

## CONFORMAL ANTI-INVARIANT RIEMANNIAN MAPS TO KÄHLER MANIFOLDS

Mehmet Akif AKYOL<sup>1</sup> and Bayram SAHIN<sup>2</sup>

*We introduce conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to almost Hermitian manifolds and show that they include both anti-invariant submanifolds and anti-invariant Riemannian maps. We give non-trivial examples, investigate the geometry of certain distributions and obtain decomposition theorems for the base manifold. The harmonicity and totally geodesicity of conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps are also obtained. Moreover, we study weakly umbilical conformal Riemannian maps and obtain a classification theorem for umbilical conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps.*

**Keywords:** Anti-invariant submanifold, anti-invariant Riemannian map, conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map, totally geodesic map, harmonic map.

**MSC2010:** 53C15,53C43

### 1. Introduction

Let  $(\mathcal{M}, J_{\mathcal{M}})$  be an almost complex manifold with almost complex structure  $J_{\mathcal{M}}$ . A totally real submanifold (anti-invariant submanifold)  $M$  is a submanifold such that the almost complex structure  $J_{\mathcal{M}}$  of the ambient manifold  $\mathcal{M}$  carries a tangent space of  $M$  into the corresponding normal space of  $M$ . A totally real submanifold is called *Lagrangian* if  $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} M = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{M}$ . Real curves of Kähler manifolds are examples of totally real submanifolds. The first contribution to the geometry of totally real submanifolds was given in the early 1970's [3]. For details, see [13].

As a generalization of isometric immersions and Riemannian submersions, Riemannian maps were introduced in [4] as follows. Let  $F : (M, g_M) \rightarrow (N, g_N)$  be a smooth map between Riemannian manifolds such that  $0 < \text{rank } F < \min\{m, n\}$ , where  $\dim M = m$  and  $\dim N = n$ . Then we denote the kernel space of  $F_*$  by  $\ker F_*$  and consider the orthogonal complementary space  $\mathcal{H} = (\ker F_*)^\perp$  to  $\ker F_*$  in  $TM$ . Then the tangent bundle of  $M$  has the following decomposition

$$TM = \ker F_* \oplus \mathcal{H}.$$

---

<sup>1</sup> Professor, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Art and Science, Bingol university, 12000, Bingol, Turkey, E-mail: [mehmetakifakyol@bingol.edu.tr](mailto:mehmetakifakyol@bingol.edu.tr)

<sup>2</sup> Professor, Department of Mathematics, Ege university, 35100, Bornova, Izmir, Turkey, E-mail: [bayram.sahin@ymail.com](mailto:bayram.sahin@ymail.com)

We denote the range of  $F_*$  by  $\text{range}F_*$  and consider the orthogonal complementary space  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$  to  $\text{range}F_*$  in the tangent bundle  $TN$  of  $N$ . Since  $\text{rank}F < \min\{m, n\}$ , we always have  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$ . Thus the tangent bundle  $TN$  of  $N$  has the following decomposition

$$F^{-1}(TN) = (\text{range}F_*) \oplus (\text{range}F_*)^\perp.$$

Now, a smooth map  $F : (M_1^m, g_M) \longrightarrow (M_2^n, g_N)$  is called Riemannian map at  $p_1 \in M$  if the horizontal restriction  $F_{*p_1}^h : (\ker F_{*p_1})^\perp \longrightarrow (\text{range}F_{*p_1})$  is a linear isometry between the inner product spaces  $((\ker F_{*p_1})^\perp, g_M(p_1)|_{(\ker F_{*p_1})^\perp})$  and  $(\text{range}F_{*p_1}, g_N(p_2)|_{(\text{range}F_{*p_1})})$ ,  $p_2 = F(p_1)$ . Thus  $F_*$  satisfies the equation

$$g_N(F_*\tilde{X}, F_*\tilde{Y}) = g_M(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) \quad (1)$$

for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  vector fields tangent to  $\mathcal{H}$ . Indeed, it follows that isometric immersions and Riemannian submersions are particular Riemannian maps with  $\ker F_* = \{0\}$  and  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp = \{0\}$ . It is known that a Riemannian map is a subimmersion [4] and this fact implies that the rank of the linear map  $F_{*p} : T_p M \longrightarrow T_{F(p)} N$  is constant for  $p$  in each connected component of  $M$ , [1] and [4]. It is also important to note that Riemannian maps satisfy the eikonal equation. Different properties of Riemannian maps have been studied widely by many authors, see: [5], [6], [8], and [9]. Recently, conformal Riemannian maps as a generalization of Riemannian maps have been defined in [12] and the harmonicity of such maps have been also obtained.

On the other hand, as a generalization of totally real submanifolds, anti-invariant Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to almost complex manifolds were defined and studied in [11]. In this paper, we are going to introduce and study conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps from Riemannian manifolds to almost complex manifolds as a generalization of totally real submanifolds and anti-invariant Riemannian maps.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall some basic materials from [2, 14]. A  $2n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, g, J)$  is called an almost Hermitian manifold if there exists a tensor field  $J$  of type  $(1, 1)$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $J^2 = -I$  and

$$g(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g(J\tilde{X}, J\tilde{Y}), \quad \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}), \quad (2)$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity transformation of  $T_p \mathcal{M}$ . Consider an almost Hermitian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}, g, J)$  and denote by  $\nabla$  the Levi-Civita connection on  $\mathcal{M}$  with respect to  $g$ . Then  $\mathcal{M}$  is called a Kähler manifold [14] if  $J$  is parallel with respect to  $\nabla$ , i.e.

$$(\nabla_{\tilde{X}} J)\tilde{Y} = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$\forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(T\mathcal{M}).$$

We now recall the notion of harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds. Let  $(\mathcal{M}, g_{\mathcal{M}})$  and  $(N, g_N)$  be Riemannian manifolds and suppose that  $\varphi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow N$  is

a smooth map between them. Then the second fundamental form of  $\varphi$  is given by

$$(\nabla\varphi_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^\varphi \varphi_*(\tilde{Y}) - \varphi_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}} \tilde{Y}) \quad (4)$$

for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(TM)$ , where  $\nabla^\varphi$  is the pullback connection. It is known that the second fundamental form is symmetric. The tension field of  $\varphi$  is the section  $\tau(\varphi)$  of the pullback bundle  $\Gamma(\varphi^{-1}TN)$  defined by  $\tau(\varphi) = \text{div } \varphi_* = \sum_{i=1}^m (\nabla\varphi_*)(e_i, e_i)$ , where  $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$  is the orthonormal frame on  $\mathcal{M}$ . A smooth map  $\varphi$  satisfying  $\tau(\varphi) = 0$  is called a harmonic map, see [2].

We denote by  $\nabla^2$  both the Levi-Civita connection of  $(N, g_N)$  and its pull-back along  $F$ . Then according to [7], for any vector field  $\tilde{X}$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  and any section  $V$  of  $(\text{range } F_*)^\perp$ , where  $(\text{range } F_*)^\perp$  is the subbundle of  $F^{-1}(TN)$  with fiber  $(F_*(T_p\mathcal{M}))^\perp$  – orthogonal complement of  $F_*(T_p\mathcal{M})$  for  $g_N$  over  $p$ , we have  $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} V$  which is the orthogonal projection of  $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 V$  on  $(F_*(T_p\mathcal{M}))^\perp$  – such that  $\nabla^{F^\perp} g_2 = 0$ . We now define  $A_V$  as

$$\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 V = -A_V F_* \tilde{X} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} V \quad (5)$$

where  $A_V F_* \tilde{X}$  is tangential component (a vector field along  $F$ ) of  $\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 V$ . It is easy to see that  $A_V F_* \tilde{X}$  is bilinear in  $V$  and  $F_*$  and  $A_V F_* \tilde{X}$  at  $p$  depends only on  $V_p$  and  $F_{*p} \tilde{X}_p$ . By direct computations, we obtain

$$g_2(A_V F_* \tilde{X}, F_* \tilde{Y}) = g_2(V, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})) \quad (6)$$

for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$  and  $V \in \Gamma((\text{range } F_*)^\perp)$ . Since  $(\nabla F_*)$  is symmetric, it follows that  $A_V$  is a symmetric linear transformation of  $\text{range } F_*$ .

### 3. Conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps

In this section, we define and study conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps, give examples, investigate the geometry of leaves of the distributions which are defined on the target manifolds. We also give a decomposition theorem and obtain necessary and sufficient conditions for such conformal Riemannian maps to be totally geodesic. We first recall that, in [12], the second author of the present paper showed that the second fundamental form  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), \forall \tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ , of a conformal Riemannian map is in the following form

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{\text{range } F_*} = \tilde{X}(\ln \lambda) F_* \tilde{Y} + \tilde{Y}(\ln \lambda) F_* \tilde{X} - g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) F_*(\text{grad } \ln \lambda). \quad (7)$$

Thus if we denote the  $(\text{range } F_*)^\perp$  – component of  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  by  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp}$ , we can write  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$  as

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{\text{range } F_*} + (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp}, \quad (8)$$

for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) &= \tilde{X}(\ln \lambda) F_* \tilde{Y} + \tilde{Y}(\ln \lambda) F_* \tilde{X} - g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) F_*(\text{grad } \ln \lambda) \\ &\quad + (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp}, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We now present the following definition for conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps as a generalization of totally real submanifolds and anti-invariant Riemannian maps.

**Definition 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to an almost Hermitian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then we say that  $F$  is a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map at  $p \in \mathcal{M}_1$  if  $J(\text{range}F_*)_p \subseteq (\text{range}F_{*p})^\perp$ . If  $F$  is a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map for any  $p \in \mathcal{M}_1$ , then  $F$  is called a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map.*

We are going to give some examples of conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps.

**Example 3.1.** [13] *Every anti-invariant submanifold of an almost Hermitian manifold is a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map with  $\lambda = 1$  and  $\ker F_* = \{0\}$ .*

**Example 3.2.** [11] *Every anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold to an almost Hermitian manifold is a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map with  $\lambda = 1$ .*

We say that a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map is proper if  $\lambda \neq I$ . We now present an example of a proper conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map. In the following  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  denotes the Euclidean  $2m$ -space with the standard metric. An almost complex structure  $J$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  is said to be compatible if  $(\mathbb{R}^{2m}, J)$  is complex analytically isometric to the complex number space  $\mathbb{C}^m$  with the standard flat Kählerian metric. We denote by  $J$  the compatible almost complex structure on  $\mathbb{R}^{2m}$  defined by

$$J(\bar{a}_1, \dots, \bar{a}_{2m}) = (-\bar{a}_2, \bar{a}_1, \dots, -\bar{a}_{2m}, \bar{a}_{2m-1}).$$

**Example 3.3.** *Consider the following map defined by*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F : & \mathbb{R}^4 & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \\ & (\bar{x}_1, \bar{x}_2, \bar{x}_3, \bar{x}_4) & (e^{\bar{x}_1} \sin \bar{x}_2, 0, e^{\bar{x}_1} \cos \bar{x}_2, 0). \end{array}$$

We have

$$\ker F_* = \text{span}\{Z_1 = \partial \bar{x}_3, Z_2 = \partial \bar{x}_4\}$$

and

$$(\ker F_*)^\perp = \text{span}\{H_1 = e^{\bar{x}_1} \sin \bar{x}_2 \partial \bar{x}_1 + e^{\bar{x}_1} \cos \bar{x}_2 \partial \bar{x}_2, H_2 = e^{\bar{x}_1} \cos \bar{x}_2 \partial \bar{x}_1 - e^{\bar{x}_1} \sin \bar{x}_2 \partial \bar{x}_2\}.$$

By direct computations, we have  $\text{range}F_* = \text{span}\{F_*H_1 = e^{2\bar{x}_1} \partial \bar{y}_1, F_*H_2 = e^{2\bar{x}_1} \partial \bar{y}_3\}$  and  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp = \{\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}_2}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{y}_4}\}$ . It is also easy to check that

$$g_2(F_*H_1, F_*H_1) = e^{2\bar{x}_1} g_1(H_1, H_1)$$

and

$$g_2(F_*H_2, F_*H_2) = e^{2\bar{x}_1} g_1(H_2, H_2),$$

which show that  $F$  is a conformal Riemannian map with  $\lambda = e^{\bar{x}_1}$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that  $JF_*H_1 = e^{2\bar{x}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}_2}$  and  $JF_*H_2 = e^{2\bar{x}_1}\frac{\partial}{\partial\bar{y}_4}$ , where  $J$  is the canonical complex structure of  $\mathbb{R}^4$  defined by

$$J(\bar{y}_1, \bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_4) = (-\bar{y}_2, \bar{y}_1, -\bar{y}_3, \bar{y}_4).$$

As a result,  $F$  is a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map.

Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to an almost Hermitian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . First of all, from Definition 3.1, we have  $J(\text{range}F_*) \cap (\text{range}F_*)^\perp \neq \{0\}$ . We denote the complementary orthogonal distribution to  $J(\text{range}F_*)$  in  $((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$  by  $\mu$ . Then we have

$$(\text{range}F_*)^\perp = J(\text{range}F_*) \oplus \mu. \quad (10)$$

It is easy to see that  $\mu$  is an invariant distribution of  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$ , under the endomorphism  $J_2$ . Thus, for  $V \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$ , we have

$$JV = \mathcal{B}V + \mathcal{C}V \quad (11)$$

where  $\mathcal{B}V \in \Gamma(\text{range}F_*)$  and  $\mathcal{C}V \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$ .

We now investigate the geometry of the leaves of  $(\text{range}F_*)$  and  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$ . First, we give the following result.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then  $(\text{range}F_*)$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$  if and only if*

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}, JF_*\tilde{Y}) = g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}W) \quad (12)$$

for any  $W \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$  and  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}' \in \Gamma((\text{ker}F_*)^\perp)$ , such that  $F_*\tilde{Y}' = \mathcal{B}V$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma((\text{ker}F_*)^\perp)$  and  $W \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$ , using (2) we have

$$g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}, W) = g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 JF_*\tilde{Y}, JW).$$

Thus from (11) we obtain

$$g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}, W) = -g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}', JF_*\tilde{Y}) + g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}W),$$

where  $F_*\tilde{Y}' = \mathcal{B}W$  for  $\tilde{Y}' \in \Gamma((\text{ker}F_*)^\perp)$ . Since  $F$  is a conformal Riemannian map, using (4), (5) and (8) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}, W) &= -g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp} + (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp} + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}'), JF_*\tilde{Y}) \\ &\quad + g_2(-A_{JF_*\tilde{Y}}\tilde{X} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}W). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, we arrive at

$$g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}, W) = -g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}, JF_*\tilde{Y}) + g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}W).$$

From above equation,  $(\text{range}F_*)$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$  if and only if (12) is satisfied.  $\square$

In a similar way, we obtain the following Theorem:

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$  if and only if*

- (i)  $(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .
- (ii)  $F$  is a horizontally homothetic conformal Riemannian map.
- (iii)  $g_2(\mathcal{B}V, A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X} + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) = -g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, Z')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V)$   
 $- g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}])$

for any  $V, W \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$  and  $\tilde{X}, Z' \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$  such that  $F_*Z' = \mathcal{B}V$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$  and  $V, W \in \Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is a Kähler manifold, using (2) we have

$$g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_*\tilde{X}) = -g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}]) - g_2(JW, \nabla_{F_*\tilde{X}}^2 JV).$$

Then using from (11), (4) and (5) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_*\tilde{X}) &= -g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}]) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, Z') + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) \\ &\quad - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, -A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, Z') + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) \\ &\quad - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, -A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V), \end{aligned}$$

where  $F_*Z' = \mathcal{B}V \in \Gamma(\text{range}F_*)$  for  $Z' \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ . Since  $F$  is a conformal Riemannian map, using (8), we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_*\tilde{X}) &= -g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}]) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, Z')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) \\ &\quad + g_2(\mathcal{B}W, A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X}) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V) \end{aligned}$$

Then from (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_*\tilde{X}) &= -g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}]) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) + g_2(\mathcal{B}W, A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X}) \\ &\quad - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V) \\ &\quad - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, \tilde{X}(\ln \lambda)F_*Z' + Z'(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{X} - g_1(\tilde{X}, Z')F_*(\text{grad} \ln \lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_*\tilde{X}) &= -g_2(W, [V, F_*\tilde{X}]) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}Z')) + g_2(\mathcal{B}W, A_{\mathcal{C}V}F_*\tilde{X}) \\ &\quad - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range}F_*)^\perp}) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp}\mathcal{C}V) \\ &\quad - g_1(\tilde{X}, \text{grad} \ln \lambda)g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*Z') - g_1(Z', \text{grad} \ln \lambda)g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*\tilde{X}) \\ &\quad + g_1(\tilde{X}, Z')g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(\text{grad} \ln \lambda)) \end{aligned}$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(\nabla_V^2 W, F_* \tilde{X}) &= -g_2(W, [V, F_* \tilde{X}]) - g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1} Z')) + g_2(\mathcal{B}W, A_{\mathcal{C}V} F_* \tilde{X}) \\
&\quad - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp}) - g_2(\mathcal{C}W, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} \mathcal{C}V) \\
&\quad - g_1(\tilde{X}, \mathcal{H}grad \ln \lambda) g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_* Z') - g_1(Z', \mathcal{H}grad \ln \lambda) g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_* \tilde{X}) \\
&\quad + g_1(\tilde{X}, Z') g_2(\mathcal{B}W, F_*(grad \ln \lambda))
\end{aligned}$$

From above equation, we can conclude that the two assertions in Theorem 3.2 imply the third.  $\square$

We now recall the following characterization for locally (usual) product Riemannian manifold from [10]. Let  $g$  be a Riemannian metric tensor on the manifold  $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_1 \times \mathcal{M}_2$  and assume that the canonical foliations  $D_{\mathcal{M}_1}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{M}_2}$  intersect perpendicularly everywhere. Then  $g$  is the metric tensor of a usual product of Riemannian manifolds if and only if  $D_{\mathcal{M}_1}$  and  $D_{\mathcal{M}_2}$  are totally geodesic foliations. From Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following theorem;

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $F$  be a horizontally homothetic conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then the base manifold is a locally product manifold  $\mathcal{M}_2(\text{range } F_*) \times \mathcal{M}_2(\text{range } F_*)^\perp$  if and only if*

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}')^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp}, JF_* \tilde{Y}) = g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} JF_* \tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}V)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
g_2(\mathcal{B}V, A_{\mathcal{C}V} F_* \tilde{X} + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1} Z')) &= -g_2(\mathcal{C}W, (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, Z')^{(\text{range } F_*)^\perp} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} \mathcal{C}V) \\
&\quad - g_2(W, [V, F_* \tilde{X}])
\end{aligned}$$

for any  $V, W \in \Gamma((\text{range } F_*)^\perp)$  and  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}, \tilde{Y}', Z' \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$  such that  $F_* \tilde{Y}' = \mathcal{B}W$  and  $F_* Z' = \mathcal{B}V$ .

In the sequel we are going to investigate the harmonicity of conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map. We first have the following general result.

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then  $F$  is harmonic if and only if the following conditions are satisfied;*

- (a) *the fibres are minimal,*
- (b) *trace  $\mathcal{B}\nabla_{(.)}^{F^\perp} JF_*(.) - F_*(\nabla_{(.)}^{\mathcal{M}_1}(.) = 0$ ,*
- (c) *trace  $J A_{JF_*(.)}(.) - \mathcal{C}\nabla_{(.)}^{F^\perp} JF_*(.) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* For  $U \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ , using (4), we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(U, U) = -F_*(\nabla_U^{\mathcal{M}_1} U). \quad (13)$$

For  $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ , using (4) and (3), we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X}) = \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_* \tilde{X} - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1} \tilde{X}) = -J \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 JF_* \tilde{X} - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1} \tilde{X}).$$

From (5),(8) and (11) we obtain

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})^{(rangeF_*)} + (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})^{(rangeF_*)^\perp} = JA_{JF_*\tilde{X}}\tilde{X} - \mathcal{B}\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{X} - \mathcal{C}\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{X} - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{X}). \quad (14)$$

Then taking the  $(rangeF_*)$ - components and  $((rangeF_*)^\perp)$ - components of above expression (14), we arrive at

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})^{(rangeF_*)} = -\mathcal{B}\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{X} - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{X}) \quad (15)$$

and

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{X})^{(rangeF_*)^\perp} = JA_{JF_*\tilde{X}}\tilde{X} - \mathcal{C}\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{X}. \quad (16)$$

Then proof follows from (13), (15) and (16).  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$ . Then we say that  $F$  is a horizontally homothetic conformal Riemannian map if the gradient of its dilation  $\lambda$  is vertical, i.e.,  $\mathcal{H}(\text{grad}\lambda) = 0$ .*

From Theorem 3.4, we have the following result.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $F : (\mathcal{M}_1, g_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map such that  $n \neq \frac{2}{\lambda^2}$ , where  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  is a Riemannian manifold and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$  is a Kähler manifold. If  $F$  satisfies*

$$\text{trace} \mathcal{B}\nabla_{(.)}^{F\perp}JF_*(.) - F_*(\nabla_{(.)}^{\mathcal{M}_1}(.)) = 0,$$

*then  $F$  is a horizontally homothetic conformal Riemannian map.*

We recall that a differentiable map  $F$  between Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  and  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$  is called a totally geodesic map if  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  for all  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(TM_1)$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then  $F$  is totally geodesic if and only if*

- (a)  $g_2(B\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2, F_*(Z)) = \lambda^2 g_1(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}, Z)$
- (b)  $JA_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} = C\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2$

for any  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} = \tilde{Y}_1 + \tilde{Y}_2, Z \in \Gamma(TM_1)$ , where  $\tilde{Y}_1 \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_2 \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(TM_1)$  and  $\tilde{Y}_1 \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ ,  $\tilde{Y}_2 \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ , using (4), (3) and (5), we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = -J(-A_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} + \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2) - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}).$$

Then from (11) we get

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = JA_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} - B\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 - C\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}).$$

Since  $F$  is conformal Riemannian map, using (8), we get

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{rangeF_*} + (\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(rangeF_*)^\perp} = JA_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} - B\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 \\ - C\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 - F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}).$$

Then taking the  $(rangeF_*)$  and  $((rangeF_*)^\perp)$  components we arrive at

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(rangeF_*)} = B\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 + F_*(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y})$$

and

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(rangeF_*)^\perp} = JA_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} - C\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2.$$

Thus  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  if and only if  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{rangeF_*} = 0$  and  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(rangeF_*)^\perp} = 0$ . Hence we have

$$g_2(\mathcal{B}\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2, F_*(Z)) = -\lambda^2 g_1(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}, Z)$$

and

$$JA_{JF_*\tilde{Y}_2}\tilde{X} - C\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F\perp}JF_*\tilde{Y}_2 = 0,$$

which complete the proof.  $\square$

We also have the following result for totally geodesic conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $F$  be a conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then  $F$  is totally geodesic if and only if*

- (a) *The horizontal distribution  $(kerF_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .*
- (b) *all the fibres  $F^{-1}(y)$  are totally geodesic for  $y \in \mathcal{M}_2$ .*
- (c)  *$(rangeF_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .*

for any  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(kerF_*)^\perp$  and  $V \in \Gamma(rangeF_*)$ .

*Proof.* For  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(kerF_*)^\perp$  and  $U \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$ , using (4), we have

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, U), F_*\tilde{Y}) = -\lambda^2 g_1(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}U, \tilde{Y}).$$

Since  $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}_1}$  is a Levi-Civita connection, we obtain

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, U), F_*\tilde{Y}) = \lambda^2 g_1(U, \nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{\mathcal{M}_1}\tilde{Y}), (\lambda \neq 0).$$

Hence  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, U) = 0$  for  $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(kerF_*)^\perp$  and  $U \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$  if and only if (a).

For  $U, V \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$  and  $\tilde{X} \in \Gamma(kerF_*)^\perp$ , we have

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(U, V), F_*\tilde{X}) = -\lambda^2 g_1(\nabla_U^{\mathcal{M}_1}V, \tilde{X}), (\lambda \neq 0)$$

Thus  $(\nabla F_*)(U, V) = 0$  for  $U, V \in \Gamma(kerF_*)$  if and only if (b).

For  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(kerF_*)^\perp$  and  $V \in \Gamma(rangeF_*)$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is a Kähler manifold, using (2), (4), (11) we have

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), V) - g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 F_*\tilde{Y}', JF_*\tilde{Y}) + g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^2 JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}V),$$

where  $F_*\tilde{Y}' = \mathcal{B}V$  for  $\tilde{Y}' \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ . Since  $F$  is a conformal Riemannian map, using (4), (5) and (8) we obtain

$$g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}), V) = -g_2((\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}'), (range F_*)^\perp, JF_*\tilde{Y}) + g_2(\nabla_{\tilde{X}}^{F^\perp} JF_*\tilde{Y}, \mathcal{C}V).$$

Thus,  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$  for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$  if and only if (c).  $\square$

#### 4. Umbilical conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps

In this section, we investigate the umbilical case for the conformal anti-invariant Riemannian maps. We first recall the following definition.

**Definition 4.1.** [7] Let  $F$  be a map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$ . Then  $F$  is called a weakly  $g_1$ -umbilical if there exist

- (1) a field  $\xi$  along  $F$ , nowhere 0, with values in  $(\ker F_*)^\perp$ ,
- (2) a field  $Z$  on  $\mathcal{M}$  such that for every  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}$  on  $\Gamma(TM)$  we have

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})[F_*(Z) + \xi]. \quad (17)$$

$F$  is called strong  $g_1$ -umbilical if  $Z = 0$ .

Using the above definition, we can give the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $F$  be a  $g_1$ -umbilical conformal Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2)$  such that  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$ . Then  $F$  is a totally geodesic map.

*Proof.* We suppose that  $F$  is a weakly  $g_1$ -umbilical conformal Riemannian map such that  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$ . Then from (9) and (17) we have

$$\tilde{X}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{Y} + \tilde{Y}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{X} - g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})F_*(\text{grad} \ln \lambda) = g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})F_*Z \quad (18)$$

and

$$(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})^{(range F_*)^\perp} = g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\xi. \quad (19)$$

Since  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$ , we can choose  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  such that  $g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0$ . Then we get

$$\tilde{X}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{Y} + \tilde{Y}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{X} = 0.$$

Since  $\tilde{X}$  and  $\tilde{Y}$  are orthogonal and  $F$  is a conformal Riemannian map, we have

$$g_2(F_*\tilde{X}, F_*\tilde{Y}) = \lambda^2 g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = 0.$$

$F_*\tilde{X}$  and  $F_*\tilde{Y}$  are also orthogonal. Then we get

$$\tilde{X}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{Y} = 0, \quad \tilde{Y}(\ln \lambda)F_*\tilde{X} = 0.$$

Thus  $F$  is a horizontally homothetic Riemannian map. Since  $F$  is horizontally homothetic, from (18), we get  $Z = 0$ . Thus  $(\nabla F_*)(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y}) = g_1(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})\xi$  for  $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in \Gamma(TM)$ . In particular, for  $U, V \in \Gamma(\ker F_*)$ , we get

$$-F_*(\nabla_U V) = g_1(U, V)\xi.$$

The right side of this equation belongs to  $\Gamma((\text{range}F_*)^\perp)$  while the left side of this equation belongs to  $\Gamma(\text{range}F_*)$ . Hence  $F_*(\nabla_U V) = 0$  and  $\xi = 0$  which proves our assertion.  $\square$

From Theorem 3.6 and Theorem 4.1, we have the following result.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $F$  be a  $g_1$ -umbilical conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$  such that  $\dim(\mathcal{H}) \geq 2$ . Then we have the following assertions:*

- (a) *The horizontal distribution  $(\ker F_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_1$ .*
- (b) *all the fibres  $F^{-1}(y)$  are totally geodesic for  $y \in \mathcal{M}_2$ .*
- (c)  *$(\text{range}F_*)^\perp$  defines a totally geodesic foliation on  $\mathcal{M}_2$ .*

From the above Theorem 4.1, we can give the following;

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $F : (\mathcal{M}_1, g_1) \rightarrow (\mathcal{M}_2, J, g_2)$  be a  $g_1$ -umbilical conformal anti-invariant Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_1, g_1)$  to a Kähler manifold  $(\mathcal{M}_2, g_2, J)$ . Then at least one of the following is satisfied:*

- (a) *The horizontal distribution  $(\ker F_*)^\perp$  is 1 dimensional distribution.*
- (b)  *$F$  is a totally geodesic conformal Riemannian map.*

*Proof.* We suppose that  $F$  is not a totally geodesic  $g_1$ -umbilical conformal Riemannian map. Then for  $w_1, w_2 \in \Gamma((\ker F_*)^\perp)$ , since  $\mathcal{M}_2$  is a Kähler manifold, using (6), (4) and (17) we obtain

$$-A_{JF_*(w_1)}F_*(w_2) + \nabla_{F_*(w_2)}^1 JF_*(w_1) = g_1(w_1, w_2)J\xi + g_1(w_1, w_2)JF_*(Z) + JF_*(\nabla_{w_2}^1 w_1).$$

Taking inner product with  $F_*(w_2)$  in the above equation, we get

$$-g_2(A_{JF_*(w_1)}F_*(w_2), F_*(w_2)) = -g_1(w_1, w_2)g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_2)). \quad (20)$$

From (6), (17) and (20), we get

$$g_1(w_2, w_2)g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_1)) = g_1(w_1, w_2)g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_2)). \quad (21)$$

Interchanging the role of  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  in (21), we obtain

$$g_1(w_1, w_1)g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_2)) = g_1(w_1, w_2)g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_1)). \quad (22)$$

From (21) and (22), we get

$$g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_2)) = \frac{g_1(w_1, w_2)^2}{g_1(w_1, w_1)g_1(w_2, w_2)}g_2(\xi, JF_*(w_1)). \quad (23)$$

From (23),  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  are linear dependent, which gives the proof.  $\square$

## 5. Conclusions

In this paper, we just introduce a general Riemannian map from a Riemannian manifold to an almost Hermitian manifold. From the theory of submanifolds of almost Hermitian manifolds, one can see that there are many new research problems to be investigated.

**Acknowledgement:** This paper is supported by the Scientific and Technological Council of Turkey (TUBITAK) under project number 114F339.

## REFERENCES

- [1] *R. Abraham, J. E. Marsden and T. Ratiu*, Manifolds, Tensor Analysis and Applications, Applied Mathematical Sciences, **75**, Springer, New York, 1988.
- [2] *P. Baird and J. C. Wood*, Harmonic Morphisms Between Riemannian Manifolds, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2003.
- [3] *B. Y. Chen and K. Ogiue*, On totally real submanifolds, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **193** (1974), 257-266.
- [4] *A. E. Fischer*, Riemannian maps between Riemannian manifolds, *Contemp. Math.* **132** (1992), 331-366.
- [5] *J. P. Jaiswal*, Harmonic maps on Sasakian manifolds, *J. Geom.* **104**(2) (2013), 309-315.
- [6] *A. J. P. Jaiswal and B. A. Pandey*, Non-existence of harmonic maps on trans-Sasakian manifolds, *Lobachevskii J. Math.*, **37**(2) (2016), 185-192.
- [7] *T. Nore*, Second fundamental form of a map, *Ann. Mat. Pure Appl.* **146** (1987), 281-310.
- [8] *B. Pandey, J. P. Jaiswal and R. H. Ojha*, Necessary and sufficient conditions for the Riemannian map to be a harmonic map on cosymplectic manifolds, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India Sect. A* **85**(2) (2015), 265-268.
- [9] *R. Prasad and S. Pandey*, Slant Riemannian maps from an almost contact manifold, *Filomat*, to appear.
- [10] *R. Ponge and H. Reckziegel*, Twisted products in pseudo Riemannian geometry, *Geometriae Dedicata* **48** (1993), 15-25.
- [11] *B. Şahin*, Invariant and anti-invariant Riemannian maps to Kähler manifolds, *Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys.* **7**(3) (2010), 1-19.
- [12] *B. Şahin*, Conformal Riemannian maps between Riemannian manifolds, their harmonicity and decomposition theorems, *Acta Appl. Math.* **109**(3) (2010), 829-847.
- [13] *K. Yano and M. Kon*, Anti-invariant submanifolds, Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, Vol. 21, Marcel Dekker Inc., 1976.
- [14] *K. Yano and M. Kon*, Structures on Manifolds, Series in Pure Mathematics 3, World Scientific Pub. Co., Singapore, 1984.