

## AUTOMATIC CONTINUITY OF $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -DOUBLE DERIVATIONS ON $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Amin HOSSEINI<sup>1</sup>

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be linear mappings. We say that a linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation if  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . In the case that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ , by a  $\delta$ -double derivation we mean a  $(\delta, \delta)$ -double derivation. In this article, we prove that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and the above-mentioned mappings  $\delta$ ,  $\varepsilon$  are continuous, then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is automatically continuous.

**Keywords:** derivation,  $\delta$ -double derivation,  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation, automatic continuity.

**MSC2000:** 46L57, 46L05, 47B47

### 1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be linear mappings. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation if

$$d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)d(b),$$

holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . In the case that  $\sigma = \tau$ , the linear mapping  $d$  is called a  $\sigma$ -derivation. Clearly, if  $\sigma = \tau = id$ , the identity mapping on  $\mathcal{A}$ , then we reach to the usual notion of a derivation on the algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  (see [3, 4, 8]). M. Mirzavaziri and E. O. Tehrani [7] introduced the concept of a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivations which is a different notion of the current paper. In this paper, we generalized their definition as follows. Let  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be linear mappings. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation if  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . In the case that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ , by a  $\delta$ -double derivation we mean a  $(\delta, \delta)$ -double derivation, i.e.  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + 2\delta(a)\delta(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

The theory of automatic continuity of derivations has a long history. Results on automatic continuity of linear mappings defined on Banach algebras comprise a fruitful area of research developed during the last sixty years. See [2] for a comprehensive survey of results in this regard. Let us to investigate a background of our study. In 1958, I. Kaplansky [5] conjectured that every derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra is continuous. Two years later, in 1960, S. Sakai [10] proved this conjecture. Indeed,

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Kashmar Higher Education Institute, Kashmar, Iran, e-mail: [hosseini.amin82@gmail.com](mailto:hosseini.amin82@gmail.com)

he proved that every derivation on a  $C^*$ -algebra is automatically continuous and later in 1972, J. R. Ringrose [9], by getting idea from [1] and using its techniques showed that every derivation from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule is automatically continuous. Furthermore, the problem of automatic continuity has been considered for  $\sigma$ -derivations (see [3, 4, 8]). In 2009, M. Mirzavaziri and E. O. Tehrani [7] acquired some results about automatic continuity of  $\delta$ -double derivations. For instance, they proved that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a continuous linear mapping, then any  $*\text{-}\delta$ -double derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is continuous ([7], Theorem 3.3). Moreover, they proved that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  are two continuous linear mappings and  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is a  $* - (\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation, then  $d$  is continuous ([7], Theorem 3.7).

In this study, we consider the same problem for  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivations from a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}$ . Indeed, by getting idea and using some techniques of [9], we prove the following main theorem.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Suppose that  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  are continuous linear mappings and  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation. Then,  $d$  is continuous.

Using the above-mentioned theorem, we obtain some results concerning the automatic continuity of  $\sigma$ -derivations and  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras.

## 2. Results and Proofs

We begin with the following Lemmas which will be used extensively to prove our main theorem.

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{I}$  be a closed bi-ideal in a  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$ . Suppose that  $a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \in \mathcal{I}$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\|^2 \leq 1$ . Then, there exist elements  $b, c_1, c_2, \dots$  of  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $b \geq 0$ ,  $\|c_n\| \leq 1$ , and  $a_n = bc_n$ .*

*Proof.* See exercise 4.6.40 of [6]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  is an infinite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. Then, there is an infinite sequence  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$  of non-zero elements of  $\mathcal{A}^+$  such that  $a_j a_k = 0$  when  $j \neq k$ .*

*Proof.* See exercise 4.6.13 of [6]. □

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are  $C^*$ -algebras, and  $\varphi$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto  $\mathcal{B}$ . Suppose that  $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$  is a sequence of elements of  $\mathcal{B}^+$  such that  $b_j b_k = 0$  when  $j \neq k$ . Then, there is a sequence  $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}^+$  such that  $a_j a_k = 0$  when  $j \neq k$ , and  $\varphi(a_j) = b_j$  for each  $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$*

*Proof.* See exercise 4.6.20 of [6]. □

**Definition 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be an algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and  $\delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be two linear mappings. A linear mapping  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is called a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation if  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . In*

the case that  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ , by a  $\delta$ -double derivation we mean a  $(\delta, \delta)$ -double derivation, i.e.  $d(ab) = d(a)b + ad(b) + 2\delta(a)\delta(b)$  holds for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ .

Our main theorem reads as follows.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Then each  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  with continuous  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  is automatically continuous.*

*Proof.* We break up the proof into five steps.

For each  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , we consider the maps  $\eta_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $\eta_a(t) = d(at)$  and  $S_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ ,  $S_a(t) = ad(t)$ . Let  $\mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid \eta_a \text{ is continuous}\}$ .

**Step 1:**  $\mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid S_a \text{ is continuous}\}$ .

Set  $\mathcal{V} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid S_a \text{ is continuous}\}$ . Our task is to show that  $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $\mathcal{I}$ . It is clear that the mapping  $t \mapsto d(at) - d(a)t - \delta(a)\varepsilon(t) - \varepsilon(a)\delta(t) = ad(t)$  is continuous. It means that  $a \in \mathcal{V}$  and thus,  $\mathcal{I} \subseteq \mathcal{V}$ . Now, we prove that  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . Let  $a$  be an element of  $\mathcal{V}$ . From this and the continuity of  $\delta, \varepsilon$  and the mapping  $t \mapsto d(a)t$ , we obtain that the mapping  $t \mapsto d(a)t + ad(t) + \delta(a)\varepsilon(t) + \varepsilon(a)\delta(t) = d(at)$  is continuous. Hence,  $a \in \mathcal{I}$  and it means that  $\mathcal{V} \subseteq \mathcal{I}$ . Consequently,  $\mathcal{I} = \{a \in \mathcal{A} \mid S_a \text{ is continuous}\}$ .

**Step 2:**  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed two sided-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ .

First, we show that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a two sided-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Note that for every element  $b \in \mathcal{A}$ , the linear mapping  $\theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\theta(t) = bt$  is continuous. Assume that  $a$  and  $b$  are two arbitrary elements of  $\mathcal{I}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. It is evident that the mapping  $\eta_a \circ \theta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $\eta_a \circ \theta(t) = d(abt)$  is continuous, and so  $ab \in \mathcal{I}$ . It means that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a right ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Moreover, we have  $d(bat) = bd(at) + d(b)at + \delta(b)\varepsilon(at) + \varepsilon(b)\delta(at)$ . Note that the mappings  $t \mapsto d(b)at$  and  $t \mapsto bd(at)$  are continuous, and by using the assumption that  $\delta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are also continuous, we conclude that the mappings  $t \mapsto \varepsilon(b)\delta(at)$  and  $t \mapsto \delta(b)\varepsilon(at)$  are continuous. Therefore, the mapping  $t \mapsto d(bat)$  is a continuous linear mapping and consequently,  $ba \in \mathcal{I}$ . It means that  $\mathcal{I}$  is a left ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}$  is a two sided-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . In the following, we show that  $\mathcal{I}$  is closed. If  $a \in \bar{\mathcal{I}}$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $a_n \rightarrow a$ . It is enough to show that the mapping  $S_a : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous, i.e.  $a \in \mathcal{V}$ . Since  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathcal{V}$ , the linear mapping  $S_{a_n} : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_{a_n}(t) = S_a(t)$ . By the principle of uniform boundedness,  $S_a$  is norm continuous and so  $a \in \mathcal{V} = \mathcal{I}$ . Therefore,  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed two sided-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ . Thereby, our assertion is proved

**Step 3:**  $d|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is continuous.

Suppose that  $d|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is an unbounded linear mapping. It means that  $\|d|_{\mathcal{I}}\| = \sup\{\|d(a_n)\| : \|a_n\| \leq 1, a_n \in \mathcal{I}\} = \infty$ . Then, we can choose a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  in  $\mathcal{I}$  such that  $\|d(a_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\|^2 \leq 1$ . Now we define  $b = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^*)^{\frac{1}{4}}$ , and since  $\mathcal{I}$  is a closed bi-ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$ ,  $b$  is a positive element of  $\mathcal{I}$ , i.e.  $b \in \mathcal{I}^+$ . We have  $\|b\|^4 = \|b^4\| = \|\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n a_n^*\| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n a_n^*\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|a_n\|^2 \leq 1$ . So,  $\|b\| \leq 1$ . It

follows from Lemma 2.1 that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists an element  $c_n \in \mathcal{I}$  such that  $\|c_n\| \leq 1$ ,  $a_n = bc_n$ . Note that  $\|d(bc_n)\| = \|d(a_n)\| \rightarrow \infty$ . We therefore have  $\infty = \sup\{\|d(bc_n)\| : \|c_n\| \leq 1\} \leq \sup\{\|d(bt)\| : \|t\| \leq 1\}$ , and consequently, the mapping  $\eta_b : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $\eta_b(t) = d(bt)$  is unbounded. But this is a contradiction of the fact that  $b \in \mathcal{I}$ . Hence, the restriction  $d|_{\mathcal{I}}$  is continuous.

**Step 4:**  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  is finite-dimensional.

To obtain a contradiction, assume that  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  is an infinite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebra. It follows from Lemma 2.2 that there exists an infinite sequence  $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$  of non-zero, positive elements in  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  such that  $b_j b_k = 0$  where  $j \neq k$ . Since  $\|b_j^2\| = \|b_j\|^2 > 0$ ,  $b_j^2 \neq 0$ . We know that the natural mapping  $\pi : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism from the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  onto the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ . According to Lemma 2.3, there exists a sequence  $\{s_1, s_2, s_3, \dots\}$  of elements of  $\mathcal{A}^+$  such that  $\pi(s_j) = b_j$ ,  $s_j s_k = 0$ , where  $j \neq k$ . If we now replace  $s_j$  by an appropriate scalar multiple, we may suppose also that  $\|s_j\| \leq 1$ . Since  $\pi(s_j^2) = b_j^2 \neq 0$ ,  $s_j^2 \notin \mathcal{I}$ . This fact along with the definition of  $\mathcal{I}$ , imply that the mapping  $\eta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $t \mapsto d(s_j^2 t)$  is unbounded. Hence there is a sequence  $\{t_j\}$  in  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\|t_j\| \leq 2^{-j}$ , and  $\|d(s_j^2 t_j)\| \geq j + m\|d(s_j)\| + m\|\delta(s_j)\|\|\varepsilon(c)\| + m\|\varepsilon(s_j)\|\|\delta(c)\|$  where  $m$  is the bound of the bilinear mapping  $(a, x) \mapsto xa : \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ . Since  $\sum \|s_j t_j\| \leq \sum \|s_j\| \|t_j\| \leq \sum 2^{-j} < \infty$ , the series  $\sum s_j t_j$  is convergent to an element  $c$  of  $\mathcal{A}$ , i.e.  $\sum s_j t_j = c$ . We have  $s_j c = s_j (\sum s_j t_j) = s_j s_1 t_1 + s_j s_2 t_2 + \dots + s_j s_j t_j + \dots = s_j^2 t_j$ . Hence,  $\|c\| = \|\sum s_j t_j\| \leq \sum \|s_j t_j\| \leq \sum 2^{-j} \leq 1$ , and further

$$\begin{aligned}
\|s_j d(c)\| &= \|d(s_j c) - d(s_j) c - \delta(s_j) \varepsilon(c) - \varepsilon(s_j) \delta(c)\| \\
&\geq \|d(s_j c)\| - \|d(s_j) c\| - \|\delta(s_j) \varepsilon(c)\| - \|\varepsilon(s_j) \delta(c)\| \\
&= \|d(s_j^2 t_j)\| - \|d(s_j) c\| - \|\delta(s_j) \varepsilon(c)\| - \|\varepsilon(s_j) \delta(c)\| \\
&\geq j + m\|d(s_j)\| + m\|\delta(s_j)\|\|\varepsilon(c)\| + m\|\varepsilon(s_j)\|\|\delta(c)\| - \|d(s_j) c\| \\
&\quad - \|\delta(s_j) \varepsilon(c)\| - \|\varepsilon(s_j) \delta(c)\| \\
&\geq j + m\|d(s_j)\| + m\|\delta(s_j)\|\|\varepsilon(c)\| + m\|\varepsilon(s_j)\|\|\delta(c)\| - m\|d(s_j)\| \\
&\quad - m\|\delta(s_j)\|\|\varepsilon(c)\| - m\|\varepsilon(s_j)\|\|\delta(c)\| \\
&= j.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $\|s_j\| \leq 1$  and the mapping  $t \mapsto td(c) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is bounded, the non-equality  $\|s_j d(c)\| \geq j$  is a contradiction. This contradiction proves our claim that  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  is finite-dimensional.

**Step 5:**  $d$  is continuous.

Since the algebra  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  is finite-dimensional, we can consider the elements  $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r$  of  $\mathcal{A}$  such that  $\pi(a_1), \pi(a_2), \dots, \pi(a_r)$  forms a basis for the algebra  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ . Suppose that

$\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_r$  are linear functionals on  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$  such that

$$\tau_j(\pi(a_k)) = \begin{cases} 1 & j = k \\ 0 & j \neq k \end{cases}$$

As an easy exercise in functional analysis, we know that every  $\tau_j$  is continuous for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . Since  $\{\pi(a_1), \pi(a_2), \dots, \pi(a_r)\}$  is a basis for the algebra  $\frac{\mathcal{A}}{\mathcal{I}}$ , for every element  $a \in \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\pi(a) = \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \pi(a_j)$ , where  $c_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . Hence,  $\tau_j(\pi(a)) = c_1 \tau_j(\pi(a_1)) + c_2 \tau_j(\pi(a_2)) + \dots + c_r \tau_j(\pi(a_r)) = c_j$ . Having defined a continuous linear functional  $\delta_j = \tau_j \circ \pi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(a) &= \sum_{j=1}^r c_j \pi(a_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \tau_j(\pi(a)) \pi(a_j) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) \pi(a_j). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,  $a - \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) a_j \in \mathcal{I}$ . Now we define  $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{I}$  by  $\Delta(a) = a - \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) a_j$ . Obviously, the linear mapping  $\Delta$  is continuous, and so  $d|_{\mathcal{I}} \circ \Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  defined by  $(d|_{\mathcal{I}} \circ \Delta)(a) = d(a - \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) a_j) = d(a) - \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) d(a_j)$  is continuous. The continuity of the mapping  $d|_{\mathcal{I}} \circ \Delta$  along with the continuity of  $\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_r$  imply that the linear mapping  $a \mapsto d(a) - \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) d(a_j) + \sum_{j=1}^r \delta_j(a) d(a_j) = d(a) : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous and our ultimate goal is achieved.  $\square$

The following corollary is a comprehensive generalization of Theorem 3.7 of [7].

**Corollary 2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\delta, \varepsilon : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be continuous linear mappings. Then every  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* This is Theorem 2.1 whenever  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{M}$ .  $\square$

The corollaries below are the generalizations of Theorem 3.8 and Theorem 4.3 of [8], respectively.

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, and let  $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be a continuous homomorphism. Then every  $\sigma$ -derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  is a homomorphism, the module  $\mathcal{M}$  equipped with the module multiplications  $a \ltimes x = \sigma(a)x$  and  $x \rtimes a = x\sigma(a)$  ( $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{M}$ ) is an  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule which is denoted by  $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ . Since  $\sigma$  is bounded, we see that  $\max\{\|a \ltimes x\|, \|x \rtimes a\|\} \leq \|\sigma\| \|a\| \|x\|$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathcal{M}$ . It implies that  $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. Note that

$$d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)d(b) = d(a) \rtimes b + a \ltimes d(b),$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$ . It means that  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}^\bullet$  is a derivation from the  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  into the Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ . If we assume that  $\delta = \varepsilon = 0$ , then we have  $d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \sigma(a)d(b) = d(a) \rtimes b + a \ltimes d(b) + \delta(a) \rtimes \varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a) \ltimes \delta(b)$ . So,  $d$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\mathcal{M}^\bullet$ . Now, Theorem 2.1 is exactly what we need to complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra,  $\mathcal{M}$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, and let  $\sigma, \tau : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  be continuous homomorphisms. Then every  $(\sigma, \tau)$ -derivation  $d : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$  is continuous.*

*Proof.* It is clear that  $\mathcal{M}$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule by the following module actions:

$$a \ltimes m = \tau(a)m, \quad m \rtimes a = m\sigma(a) \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}, m \in \mathcal{M}).$$

We denote the above module by  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ . Similar to the proof of Corollary 2.2, we may assume that  $\delta = \varepsilon = 0$ . Then we have  $d(ab) = d(a)\sigma(b) + \tau(a)d(b) = d(a) \rtimes b + a \ltimes d(b) + \delta(a) \rtimes \varepsilon(b) + \varepsilon(a) \ltimes \delta(b)$ . So,  $d$  is a  $(\delta, \varepsilon)$ -double derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $\widehat{\mathcal{M}}$ . Thus, by Theorem 2.1,  $d$  is continuous.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements** The author is greatly indebted to the referee for his/her valuable suggestions and careful reading of the paper.

## REFERENCES

- [1] *W.G. Bade and P.C. Curtis*, Homomorphisms of commutative Banach algebras, Amer. J. Math., **82** (1960), 589-608.
- [2] *H. G. Dales*, Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity, Math. Soc. Monographs, New Series, 24, Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [3] *A. Hosseini, M. Hassani, A. Niknam and S. Hejazian*, Some results on  $\sigma$ -Derivations, Ann. Funct. Anal., **2**(2011), 7584.
- [4] *A. Hosseini, M. Hassani and A. Niknam*, Generalized  $\sigma$ -derivation on Banach algebras, Bull. Iranian. Math. Soc., **37**(2011), 81-94.
- [5] *I. Kaplansky*, Functional analysis, Some aspects of analysis and probability, Surveys in Applied Mathematics. Vol. 4, London. MR0101475 (21:286), 1958.
- [6] *R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose*, Fundamentals of the theory of operator algebras- Special Topics, Vol. 3, Elementary Theory-An Exercise Approach, Academic Press, 1983.
- [7] *M. Mirzavaziri and E. Omidvar Tehrani*,  $\delta$ -double derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras, Bull. Iranian. Math. Soc. **35** (2009), 147-154.
- [8] *M. Mirzavaziri and M. S. Moslehian*, Automatic continuity of  $\sigma$ -derivations on  $C^*$ -algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **134** (2006), 33193327.
- [9] *J. R. Ringrose*, Automatic continuity of derivations of operator algebras, J. London Math. Soc. **5** (1972), 432-438.
- [10] *S. Sakai*, On a conjecture of Kaplansky, Tôhoku Math. J. **12** (1960), 31-33.