

## ON (FUZZY) WEAK-ZERO GROUPOIDS AND $(X, N)$ -ZERO GROUPOIDS

Jianming Zhan<sup>1</sup>, Hee Sik Kim<sup>2</sup>, J. Neggers<sup>3</sup>

*In this paper, we generalize the left-zero semigroup by introducing two different algebras, called a weak-zero groupoid and an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, respectively and describe some properties related to  $Bin(X)$ . Moreover, we fuzzify the notion of a weak-zero groupoid.*

**Keywords:** (fuzzy) weak-zero groupoid,  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid,  $Bin(X)$ ,  $ZBin(X)$ , (left-, right-) similar, (dual-)  $N$ -groupoid.

**MSC2010:** 20N02, 08A72, 06F35.

### 1. Introduction

In the study of groupoids  $(X, *)$  defined on a set  $X$ , it has also proven useful to investigate the semigroups  $(Bin(X), \square)$  where  $Bin(X)$  is the set of all binary systems (groupoids)  $(X, *)$  along with an associative product operation  $(X, *)\square(X, \bullet) = (X, \square)$  such that  $x\square y = (x * y) \bullet (y * x)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Thus, e.g., it becomes possible to recognize that the left-zero-semigroup  $(X, *)$  with  $x*y = x$  for all  $x, y \in X$  acts as the identity of this semigroup [5]. H. F. Fayoumi [1] introduced the notion of the center  $ZBin(X)$  in the semigroup  $Bin(X)$  of all binary systems on a set  $X$ , and showed that a groupoid  $(X, \bullet) \in ZBin(X)$  if and only if it is a locally-zero groupoid. J. S. Han et al. [2] introduced the notion of hypergroupoids  $(HBin(X), \square)$ , and showed that  $(HBin(X), \square)$  is a supersemigroup of the semigroup  $(Bin(X), \square)$  via the identification  $x \longleftrightarrow \{x\}$ . They proved that  $(HBin^*(X), \ominus, [\emptyset])$  is a *BCK*-algebra. For the references on *BCK*-algebras and *BCI*-algebras, we refer to [3], [4] and [6].

The notion of a fuzzy subset of a set was introduced by L. A. Zadeh [9]. His seminal paper in 1965 has opened up new insights and applications in a wide range of scientific fields. A. Rosenfeld [8] used the notion of a fuzzy subset to set

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Hubei University for Nationalities, Enshi, Hubei Province, 445000, P. R. China, E-mail: [zhanjianming@hotmail.com](mailto:zhanjianming@hotmail.com)

<sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Research Institute for Natural Sciences, Hanyang University, Seoul 133-791, Korea, e-mail: [heekim@hanyang.ac.kr](mailto:heekim@hanyang.ac.kr), Correspondence: Phone: +82 2 2220 0897, Fax: +82 2 2281 0019

<sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama, Tuscaloosa, AL 35487-0350 U. S. A., e-mail: [jneggers@as.ua.edu](mailto:jneggers@as.ua.edu)

down corner stone papers in several areas of mathematics. J. N. Mordeson and D. S. Malik [7] published a remarkable book, *Fuzzy commutative algebra*, presented a fuzzy ideal theory of commutative rings and applied the results to the solution of fuzzy intersection equations. The book included all the important work that has been done on  $L$ -subspaces of a vector space and on  $L$ -subfields of a field.

Given that the left-zero semigroup on the set  $X$  is the identity element of  $(Bin(X), \square)$  it is a question of interest in the study of the semigroups to identify related types of groupoids within the class  $Bin(X)$  and identify these as themselves also having algebraic properties, including the property of being a subsemigroup of  $(Bin(X), \square)$  which identifies the type being described as representing a certain type of property. In the situation discussed below, we shall deal with weak-zero-groupoids and  $(X, N)$ -zero-groupoids as groupoid types of interest. As a by-product we will also consider a fuzzification of the notion of a weak-zero-groupoid.

## 2. Preliminaries

Given a non-empty set  $X$ , we let  $Bin(X)$  denote the collection of all groupoids  $(X, *)$ , where  $* : X \times X \rightarrow X$  is a map and where  $*(x, y)$  is written in the usual product form. A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be a *left-zero-semigroup* (resp., *right-zero-semigroup*) if  $x * y = x$  (resp.,  $x * y = y$ ) for all  $x, y \in X$ . Given elements  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  of  $Bin(X)$ , define a product “ $\square$ ” on these groupoids as follows:

$$(X, *) \square (X, \bullet) = (X, \square)$$

where

$$x \square y = (x * y) \bullet (y * x)$$

for any  $x, y \in X$ . Using that notion, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** [5]  $(Bin(X), \square)$  is a semigroup, i.e., the operation “ $\square$ ” as defined in general is associative. Furthermore, the left-zero-semigroup is the identity for this operation.

H. Fayoumi [1] introduced the notion of the center of the semigroup  $Bin(X)$  as follows:

$$ZBin(X) := \{(X, \bullet) \in Bin(X) | (X, *) \square (X, \bullet) = (X, \bullet) \square (X, *), \forall (X, *)\}$$

She obtained several interesting properties.

**Proposition 2.1.** [1] The left-zero semigroup and right-zero semigroup on  $X$  are both in  $ZBin(X)$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** [1] If  $(X, \bullet) \in ZBin(X)$ , then  $x \bullet x = x$  for all  $x \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** [1] If  $(X, \bullet) \in ZBin(X)$ , then  $x \neq y$  implies that  $\{x, y\} = \{x \bullet y, y \bullet x\}$

**Proposition 2.3.** [1] Let  $(X, *) \in ZBin(X)$ . If  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , then  $(\{x, y\}, \bullet)$  is either a left-zero semigroup or a right-zero semigroup.

**Proposition 2.4.** [1]  $(\{x, y\}, \bullet)$  is either a left-zero semigroup or a right-zero semigroup for any  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , then  $(X, \bullet) \in ZBin(X)$ .

### 3. Weak-zero groupoids.

We shall consider a groupoid  $(X, *)$  to be a *weak-left-zero groupoid* if  $x * y = a * b$  implies  $x = a$ . Thus, if  $(X, *)$  is a left-zero-semigroup then it is also a weak-left-zero groupoid. Similarly, a groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be a *weak-right-zero groupoid* if  $x * y = a * b$  implies  $y = b$ .

**Example 3.1.** Let  $(X, *)$  be a left-zero-semigroup, i.e.,  $x * y = x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . If  $x * y = a * b$ , then  $x = a$ , i.e., it is a weak-left-zero groupoid. Similarly, every right-zero-semigroup  $(X, *)$ , i.e.,  $x * y = y$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , is a weak-right-zero groupoid.

**Example 3.2.** Let  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be the set of all natural numbers and let  $p, q$  be distinct prime numbers. If we define  $x * y := p^x q^y$ , then  $1 * 1 = p^1 q^1 = pq$ . If we assume  $x * y = a * b$ , then  $p^x q^y = p^a q^b$  and hence  $x = a$  and  $y = b$ , proving that  $(N, *)$  is both a weak-left-zero groupoid and a weak-right-zero groupoid.

A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be a *leftoid* for  $f$  if  $x * y := f(x)$  for a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . The groupoid  $(N, *)$  in Example 3.2 is not a leftoid, since  $x * y = p^x q^y$  is not a function of  $x$  alone.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let  $(X, *)$  be a leftoid for  $f$ . If  $f$  is one-one, then  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid.

*Proof.* If  $x * y = a * b$ , then  $f(x) = f(y)$ . Since  $f$  is one-one, we obtain  $x = a$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

Let  $N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  be the set of all natural numbers. If we define  $x * y := 2^x$  for all  $x, y \in N$ , then  $(N, *)$  is not a left-zero-semigroup, but it is a weak-left-zero-semigroup, since the map  $f(x) := 2^x$  is one-one.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $(X, *)$  be a leftoid for  $f$ . If  $f$  is the identity map, then  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid.

A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be a *rightoid* for  $f$  for some map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . We obtain the similar proposition.

**Proposition 3.1'.** *Let  $(X, *)$  be a rightoid for  $f$ . If  $f$  is one-one, then  $(X, *)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid.*

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(X, *)$  be a finite weak-left-zero groupoid and let  $y_0 \in X$  be a fixed element. If we define a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f(x) := x * y_0$  for all  $x \in X$ , then  $(X, *)$  is a leftoid for  $f$ .*

*Proof.* We claim that  $f$  is one-one. If  $f(\alpha) = f(\beta)$  then  $\alpha * y_0 = \beta * y_0$ . Since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we obtain  $\alpha = \beta$ . Since  $|X| < \infty$ ,  $f$  is onto. Given  $a, b \in X$ , we let  $a * b = u$  for some  $u \in X$ . Since  $f$  is a bijection, there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $u = f(x) = x * y_0$ . It follows that  $a * b = u = x * y_0$ , which shows that  $a = x$ . Hence  $a * b = u = f(x) = f(a)$ , proving the theorem.  $\square$

We may obtain the similar result for weak-right-zero groupoids.

**Theorem 3.1'.** *Let  $(X, *)$  be a finite weak-right-zero groupoid and let  $x_0 \in X$  be a fixed element. If we define a map  $f : X \rightarrow X$  by  $f(y) := x_0 * y$  for all  $y \in X$ , then  $(X, *)$  is a rightoid for  $f$ .*

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $(X, *)$  be both a weak-left-zero groupoid and a weak-right-zero groupoid. If  $X$  is finite, then  $|X| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, *)$  be both a weak-left-zero groupoid and a weak-right-zero groupoid. Since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, by Theorem 3.1,  $(X, *)$  is a leftoid for a bijective map  $f : X \rightarrow X$ . Similarly, since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid, by Theorem 3.1',  $(X, *)$  is a rightoid for a bijective map  $g : X \rightarrow X$ . It follows that  $x * y = f(x) = g(y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Assume that  $x_1 \neq x_2$  in  $X$ . Then  $x_1 * y = f(x_1) = g(y)$  and  $x_2 * y = f(x_2) = g(y)$  for all  $y \in X$ . This shows that  $f(x_1) = f(x_2)$ . Since  $f$  is a bijection, we obtain  $x_1 = x_2$ , a contradiction, proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $(X, *), (X, \bullet) \in \text{Bin}(X)$  and let  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ . Then the following hold:*

- (i) *if  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  are weak-left-zero groupoids, then  $(X, \square)$  is also a weak-left-zero groupoid,*
- (ii) *if  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid and  $(X, \bullet)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid, then  $(X, \square)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid,*
- (iii) *if  $(X, *)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid and  $(X, \bullet)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, then  $(X, \square)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid,*
- (iv) *if  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  are weak-right-zero groupoids, then  $(X, \square)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid.*

*Proof.* (i) Assume that  $x \square y = a \square b$  for some  $x, y, a, b \in X$ . Then  $(x * y) \bullet (y * x) = (a * b) \bullet (b * a)$ . Since  $(X, \bullet)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we obtain  $x * y = a * b$ . Since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we have  $x = a$ . The proofs of the others are similar to (i), and we omit them.  $\square$

**Remark 3.1.** *Theorem 3.2 suggests that weak-left-zero groupoids may be assigned a parity even (or 0) while right-left-zero groupoids may be assigned a parity odd (or 1). Theorem 3.2 then has the form (i)  $0 \square 0 = 0$ ; (ii)  $0 \square 1 = 1$ ; (iii)  $1 \square 0 = 1$  and (iv)  $1 \square 1 = 1$ . Thus we obtain a version of addition mod 2 in this setting.*

A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be *right cancellative* if  $x * y = z * y$ , then  $x = z$ . Clearly, every weak-left-zero groupoid is right cancellative.

**Proposition 3.3.** *Let  $(X, *)$  be a weak-left-zero groupoid. If  $(X, *)$  is a semigroup, then it is a left-zero semigroup.*

*Proof.* If  $(X, *)$  is a semigroup, then  $(x * y) * z = x * (y * z)$  for all  $x, y, z \in X$ . Since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we have  $x * y = x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $(X, *, 0)$  be a d/BCK-algebra. If  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, then  $|X| = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , we have  $(x * x) * y = 0 * x = 0 = y * y$ . Since  $(X, *)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we obtain  $y = x * x = 0$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

Note that the direct product of weak-left-zero groupoids is also a weak-left-zero groupoid, and a subgroupoid of a weak-left-zero groupoid is also a weak-left-zero groupoid.

A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be *left-similar* to a groupoid  $(X, \bullet)$  if  $x * y = a * b$ , then  $x \bullet y = a \bullet b$ . In this case,  $(X, \bullet)$  is said to be *right-similar* to  $(X, *)$ . Accordingly  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  are *similar* if they are both left-similar and right-similar.

**Example 3.3.** *Given  $X := \mathbf{R}$ , the set of all real numbers, we define  $x * y := x + y$ , and  $x \bullet y := \lambda(x + y)$ , where  $\lambda \neq 0$  and  $+$  is the usual addition on  $\mathbf{R}$ . Then  $x + y = a + b$  implies  $x \bullet y = a \bullet b$  and conversely. Thus,  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  are similar. If  $\lambda = 0$ , then  $x + y = z + b$  implies  $x * y = 0(x = y) = 0(a + b) = a \bullet b$ . This shows that  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$  are left-similar, but not similar.*

**Example 3.4.** *If  $(X, *)$  is commutative and left-similar to  $(X, \bullet)$ , then  $x * y = y * x$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and thus  $x \bullet y = y \bullet x$  for all  $x, y \in X$  as well, i.e.,  $(X, \bullet)$  is commutative also.*

**Example 3.5.** *Clearly  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$  is a semigroup where  $+$  is the usual addition on  $\mathbf{R}$ . If  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ , then  $x * y := \lambda(x + y)$  is not a semigroup. Indeed,  $(x * y) * z =$*

$\lambda(\lambda(x+y)+z) = \lambda^2x + \lambda^2y + \lambda z$  and  $x*(y*z) = \lambda(x+\lambda(y+z)) = \lambda x + \lambda^2y + \lambda^2z$  so that  $\lambda \neq \lambda^2$  implies that  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$  is not a semigroup even though  $(\mathbf{R}, +)$  is similar to  $(\mathbf{R}, *)$ . This is clear since  $\lambda \neq 0$  implies  $\lambda^{-1}(x*y) = \lambda^{-1}(\lambda(x+y)) = x+y$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** *If  $(X, *)$  and  $(Y, \bullet)$  are left-similar to  $(X, \Delta)$  and  $(Y, \nabla)$  respectively, then  $(X, *) \times (Y, \bullet)$  is left-similar to  $(X, \Delta) \times (Y, \nabla)$ .*

*Proof.* Straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $(X, \bullet)$  be a weak-left-zero groupoid and let  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ . Then  $(X, \square)$  is left-similar to  $(X, \bullet)$ .*

*Proof.* Assume  $x \square y = a \square b$ . Then  $(x*y) \bullet (y*x) = (a*b) \bullet (b*a)$ . Since  $(X, \bullet)$  is a weak-left-zero groupoid, we have  $x*y = a*b$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.7.** *Let  $(X, \bullet)$  be a weak-right-zero groupoid and let  $(X, \square) = (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ . Then  $(X, \square)$  is left-similar to  $(X, *)^{\text{op}} = (X, \diamond)$ , i.e.,  $x*y = y \diamond x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \square y = a \square b$ . Then  $(x*y) \bullet (y*x) = (a*b) \bullet (b*a)$ . Since  $(X, \bullet)$  is a weak-right-zero groupoid, we obtain  $y*x = b*a$ , i.e.,  $x \diamond y = a \diamond b$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

#### 4. $(X, N)$ -zero groupoids

Among mathematical objects, the simplest with regard to structure are the sets  $X$ . Perhaps the next step up as to complexity are the *nuclear sets*  $(X, N)$  consisting of a set  $X$  and a nucleus  $N$  contained in it. Thus  $N \subset X$  is the structure of interest in the following.

Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and let  $N \subset X$ . Define a binary operation “ $*$ ” on  $X$  by

$$x*y := \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x, y \in N, \\ y & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We denote it by  $(X(N), *)$  and we call it a  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid. If  $N := X$ , then  $(X(N), *)$  is a left-zero semigroup, and if  $N := \emptyset$ , then  $(X(N), *)$  is a right-zero semigroup. Note that  $(X(N), *)$  need not be a semigroup except in the extreme cases  $N = X$  and  $N = \emptyset$ . In fact, if  $x, z \in N$  and  $y \notin N$ , then  $(x*y)*z = y*z = z$ , while  $x*(y*z) = x*z = x$ .

**Proposition 4.1.** *If  $(X(N), *)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, then*

$$(x*x)*y = x*(x*y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ .

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , then  $(x*x)*y = x*y = x$  and  $x*(x*y) = x*x = x$ . Otherwise, we have  $(x*x)*y = x*y = y$  and  $x*(x*y) = x*y = x$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$  and let  $N \subseteq X$ . If  $(X(N), \square) := (X(N), *) \square (X(N), *)$ , then it is a left-zero semigroup.*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y)*(y*x) = x*y = x$ . Otherwise, we have  $x\square y = (x*y)*(y*x) = y*x = x$ , proving the proposition.  $\square$

If  $|X| = n < \infty$ , then the number of groupoids  $(X(N), *)$  is  $2^n$ . Also, the number of groupoids satisfying  $x*x = x, x*y \in \{x, y\}$  is  $2^{n^2-n}$ , so that there are many such groupoids which are not of the type  $(X(N), *)$  discussed here.

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $(X, *) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ . If  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, *)$ , then  $(X, \square)$  is a left-zero semigroup.*

*Proof.* Since  $(X, *) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ , by Proposition 2.3,  $(\{x, y\}, *)$  is either a left-zero semigroup or a right-zero semigroup for all  $x, y \in X$ . It follows that either  $x\square y = (x*y)*(y*x) = x*y = x$  or  $x\square y = (x*y)*(y*x) = y*x = x$ . Hence  $(X, \square)$  is a left-zero semigroup.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.1.** *Let  $(X(M), *)$  be an  $(X, M)$ -zero groupoid and let  $(X(N), \bullet)$  be an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid where  $M, N \subseteq X$ . If  $(X, \square) := (X(M), *) \square (X(N), \bullet)$ , then  $(X, \square) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in M \setminus N$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y) \bullet (y*x) = x \bullet y = y$  and  $y\square x = (y*x) \bullet (x*y) = y \bullet x = x$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, \square)$  is a right-zero semigroup. If  $x, y \in N \setminus M$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y) \bullet (y*x) = y \bullet x = y$  and  $y\square x = (y*x) \bullet (x*y) = x \bullet y = x$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, \square)$  is a right-zero semigroup. If either  $x \in M \setminus N, y \in N \setminus M$  or  $x \in N \setminus M, y \in M \setminus N$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y) \bullet (y*x) = y \bullet x = x$  and  $y\square x = (y*x) \bullet (x*y) = x \bullet y = y$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, \square)$  is a left-zero semigroup. If  $x \notin M \cup N, y \in M \cup N$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y) \bullet (y*x) = y \bullet x = x$  and  $y\square x = (y*x) \bullet (x*y) = x \bullet y = y$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, \square)$  is a left-zero semigroup. If  $x, y \notin M \cup N$ , then  $x\square y = (x*y) \bullet (y*x) = y \bullet x = x$  and  $y\square x = (y*x) \bullet (x*y) = x \bullet y = y$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, \square)$  is a left-zero semigroup. By Proposition 2.4,  $(X, \square) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $(X(N), *)$  be an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid and let  $(X(N^C), \bullet)$  be an  $(X, N^C)$ -zero groupoid. If  $(X, \square) := (X(N), *) \square (X(N^C), \bullet)$ , then  $(X, \square) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ .*

**Proposition 4.4.** *If  $(X, *)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, then  $(X, *) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , then  $x*y = x$  and  $y*x = y$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, *)$  is a left-zero semigroup. If  $x, y \in N^C$ , then  $x*y = y$  and  $y*x = x$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, *)$  is a right-zero semigroup. If either  $x \in N, y \in N^C$  or  $x \in N^C, y \in N$ , then  $x*y = y, y*x = x$ , i.e.,  $(\{x, y\}, *)$  is a right-zero semigroup. By Proposition 2.6,  $(X, *) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ .  $\square$

Note that if  $(X, *)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, then  $(X, \star) \in ZBin(X)$  where  $x \star y := y * x$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , but it is not an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid. In fact, it is an  $(X, N^C)$ -zero groupoid. We give an example that the converse of Proposition 4.4 does not hold in general.

**Example 4.1.** Let  $X := \{a, b, c, d\}$  be a set with  $M := \{a, b, c\}$  and  $N := \{b, c, d\}$ . Define two binary operations as follows:

*	a	b	c	d	•	a	b	c	d
a	a	a	a	d	a	a	b	c	d
b	b	b	b	d	b	a	b	b	b
c	c	c	c	d	c	a	c	c	c
d	a	b	c	d	d	a	d	d	d

Then  $(X, *)$  is an  $(X, M)$ -zero groupoid and  $(X, \bullet)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid. If we let  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ , then we have the following table:

□	a	b	c	d
a	a	b	c	a
b	a	b	b	d
c	a	c	c	d
d	d	b	c	d

It is easy to see that  $(X, \square) \in ZBin(X)$ , but is not an  $(X, K)$ -zero groupoid for any  $K \subseteq X$  with  $K \neq \emptyset$ .

A groupoid  $(X, *)$  is said to be an  $N$ -groupoid if  $(N, *)$  is a subgroupoid of  $(X, *)$  where  $\emptyset \neq N \subseteq X$ . We denote it by  $(X, N, *)$  and we call it an  $N$ -groupoid. We denote the collection of all  $N$ -groupoids by  $Bin(X, N)$ . Clearly, every  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid  $(X(N), *)$  belongs to  $Bin(X, N)$ . Let  $(X, *)$  be a left-zero semigroup. Then  $(X, *)$  is an  $N$ -groupoid for any non-empty subset  $N \subseteq X$ , i.e.,  $(X, *) \in Bin(X, N)$ . This means that the left-zero semigroup acts as the identity element of  $Bin(X, N)$ .

**Proposition 4.5.**  $(Bin(X, N), \square)$  is a subsemigroup of  $(Bin(X), \square)$ .

*Proof.* Given  $(X, N, *), (X, N, \bullet) \in Bin(X, N)$ , we let  $(X, N, \square) := (X, N, *) \square (X, N, \bullet)$ . Given  $x, y \in N$ , we have  $x \square y = (x * y) \bullet (y * x) \in N \bullet N \subseteq N$ . This shows that  $(X, N, \square) \in Bin(X, N)$ .  $\square$

Let  $(X, *) \in Bin(X)$  and let  $(N, *)$  be a subgroupoid of  $(X, *)$ . Define a binary operation “ $*_D$ ” on  $X$  by

$$x *_D y := \begin{cases} x * y & \text{if } x, y \in N, \\ y * x & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

We call  $(X, *_D)$  a *dual- $N$ -groupoid* of  $(X, *)$ .

**Proposition 4.6.** *If  $(X, *)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, then its dual- $N$ -groupoid  $(X, *_D)$  is a left-zero semigroup.*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , then  $x *_D y = x * y = x$ . Otherwise, we have  $x * y = y, y * x = x$ . It follows that  $x *_D y = y * x = x$ , proving that  $(X, *_D)$  is a left-zero semigroup.  $\square$

Clearly  $(X, *_D)$  is also an  $N$ -groupoid if  $(X, *)$  is an  $N$ -groupoid.

**Proposition 4.7.** *Let  $(X, \bullet) \in \text{Bin}(X, N)$  and let  $(X, *)$  be an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid. If  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ , then  $(X, \square)$  is a dual- $N$ -groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , then  $x * y = x, y * x = y$  and hence  $x \square y = (x * y) \bullet (y * x) = x \bullet y$ . Otherwise, we have  $x * y = y, y * x = x$  and hence  $x \square y = (x * y) \bullet (y * x) = y \bullet x$ . This shows that  $(X, \square) = (X, \bullet_D)$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $(X, \bullet) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$  and let  $(X, *)$  be an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid. If  $(X, \square) := (X, \bullet) \square (X, *)$ , then  $(X, \square)$  is a dual- $N$ -groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ .*

*Proof.* Given  $x, y \in X$ , if  $x, y \in N$ , since  $(X, \bullet) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ , by Theorem 2.3, we have  $\{x, y\} = \{x \bullet y, y \bullet x\}$ , i.e.,  $x \bullet y, y \bullet x \in N$ . Since  $(X, *)$  is an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid, we have  $x \square y = (x \bullet y) * (y \bullet x) = x \bullet y$ . Otherwise, since  $(X, \bullet) \in \text{ZBin}(X)$ , by Theorem 2.2, we have  $\{x, y\} = \{x \bullet y, y \bullet x\}$ , i.e., it is not true that  $x \bullet y, y \bullet x \in N$ . It follows that  $x \square y = (x \bullet y) * (y \bullet x) = y \bullet x$ , proving that  $(X, \square) = (X, \bullet_D)$ .  $\square$

## 5. Some applications to fuzzy sets

Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$ . A map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid* if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b) \quad \text{implies} \quad \mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$$

**Example 5.1.** Suppose that  $D : \mathbf{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a non-decreasing function, e.g., the distribution function of a random variable. Also, suppose  $f : (X, *) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is any function such that  $f(x * y) \geq f(a * b)$  implies  $f(x) \geq f(a)$ . Then  $\mu(x) := D(f(x))$  yields  $\mu(x * y) = D(f(x * y)) \geq D(f(a * b)) = \mu(a * b)$  yields  $f(x * y) \geq f(a * b)$  and thus  $f(x) \geq f(a)$ , whence  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$  as well, i.e.,  $\mu$  is a fuzzy-weak-left-zero groupoid with respect to  $(X, *)$ .

**Example 5.2.** Let  $(X, *)$  be a left-zero semigroup. Then every map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy-weak-left-zero groupoid. In fact, if  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b)$ , since  $(X, *)$  is a left-zero semigroup, we obtain  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$ .

Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$ . A map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *strict fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid* if

$$\mu(x * y) = \mu(a * b) \text{ implies } \mu(x) = \mu(a)$$

Clearly, if  $(X, *)$  is a left-zero semigroup, then every map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a strict fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid. Note that every fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid is a strict fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid.

Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$  and let  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$ . We give some conditions:

- ( $D_1$ ) if  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$ , then  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b)$  for all  $y, b \in X$ ,
- ( $D_2$ ) if  $\mu(x) = \mu(a)$ , then  $\mu(x * y) = \mu(a * b)$  for all  $y, b \in X$ .

Notice that if  $(D_1)$  holds, then  $\mu(x) = \mu(a)$  implies  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b)$  and  $\mu(a * b) \geq \mu(x * y)$ , i.e.,  $\mu(x * y) = \mu(a * b)$ , so that  $(D_1)$  implies the condition  $(D_2)$ .

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$  and let  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a fuzzy subset of  $X$  with  $(D_1)$ . If  $\mu(a) := \min_{x \in X} \mu(x)$ , then  $\mu(a * b) = \mu(a * c)$  for all  $b, c \in X$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $\mu(a) \leq \mu(x)$  for all  $x \in X$ , by  $(D_1)$ , we have  $\mu(a * b) \leq \mu(x * c)$  for all  $b, c, x \in X$ . It follows that  $\mu(a * b) \leq \mu(a * c)$  for all  $b, c \in X$ . If we exchange  $b$  with  $c$ , then we have  $\mu(a * b) = \mu(a * c)$  for all  $b, c \in X$ .  $\square$

Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$ . A map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid* if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b) \text{ implies } \mu(y) \geq \mu(b)$$

A map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is said to be a *fuzzy weak-zero* if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b) \text{ implies } \mu(x) \geq \mu(a), \mu(y) \geq \mu(b)$$

**Theorem 5.1.** *Let  $(X, *), (X, \bullet) \in \text{Bin}(X)$  and let  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ . Then the following conclusions hold:*

- (i) *if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of both  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$ , it is also a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, \square)$ ,*
- (ii) *if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, *)$  and a fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ , then it is a fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid,*
- (iii) *if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid of  $(X, *)$  and a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ , then it is a fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid,*
- (iv) *if  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-right-zero groupoid of both  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$ , it is a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, \square)$ .*

*Proof.* (i) If  $\mu(x \square y) \geq \mu(a \square b)$  for some  $x, y, a, b \in X$ , then  $\mu((x * y) \bullet (y * x)) \geq \mu((a * b) \bullet (b * a))$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ , we obtain  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b)$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-left-zero groupoid of  $(X, *)$ , we have  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$ . The proofs of the others are similar to (i), and we omit them.  $\square$

Let  $(X, *) \in \text{Bin}(X)$ . A map  $\mu : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a *fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid* if

$$\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b) \text{ implies } \mu(x) \geq \mu(a) \text{ or } \mu(y) \geq \mu(b)$$

**Example 5.3.** In Example 5.1, if  $f : (X, *) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  is any function such that  $f(x * y) \geq f(a * b)$  implies  $f(x) \geq f(a)$  or  $f(y) \geq f(b)$ . Then  $D \circ f : (X, *) \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid.

**Example 5.4.** Let  $X := \mathbb{N}$ , the set of all natural numbers, and let  $x * y := x(x + y)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ . Define  $f : X \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$  by  $f(x) := x$ . Assume  $f(x * y) \geq f(a * b)$  and  $f(x) \leq f(a)$ . Then  $x(x + y) \geq a(a + b)$  and  $x \leq a$ . It follows that  $x + y \geq a + b$  and hence  $y \geq a + b - x = (a - x) + b \geq b$ , which shows that  $f(y) \geq f(b)$ . Define  $\mu := D \circ f$ , where  $D$  is a distribution function of a random variable. Then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of  $(X, *)$ .

**Proposition 5.2.** Let  $\mu$  be a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of both  $(X, *)$  and  $(X, \bullet)$ . If  $(X, \square) := (X, *) \square (X, \bullet)$ , then  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of  $(X, \square)$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $\mu(x \square y) \geq \mu(a \square b)$  and  $\mu(x) < \mu(a)$ . Then  $\mu((x * y) \bullet (y * x)) \geq \mu((a * b) \bullet (b * a))$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of  $(X, \bullet)$ , we obtain either  $\mu(x * y) \geq \mu(a * b)$  or  $\mu(y * x) \geq \mu(b * a)$ . Since  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of  $(X, *)$ , we have either  $\mu(x) \geq \mu(a)$  or  $\mu(y) \geq \mu(b)$ . It follows that  $\mu(y) \geq \mu(b)$ , proving that  $\mu$  is a fuzzy weak-crossed-zero groupoid of  $(X, \square)$ .  $\square$

## 6. Conclusion.

In this paper, we consider elements of  $(\text{Bin}(X), \square)$  with similar properties, which acts as generalizations of the left-zero semigroup as well as the right-zero semigroup, viz, the weak-left-zero groupoids and the weak-right-zero semigroups, while still maintaining several of their properties to a considerable degree, especially with respect to those derived for the product  $\square$  in  $(\text{Bin}(X), \square)$ . As another generalization of the left-zero semigroup, we introduce the notion of an  $(X, N)$ -zero groupoid and obtain several “parity” properties related to  $Z\text{Bin}(X)$ . After having obtained information about these groupoids, we are in the future planning to proceed with the study of fuzzy subsets of  $X$  which have correspond properties to fuzzy subsets of  $X$  which have corresponding properties in the future.

## REFERENCES

- [1] *H. F. Fayoumi*, Locally-zero groupoids and the center of  $Bin(X)$ , Comm. Korean Math. Soc., **26** (2011), 163-168.
- [2] *J. S. Han, H. S. Kim and J. Neggers*, The hypergroupoid semigroups as generalizations of the groupoid semigroups, Journal of Applied Math. **2012** (2012), Article ID 717698, 8 pages.
- [3] *K. Iséki and S. Tanaka*, An introduction to theory of  $BCK$ -algebras, Math. Japonica **23** (1978), 1-26.
- [4] *K. Iséki*, On  $BCI$ -algebras, Math. Seminar Notes **8** (1980), 125-130.
- [5] *H. S. Kim and J. Neggers*, The semigroups of binary systems and some perspectives, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **45** (2008), 651-661.
- [6] *J. Meng and Y. B. Jun*,  $BCK$ -algebras, Kyung Moon Sa, Seoul, 1994.
- [7] *J. N. Mordeson and D. S. Malik*, Fuzzy commutative algebra, World Scientific, Singapore, 1998.
- [8] *A. Rosenfeld*, Fuzzy groups, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **35** (1971), 512-517.
- [9] *L. A. Zadeh*, Fuzzy sets, Inf. Contr. **8** (1965), 338-353.