega(x, Tx, y)) \geq 0 \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (1)$$

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $(X, G)$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space equipped with a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping  $\Omega$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $\phi$  be a c-comparison function with base  $s$ . Suppose that  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{Z})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . If  $T$  has a fixed point (say)  $u \in X$ , then it is unique.

*Proof.* First we show that for all  $w \in X$  if  $fw = w$ , then  $\Omega(w, w, w) = 0$ . Assume that  $\Omega(w, w, w) > 0$ . From (1) and ( $\zeta 2$ ), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \zeta(s\Omega(Tw, T^2w, Tw), \phi s\Omega(w, Tw, w)) \\
&= \zeta(s\Omega(w, w, w), \phi s\Omega(w, w, w)) \\
&< \phi s\Omega(w, w, w) - s\Omega(w, w, w), \\
&< s\Omega(w, w, w) - s\Omega(w, w, w), \\
&= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\Omega(w, w, w) = 0$ .

Now, assume that there is  $v \in X$  such that  $Tv = v$  and  $\Omega(u, v, v) > 0$ . Since  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ , then by substituting  $x = u$  and  $y = v$  in (1) and taking into account  $(\zeta 2)$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \zeta(s\Omega(Tu, T^2u, Tv), \phi s\Omega(u, Tu, v)) \\
&= \zeta(s\Omega(u, u, v), \phi s\Omega(u, u, v)) \\
&< \phi s\Omega(u, u, v) - s\Omega(u, u, v) \\
&< s\Omega(u, u, v) - s\Omega(u, u, v) = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. Hence  $\Omega(u, v, v) = 0$ . Thus by the definition of  $\Omega$  we have  $G(u, v, v) = 0$  and so  $u = v$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1.**  *$(X, G)$  be a  $G_b$ -metric space equipped with a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping  $\Omega$  with base  $s \geq 1$  such that  $X$  is  $\Omega$ -bounded and  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$ . Suppose that there is a  $c$ -comparison function  $\phi$  with base  $s$  such that the mapping  $T : X \rightarrow X$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$  that satisfies the following condition*

$$\forall u \in X \text{ if } Tu \neq u, \text{ then } \inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0. \quad (2)$$

*Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x_0 \in X$  be arbitrary and define the sequence  $(x_n)$  in  $X$  inductively by  $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$   $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let  $p \geq 0$  be a nonnegative integer. Then by (1), we have for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \zeta(s\Omega(Tx_{n-1}, T^2x_{n-1}, Tx_{n+p-1}), \phi s\Omega(x_{n-1}, Tx_{n-1}, x_{n+p-1})) \\
&= \zeta(s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}), \phi s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1})) \\
&< \phi s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) - s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}).
\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) < \phi s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}). \quad (3)$$

Also, by (1) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\leq \zeta(s\Omega(Tx_{n-2}, T^2x_{n-2}, Tx_{n+p-2}), \phi s\Omega(x_{n-2}, Tx_{n-2}, x_{n+p-2})) \\
&= \zeta(s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}), \phi s\Omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2})) \\
&< \phi s\Omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}) - s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}).
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) < \phi s\Omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}). \quad (4)$$

Since  $\phi$  is nondecreasing, then  $\phi s\Omega(x_{n-1}, x_n, x_{n+p-1}) < \phi^2 s\Omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2})$ . Hence, (3) becomes

$$s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) < \phi^2 s\Omega(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1}, x_{n+p-2}). \quad (5)$$

If we apply the previous steps repeatedly, we get  $s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq \phi^n s\Omega(x_0, x_1, x_p)$ . Since  $X$  is  $\Omega$ -bounded, there is  $M \geq 0$ , such that  $\Omega(x, y, z) \leq M$ ,  $\forall x, y, z \in X$ . Thus

$$s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+p}) \leq \phi^n (sM). \quad (6)$$

Now, by using the definition of  $\Omega$  and (6), we have for all  $l \geq m \geq n$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Omega(x_n, x_m, x_l) &\leq s\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + s^2\Omega(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}, x_{n+2}) + \dots \\
&\quad + s^{m-n-1}\Omega(x_{m-2}, x_{m-1}, x_{m-1}) + s^{m-n-1}\Omega(x_{m-1}, x_m, x_l) \\
&\leq \phi^n(sM) + s\phi^{n+1}(sM) + \dots + s^{m-n-2}\phi^{m-1}(sM) + s^{m-n-2}\phi^{m-1}(sM) \\
&\leq \phi^n(sM) + s\phi^{n+1}(sM) + \dots \\
&= s^{-n}[s^n\phi^n(sM) + s^{n+1}\phi^{n+1}(sM) + \dots] \\
&= s^{-n}\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s^k\phi^k(sM).
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\phi$  is a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ , then  $\left(\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s^k\phi^k(sM) : n \in \mathbb{N}\right)$  converges to 0. Thus for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s^k\phi^k(sM) \leq s^n\varepsilon \forall n \geq N$ . Hence for  $l \geq m \geq n \geq N$ , we have

$$\Omega(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq s^{-n}\sum_{k=n}^{\infty} s^k\phi^k(sM) \leq \varepsilon \quad \forall n \geq N.$$

By Lemma 2.1,  $(x_n)$  is a  $G_b$ -Cauchy sequence. Therefore there is  $u \in X$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = u$ .

Consider  $\delta > 0$ . Then there exists  $r_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\Omega(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq \delta \forall n, m, l \geq r_0$ .

Therefore,  $\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(x_n, x_m, x_l) \leq \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \delta = \delta \forall n, m \geq r_0$ .

By the lower semi continuity of  $\Omega$ , we have  $\Omega(x_n, x_m, u) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(x_n, x_m, x_p) \leq \delta \forall m, n \geq r_0$ .

Consider  $m = n + 1$ . Then  $\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, u) \leq \liminf_{p \rightarrow \infty} \Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, x_p) \leq \delta \forall n \geq r_0$ .

If  $Tu \neq u$ , then (2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &< \inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} \\
&\leq \inf\{\Omega(x_n, x_{n+1}, u) : n \geq r_0\} \\
&\leq \delta,
\end{aligned}$$

for each  $\delta > 0$  which is a contradiction. Therefore  $Tu = u$ . The uniqueness follows from Lemma 3.1.  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $X = [0, 1]$  and let  $G : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $\Omega : X \times X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ ,  $T : X \rightarrow X$  and  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be defined as follow:

$G(x, y, z) = (|x - y| + |y - z| + |x - z|)^2$ ,  $\Omega(x, y, z) = (|x - y| + |x - z|)^2$ ,  $Tx = ax$ ,  $\zeta(u, v) = bv - u$  and  $\phi(t) = ct$  where  $a, b \in [0, 1)$ ,  $c \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  and  $a^2 \leq bc$ . Then

(1)  $(X, G)$  is a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  is a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance on  $X$  with base  $s = 2$ ,

(2)  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$ ,  $\phi$  is a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s = 2$ ,

(3)  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ ,

(4) for every  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .

*Proof.* We shall prove (3) and (4).

To prove that  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ , let  $x, y \in X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
&\zeta(s\Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty), \phi s\Omega(x, Tx, y)) \\
&= \zeta(2\Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty), 2c\Omega(x, Tx, y)) \\
&= 2bc(|x - ax| + |x - y|)^2 - 2(|ax - a^2x| + |ax - ay|)^2 \\
&= 2bc((1 - a)|x| + |x - y|)^2 - 2a^2((1 - a)|x| + |x - y|)^2 \\
&= 2(bc - a^2)(|x| + |x - y|) \\
&\geq 0.
\end{aligned}$$

To prove (4), given  $u \in X$  such that  $Tu \neq u$ . Then  $u \neq 0$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} &= \inf\{\Omega(x, ax, u) : x \in X\} \\
&= \inf\{|x - ax| + |x - u| : x \in X\} \\
&= \inf\{(1 - a)|x| + |x - u| : x \in X\} \\
&= (1 - a)u > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus all hypotheses of Theorem 3.1 hold true. Hence  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ . Here the unique fixed point of  $T$  is 0.  $\square$

Now, we utilized our main result to derive the following results. To facilitate our work, we let  $\mathcal{H} = \{h : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty) : h \text{ is a continuous function}$   
with  $h^{-1}(\{0\}) = \{0\}\}.$

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping and  $\phi$  be a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ . Assume that there are  $h_1, h_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  where  $h_2(t) < t \leq h_1(t) \forall t > 0$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$h_1 s \Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty) \leq h_2 \phi s \Omega(x, Tx, y) \quad \forall x, y, z \in X. \quad (7)$$

Also, suppose that for all  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .  
Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(u, v) = h_2(v) - h_1(u)$ . Clearly  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

By choosing  $h_1(t) = t$  and  $h_2(t) = \lambda t$  where  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  in Corollary 3.1 we have the following:

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping and  $\phi$  be a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ . Assume that there is  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$\Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty) \leq \frac{\lambda}{s} \phi s \Omega(x, Tx, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (8)$$

Also, suppose that for all  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .  
Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping and  $\phi$  be a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ . Assume that there is  $g \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$s \Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty) \leq \phi s \Omega(x, Tx, y) - g \phi s \Omega(x, Tx, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (9)$$

Also, suppose that for all  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .  
Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(u, v) = v - g(v) - u$ . Clearly  $\zeta \in \mathcal{L}$  and  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{L})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping and  $\phi$  be a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ . Assume that there is an upper semi continuous function  $\eta$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$s \Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty) \leq \eta \phi s \Omega(x, Tx, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (10)$$

Also, suppose that for all  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .  
Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(u, v) = \eta(v) - u$ . Clearly  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  and  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{Z})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $(X, G)$  be a complete  $G_b$ -metric space and  $\Omega$  be a generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mapping on  $X$  with base  $s \geq 1$ . Let  $T : X \rightarrow X$  be a self mapping and  $\phi$  be a  $c$ -comparison function with base  $s$ . Assume that there is a function  $\gamma : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  where  $\int_0^s \gamma(t)dt$  exists and  $\int_0^s \gamma(t)dt > \varepsilon$   $\forall \varepsilon > 0$  such that  $T$  satisfies the following condition:*

$$\int_0^{s\Omega(Tx, T^2x, Ty)} \gamma(u)du \leq \phi s\Omega(x, Tx, y) \quad \forall x, y \in X. \quad (11)$$

Also, suppose that for all  $u \in X$  if  $Tu \neq u$ , then  $\inf\{\Omega(x, Tx, u) : x \in X\} > 0$ .

Then  $T$  has a unique fixed point in  $X$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\zeta : [0, \infty) \times [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\zeta(u, v) = v - \int_0^u \gamma(t)dt$ . Clearly  $\zeta \in \mathcal{Z}$  (see Example 2.6) and  $T$  is  $(\Omega, \phi, \mathcal{Z})_s$ -contraction with respect to  $\zeta$ . Hence the result follows from Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusion

In this paper, we introduced and studied some fixed point theorems in the setting of generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings [1] using contraction conditions depend on simulation functions [8] in which our work gives a more general cases in the study of fixed point theory. Also, an example is introduced to support our main result.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] K. Abodayeh, A. Bataihah and W. Shatanawi, Generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings and some fixed point theorems, U.P.B. Sci. Bull. Series A, Vol. 79, no. 2, (2017), 1223-7027
- [2] A. Aghajani, M. Abbas and J. R. Roshan, Common fixed point of generalized weak contractive mappings in partially ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces, Filomat 28:6 (2014), 1087-1101.
- [3] I. A. Bakhtin, The contraction principle in quasimetric spaces, Functional Analysis, vol. 30 (1989), 26-37.
- [4] S. Czerwinski, Nonlinear set-valued contraction mappings in b-metric spaces. Att Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 46, (1998), 263-276.
- [5] Z. Mustafa, J.R. Roshan and V. Parvaneh, Coupled coincidence point results for  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weakly contractive mappings in partially ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl, (2013), 2013:206.
- [6] Z. Mustafa, J.R. Roshan and V. Parvaneh, Existence of a triple coincidence point results in ordered  $G_b$ -metric spaces and applications to a system of integral equations, Journal of Inequality and Applications, (2013), 2013:453.
- [7] W. Shatanawi, Fixed and common fixed point for mappings satisfying some nonlinear contractions in b-metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 7, no. 4 (2016), 1-12.
- [8] F. Khojasteh, S. Shukla and S. Radenovic, A new approach to the study of fixed point theory for simulation functions, Filomat, (2015), 29:6.
- [9] E. Karapinar, Fixed point results via simulation functions, Filomat 30:8 2343-2350.
- [10] A.F. Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro, E. Karapinar, C. Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro and J. Martinez-Moreno, Coincidence point theorems on metric spaces via simulation functions, J.Comput.Appl.Math, 275 (2015), 345-355.
- [11] H. Alsulami, E. Karapinar, F. Khojasteh and A.F. Roldan-Lopez-de-Hierro, A proposal to the study of contractions in quasi-metric spaces, Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society (2014), Article ID 269286, 10 pages.
- [12] H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, and G. Vetro, On generalized weakly G-contraction mapping in G-metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl., 62(2011),4222-4229.
- [13] H. Aydi, M. Postolache and W. Shatanawi, Coupled fixed point results for  $(\psi, \phi)$ -weakly contractive mappings in ordered G-metric spaces. Comput. Math. Appl. 63, (2012), 298-309
- [14] W. Shatanawi and A. Pitea,  $\Omega$ -distance and coupled fixed point theorems in G-metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, (2013), 2013:1/8.
- [15] S. Chandok and M. Postolache, Fixed point theorem for weakly Chatterjea-type cyclic contractions, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, no. 28, (2013).
- [16] B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya and M. Postolache, A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2013, no. 152 (2013).
- [17] M.U. Ali, T. Kamran and M. Postolache, Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b-metric spaces via new fixed point theorem, Nonlinear Anal. Modelling Control 22(2017), No. 1, 17-30.
- [18] R. Saadati, S. M. Vaezpour, P. Vetro and B. E. Rhoades, Fixed point theorems in generalized partially ordered G-metric spaces. mathematical and computer modeling" 52 (2010),797-801.

[19] *I. Abu-Irwaq, I. Nuseir and A. Bataihah*, Common Fixed Point Theorems in G-metric Spaces with  $\Omega$ -distance, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, Vol. 8 no. 1 (2017), 120-129.

[20] *E. Karapinar and R. P. Agarwal*, further fixed point results on G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013) 2013/1/154.

[21] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, M.U. Ali and Q. Kiran*, Feng and Liu type F-contraction in b-metric spaces with application to integral equations, *J. Math. Anal.* 7(2016), No. 5, 18-27.

[22] *W. Shatanawi and M. Postolache*, Some Fixed-Point Results for a G-Weak Contraction in G-Metric Spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis* Vol. (2012), Article ID 815870, 19 pages.

[23] *W. Shatanawi, G. Maniu, A. Bataihah and F. Bani Ahmad*, Common Fixed Points for Mappings of Cyclic Form Satisfying Linear Contractive Conditions with Omega-Distance, *U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A*, Vol. 79, (2017) no. 2,

[24] *Z. Mustafa and B. Sims*, Fixed Point Theorems for contractive Mappings in Complete G-Metric Spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Hindawi Publishing Corporation, (2009), ID 917175, 10 pages.

[25] *W. Shatanawi and M. Postolache*, Common fixed point results for mappings under nonlinear contraction of cyclic form in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013), 2013 :60.

[26] *W. Shatanawi*, Some fixed point theorems in ordered G-metric spaces and applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2011, Article ID 126205, (2011), 11 pages.

[27] *W. Shatanawi*, fixed point theory for contractive mappings satisfying  $\Phi$ -maps in G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2010, (2010), Article ID 181650, 9 pages.

[28] *N. Bilgili and E. Karapinar*, Cyclic contractions via auxiliary functions on G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, vol. 2013, (2013), 49.

[29] *W. Shatanawi and A. Pitea*, fixed and coupled fixed point theorems of omega distance for nonlinear contraction, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, (2013), 2013/1/275.

[30] *W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh and A. Bataihah*, Fixed point theorem through  $\Omega$ -distance of Suzuki type contraction condition, *GU J Sci*, (2016), 29(1):129-133 .

[31] *L. Gholizadeh* , A fixed point theorem in generalized ordered metric spaces with application, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 6 ,(2013), 244-251

[32] *W. Shatanawi, A. Bataihah and A. Pitea*, Fixed and common fixed point results for cyclic mappings of  $\Omega$ -distance, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.* 9 (2016), 727-735.

[33] *K. Abodayeh, W. Shatanawi, A. Bataihah and A.H. Ansari*, Some Fixed Point and Common Fixed Point Results Through  $\Omega$ -Distance Under Nonlinear Contractions, *GU J Sci* , 30(1),(2017), 293-302.

[34] *N. Bilgili, and E. Karapinar*, Cyclic contractions via auxiliary functions on G-metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2013), 2013:49.