

# A TRANSFER THEOREM OF THE CONTINUOUS TRACE AND TYPE I CROSSED PRODUCT OF A GROUPOID BY A BUNDLE OF $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

Daniel TUDOR<sup>1</sup>

*The purpose of this paper is to give equivalence conditions of the groupoid dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$  where  $G$  is a topological, locally compact, second countable groupoid with a Haar measures system,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bundle of  $C^*$ -algebras indexed by the unit space of  $G$ ,  $\alpha$  is a continuous homomorphism from  $G$  to  $\text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the subgroupoid of stabilizers of  $G$ , and  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\Gamma$ . This equivalence is then used to transfer the property of being continuous trace or type I  $C^*$ -algebra between  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  and  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$ .*

**Keywords:**  $C^*$ -algebras, crossed product algebras, topological groupoids, Morita equivalence

## 1. Introduction

Known for their frequent use in quantum mechanics,  $C^*$ -algebras are an important tool in describing physical systems and the possible states of these systems. Special cases of  $C^*$ -algebras are type I  $C^*$ -algebra and continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra. Part of this large research domain of  $C^*$ -algebras is the study of different kind of crossed products associated to a group, group transformation or groupoid and the study of the conditions under which these crossed products are type I or continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra. Since Morita equivalence preserves the property of being type I or continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra a tool in establishing such conditions as mentioned above is to transfer the property of being type I or continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra between a smaller crossed product or group (groupoid) algebra and the entire crossed product or group (groupoid) algebra. For example, in [1, Proposition 7.29] D.Williams shows that in the hypothesis of regularity of the dynamical system  $(C_0(X), G, lt)$ , where  $lt : G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(C_0(X))$  is  $lt_g f(x) = f(g^{-1}x)$ , the crossed product  $C_0(X) \rtimes_{lt} G$  is a type I  $C^*$ -algebra if and only if the group algebra associated to every stability group  $G_x$  is a type I  $C^*$ -algebra. Also, in [2, Theorem 2.7] it is shown that, if the stability groups vary

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, Technical University of Civil Engineering, Romania, e-mail: danieltudor@gmail.com

continuously, every compact set of base space  $X$  is  $G$ -wandering and the  $C^*$ -algebra associated to all stability groups is a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra, then the  $C^*$ -algebra associated to a group transformation,  $(G, X)$ , is a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra.

In this context, the author of this paper showed in [3, Theorem 4] that in the hypothesis that the stability groups vary continuously, and that if  $g_1$  and  $g_2^{-1}$  are composable then  $g_1 g_2^{-1}$  is an element of the subgroupoid of stability groups and the groupoid algebra associated to the subgroupoid of stability groups is a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra, it follows that the entire groupoid algebra is a continuous  $C^*$ -algebra. The main purpose of this paper is to extend this result to the case of the crossed product of a locally compact groupoid by a bundle of  $C^*$ -algebras. The construction of this crossed product is described by Renault in [4]. For this purpose, we will use the notion of equivalent groupoid dynamical systems, notion described in [4, Definition 5.3] and the fact that the equivalent groupoid dynamical systems determine Morita equivalent crossed products, [4, Corollaire 5.4]. We will show in Theorem 3.1.1 that in the first and second hypothesis described in [3, Theorem 4] the groupoid dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$ , where  $G$  is a topological, locally compact, second countable groupoid with Haar measure system  $\{\lambda^u\}_{u \in G^{(0)}}$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the subgroupoid of stability groups,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bundle of separable  $C^*$ -algebras indexed by unit space  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $\alpha : G \rightarrow \text{Iso}(\mathcal{A})$  is a continuous homomorphism and  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  on  $\Gamma$ , are equivalent. Moreover, in Corollary 3.1.2, we show that the crossed products  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  and  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  are Morita equivalent, and the properties of being type I or continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra can be transferred from  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  to  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$ .

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper, we used the general notions concerning groupoids and groupoid dynamical systems as given in [4], [5] and [6]. We also assume that all groupoids have a Haar measures system. The important notion of equivalence of groupoid dynamical systems is used as in [4, Definition 5.3] and the Morita equivalence of the crossed products obtained from two equivalent groupoid dynamical systems is given by Renault's Equivalence Theorem [4, Corollary 5.4]. Moreover, we used as in [3, Theorem 4] the topological equivalence of a groupoid  $G$  and the subgroupoid of stability groups  $\Gamma$ .

### 3. The main results

**THEOREM 3.1.1** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a groupoid dynamical system. If the following conditions hold:*

- a) the stability groups vary continuously;*
- b) for every pair  $(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G$  such that  $(g_1, g_2^{-1}) \in G^{(2)}$  it follows that  $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \Gamma$ ,*

*then we can form the groupoid dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$  where  $\Gamma$  is the subgroupoid of stability groups and  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}$  the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\Gamma$ . Moreover,  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$  are equivalent as groupoid dynamical systems.*

*Proof* The condition that the stability groups vary continuously insures that  $\Gamma$  has its own Haar measures system  $\{\nu^u\}_{u \in \Gamma^{(0)}}$  (in fact for every  $u \in \Gamma^{(0)}$ ,  $\nu^u$  is the Haar measure of the stability group  $G/\{u\}$ ) and, because the unit space of  $\Gamma$  coincides with the unit space of  $G^{(0)}$ , it makes sense to form the groupoid dynamical system  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$ . In the following sentences, for brevity,  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}$  will be simply denoted by  $\alpha$ , and the elements from  $\Gamma$  by  $\gamma$ , hence  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$  will be  $\alpha(\gamma)$ . Let us recall here that in [3, Theorem 4], the second condition from this Theorem was used to obtain a topological equivalence between  $\Gamma$  and  $G$ , via the space  $G$ . This equivalence has been obtained with respect to the following left action of  $\Gamma$  and right action of  $G$  on  $G$ . We have considered the surjections  $p: G \rightarrow \Gamma^{(0)} = G^{(0)}$ ,  $p(g) := r(g)$  ( $r$  the range map of  $G$ ),  $\sigma: G \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ ,  $\sigma(g) := s(g)$  ( $s$  the source map of  $G$ ), and the actions  $\gamma \cdot g = \gamma g \in G$ ,  $\gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G$ ,  $s(\gamma) = p(g)$  and  $g_1 \cdot g_2 = g_1 g_2$  for  $g_1, g_2 \in G, \sigma(g_1) = r(g_2)$ .

Since the conditions from the hypothesis of the theorem insure there exists a topological equivalence between groupoids  $G$  and  $\Gamma$  via  $G$ , we will obtain the equivalence from [4, Definition 5.3] of groupoid dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$  in the following way:

We consider that the Banach bundle  $\mathcal{V}$  indexed by  $G$  will be the bundle  $r^*(\mathcal{A}) = \{(g, a) / a \in \mathcal{A}(r(g))\}$  with the canonical surjection  $t: r^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow G$ ,  $t(g, a) = g$ . A fibre of  $r^*(\mathcal{A})$  has the form  $(g, \mathcal{A}(r(g)))$  and a structure of

$A(p(g)) - A(\sigma(g))$ -imprimitivity bimodule, or taking into account above considerations  $A(r(g)) - A(s(g))$ -imprimitivity bimodule, will be defined as follows:

- the left action of  $A(r(g))$  on  $(g, A(r(g)))$  will be  $b \cdot (g, a) := (g, ba)$ .

Since  $b$  and  $a$  are in the fiber  $A(r(g))$ , this action is correctly defined.

- the right action of  $A(s(g))$  on  $(g, A(r(g)))$  will be

$(g, a) \cdot c := (g, a\alpha_g(c))$ . Since  $\alpha_g : A(s(g)) \rightarrow A(r(g))$  is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras,  $\alpha_g(c)$  denotes the image of  $c$  in  $A(r(g))$  and this action is correctly defined.

- the inner product  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle := ab^*$ . Since  $a, b \in A(r(g))$  implies  $ab^* \in A(r(g))$ , this inner product is correctly defined as an element of  $A(r(g))$ .

- the inner product  $\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle_{A(s(g))} := \alpha_g^{-1}(a^*b)$ . Since  $\alpha_g^{-1}(a^*b)$  is the image in  $A(s(g))$  of the element  $a^*b$  from  $A(r(g))$ , this inner product is correctly defined as an element of  $A(r(g))$ .

Let us check now that  $(g, A(r(g)))$  is a left  $A(r(g))$ -Hilbert module, and a right  $A(s(g))$ -Hilbert module respectively, with respect to the vector space structure induced by  $A(r(g))$ :

$(g, a) + (g, b) = (g, a + b)$ ,  $\lambda(g, a) = (g, \lambda a)$ , for every  $g \in G$ ,  $a, b \in A(r(g))$ ,  $\lambda$  scalar.

For  $c \in A(r(g))$  we have to show that:

$$c {}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle = {}_{A(r(g))}\langle c \cdot (g, a); (g, b) \rangle, \forall a, b \in A(r(g)), g \in G.$$

Indeed,  $c {}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle = cab^*$  and  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle c \cdot (g, a); (g, b) \rangle =$

$$= {}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, ca); (g, b) \rangle = cab^*.$$

We show now that  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle = {}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, b); (g, a) \rangle^*$ .

Indeed  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle = ab^*$  and  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, b); (g, a) \rangle^* = (ba^*)^* =$

$$= (a^*)^* b^* = ab^*.$$

Moreover  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, a) \rangle = aa^*$  is a positive element of  $A(r(g))$ , and  $aa^* = 0$  implies  $\|aa^*\| = \|a\|^2 = 0$ , hence  $a = 0$ . The linearity in the first

argument of inner product follows from the properties of addition and scalar multiplication of the elements of the  $C^*$ -algebra  $A(r(g))$ . Indeed:

$$\begin{aligned} A(r(g)) \langle \lambda(g, a) + \mu(g, b); (g, c) \rangle &=_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, \lambda a + \mu b); (g, c) \rangle = (\lambda a + \mu b) c^* = \\ &= \lambda a c^* + \mu b c^* = \lambda_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, a); (g, c) \rangle + \mu_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b); (g, c) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us check that  $(g, A(r(g)))$  is a right  $A(s(g))$ -Hilbert module.

We have to show that  $\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle_{A(s(g))} c = \langle (g, a); (g, b) \cdot c \rangle_{A(s(g))}$ , for every  $g \in G, a, b \in A(r(g)), c \in A(s(g))$ .

Indeed  $\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle_{A(s(g))} c = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b) c$  and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (g, a); (g, b) \cdot c \rangle_{A(s(g))} &= \langle (g, a); (g, b \alpha_g(c)) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b \alpha_g(c)) = \\ &= \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b) \alpha_g^{-1} \alpha_g(c) = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b) c. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \langle (g, b); (g, a) \rangle_{A(s(g))}^*$ .

$$\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b);$$

$$\langle (g, b); (g, a) \rangle_{A(s(g))}^* = (\alpha_g^{-1}(b^* a))^* = \alpha_g^{-1}((b^* a)^*) = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* (b^*)^*) = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* b).$$

$\langle (g, a); (g, a) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \alpha_g^{-1}(a^* a) = \alpha_g^{-1}(a)^* \alpha_g^{-1}(a)$  and  $\alpha_g^{-1}(a)^* \alpha_g^{-1}(a)$  is a positive element of  $A(s(g))$ . Moreover, since  $\alpha_g$  is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, we deduce in a similar way as in the case of the left module that  $\alpha_g^{-1}(a^* a) = 0$  implies  $a = 0$ . The linearity the second argument of this inner product can be proved in similar way as in the case of the left module.

We show now that  $(g, A(r(g)))$  is a  $A(r(g))$ - $A(s(g))$ -imprimitivity bimodule.

We have:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a \cdot (g, b); (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} &= \langle (g, ab); (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \alpha_g^{-1}((ab)^* c) = \alpha_g^{-1}(b^* a^* c) \text{ and} \\ \langle (g, b); a^* \cdot (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} &= \langle (g, b); (g, a^* c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \alpha_g^{-1}(b^* a^* c). \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\langle a \cdot (g, b); (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = \langle (g, b); a^* \cdot (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))}$  for

every  $a, b, c \in A(r(g))$ ,  $g \in G$ . (1)

We have  $_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b) \cdot a; (g, c) \rangle =_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b \alpha_g(a)); (g, c) \rangle = b \alpha_g(a) c^*$  and

$$_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b); (g, c) \cdot a^* \rangle =_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b); (g, c \alpha_g(a^*)) \rangle = b(c \alpha_g(a^*))^* = b \alpha_g(a) c^*.$$

Hence  $_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b) \cdot a; (g, c) \rangle =_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, b); (g, c) \cdot a^* \rangle$ , for every  $a \in A(s(g))$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $b, c \in A(r(g))$ . (2)

We have  $_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle \cdot (g, c) = (ab^*) \cdot (g, c) = (g, ab^*c)$  and

$$(g, a) \cdot \langle (g, b); (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))} = (g, a) \cdot \alpha_g^{-1}(b^*c) = (g, a \alpha_g(\alpha_g^{-1}(b^*c))) = (g, ab^*c).$$

Hence  $_{A(r(g))} \langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle \cdot (g, c) = (g, a) \cdot \langle (g, b); (g, c) \rangle_{A(s(g))}$ , for every  $g \in G$ ,  $a, b, c \in A(r(g))$ . (3)

From (1), (2) and (3) we conclude that  $(g, A(r(g)))$  is a  $A(r(g))$ - $A(s(g))$ -imprimitivity bimodule.

In the following sentences, we define a left action of  $\Gamma$  and a right action of  $G$  on  $r^*(\mathcal{A})$  and we show that these actions commute and fulfill conditions from [4, Definition 5.3].

Considering the surjection from [5, Definition 2.12], namely

$p_{\mathcal{A}} : r^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow \Gamma^{(0)} = G^{(0)}$ ,  $p_{\mathcal{A}}(g, a) := r(g)$ , the set of composable elements will be  $\Gamma * r^*(\mathcal{A}) = \{(\gamma, (g, a)) / p_{\mathcal{A}}(g, a) = s_{\Gamma}(\gamma)\}$ , the left action of  $\Gamma$  on  $r^*(\mathcal{A})$  will be  $\gamma \cdot (g, a) = (\gamma \cdot g, \alpha_{\gamma}(a))$ . But, from the considerations from the beginning of this proof,  $\gamma \cdot g = \gamma g$  (the multiplication from  $G$ ), hence  $(\gamma \cdot g, \alpha_{\gamma}(a)) = (\gamma g, \alpha_{\gamma}(a))$ . Since  $p_{\mathcal{A}}(g, a) = r(g) = s_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ ,  $\gamma$  and  $g$  are composable in  $G$ , and since  $\alpha_{\gamma}(a)$  denotes an element from  $A(r_{\Gamma}(\gamma)) = A(r_{\Gamma}(\gamma g))$ , the above definition makes sense.

Considering the surjection  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : r^*(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow G^{(0)}$ ,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g, a) := s(g)$ ,  $r^*(\mathcal{A}) * G = \{((g_1, a), g_2) / \sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, a) = r(g_2)\}$ , we define  $(g_1, a) \cdot g_2 = (g_1 \cdot g_2, a) = (g_1 g_2, a)$ . Since  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, a) = s(g_1) = r(g_2)$  and  $a \in A(r(g_1 g_2)) = A(r(g_1))$ , the definition makes sense.

We show that these actions commute. That means:  $(\gamma \cdot (g_1, a)) \cdot g_2 = \gamma \cdot ((g_1, a) \cdot g_2)$ , for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $a \in A(r(g_1))$ , such that  $p_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, a) = r(g_1) = s_{\Gamma}(\gamma)$ ,  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(g_1, a) = s(g_1) = r(g_2)$ .

We have  $(\gamma \cdot (g_1, a)) \cdot g_2 = (\gamma g_1, \alpha_{\gamma}(a)) \cdot g_2$ . Since  $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\gamma g_1, \alpha_{\gamma}(a)) = s(\gamma g_1) =$

$= s(g_1) = r(g_2)$ , the elements  $(\gamma g_1, \alpha_\gamma(a))$  and  $g_2$  are composable and  $(\gamma g_1, \alpha_\gamma(a)) \cdot g_2 = (\gamma g_1 g_2, \alpha_\gamma(a))$ . On the other hand,  $\gamma \cdot ((g_1, a) \cdot g_2) = \gamma \cdot (g_1 g_2, a)$ . Since  $p_A(g_1 g_2, a) = r(g_1 g_2) = r(g_1) = s_\Gamma(\gamma)$ , the elements  $\gamma$  and  $(g_1 g_2, a)$  are composable, and  $\gamma \cdot (g_1 g_2, a) = (\gamma g_1 g_2, \alpha_\gamma(a))$ . Hence the actions commute. Since these actions are given as multiplications of elements from topological groupoids and  $\alpha_g$  is an isomorphism of  $C^*$ -algebras, they are continuous. The similar arguments, adding that multiplication of elements from a  $C^*$ -algebra is continuous, prove the first condition from [4, Definition 5.3].

Now we prove the next conditions from [4, Definition 5.3]:

- the equivariance of the bundle map  $t$  at the groupoid actions:

We have to show  $t(\gamma \cdot (g, a)) = \gamma \cdot t(g, a)$ , for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $g \in G$ ,  $s_\Gamma(\gamma) = r(g)$ ,  $a \in A(r(g))$  and  $t((g_1, a) \cdot g_2) = t(g_1, a) \cdot g_2$ , for every  $g_1, g_2 \in G$ ,  $s(g_1) = r(g_2)$ ,  $a \in A(r(g_1))$ .

Indeed  $t(\gamma \cdot (g, a)) = t(\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)) = \gamma g = \gamma \cdot g = \gamma \cdot t(g, a)$  and

$t((g_1, a) \cdot g_2) = t(g_1 g_2, a) = g_1 g_2 = g_1 \cdot g_2 = t(g_1, a) \cdot g_2$ .

- the compatibility of the groupoid actions with the inner products of imprimitivity bimodule structure:

$$A(r(g)) \langle \gamma \cdot (g, a); \gamma \cdot (g, b) \rangle = \alpha_\gamma(A(r(g))) \langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle, \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G, \\ s(\gamma) = r(g), a, b \in A(r(g)) \quad (4);$$

$$\langle (g_1, a) \cdot g_2; (g_1, b) \cdot g_2 \rangle_{A(s(g_1))} = \alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(\langle (g_1, a); (g_1, b) \rangle_{A(s(g_1))}), \text{ for every } \\ g_1, g_2 \in G, s(g_1) = r(g_2), a, b \in A(r(g_1)) \quad (5).$$

Concerning the computations from (4) and (5), some remarks have to be made.

Since  $\gamma \cdot (g, a) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a))$ , respectively  $\gamma \cdot (g, b) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(b))$ , they are elements contained in the fibre  $(\gamma g, A(r(\gamma g))) = (\gamma g, A(r(\gamma)))$ . But, since  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $r(\gamma) = s(\gamma)$ . Moreover  $r(\gamma) = s(\gamma) = r(g)$ , and we conclude that  $(\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a))$  and  $(\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(b))$  are in the fibre  $(\gamma g, A(r(g)))$ . It makes sense that the inner product  $A(r(g)) \langle \gamma \cdot (g, a); \gamma \cdot (g, b) \rangle$  is associated to fibre  $(\gamma g, A(r(g)))$ . On the right hand side of the equality (4), the inner product  $A(r(g)) \langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle$  is in  $A(r(g) = A(s(\gamma)))$ , and its image through  $\alpha_\gamma$  is contained in  $A(r(\gamma)) = A(s(\gamma)) = A(r(g))$ .

In the right hand side of the equality (5), the inner product is an element of  $A(s(g_1)) = A(r(g_2))$  ( $g_1$  and  $g_2$  are composable) and its image through  $\alpha_{g_2}^{-1}$  will be in  $A(s(g_1))$ , similar to the inner product from left hand side.

We have  ${}_{A(r(g))}\langle \gamma \cdot (g, a); \gamma \cdot (g, b) \rangle = {}_{A(r(g))}\langle (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)); (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(b)) \rangle = \alpha_\gamma(a)\alpha_\gamma(b)^* = \alpha_\gamma(ab^*)$ ;

$\alpha_\gamma({}_{A(r(g))}\langle (g, a); (g, b) \rangle) = \alpha_\gamma(ab^*)$ , and it results (4).

We have

$$\langle (g_1, a) \cdot g_2; (g_1, b) \cdot g_2 \rangle_{A(s(g_1))} = \langle (g_1 g_2, a); (g_1 g_2, b) \rangle_{A(s(g_1))} = \alpha_{g_1 g_2}^{-1}(a^* b);$$

$$\alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(\langle (g_1, a); (g_1, b) \rangle_{A(s(g_1))}) = \alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(\alpha_{g_1}^{-1}(a^* b)) = \alpha_{g_2^{-1}g_1^{-1}}(a^* b) = \alpha_{g_1 g_2}^{-1}(a^* b)$$

and it results (5).

- the compatibility of the groupoid actions with the actions of imprimitivity bimodule structure.

We have to prove:  $\gamma \cdot (a \cdot (g, b)) = \alpha_\gamma(a) \cdot (\gamma \cdot (g, b))$ , for every  $\gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G$ ,

$$s(\gamma) = r(g), a, b \in A(r(g)) \quad (6)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} ((g_1, a) \cdot b) \cdot g_2 &= ((g_1, a) \cdot g_2) \cdot \alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(b), g_1, g_2 \in G, s(g_1) = r(g_2), a \in A(r(g_1)), \\ b &\in A(s(g_1)) \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Indeed,  $\gamma \cdot (a \cdot (g, b)) = \gamma \cdot (g, ab) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(ab))$ ;

$\alpha_\gamma(a) \cdot (\gamma \cdot (g, b)) = \alpha_\gamma(a) \cdot (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(b)) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)\alpha_\gamma(b)) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(ab))$  and it results (6).

We have  $((g_1, a) \cdot b) \cdot g_2 = (g_1, a\alpha_{g_1}(b)) \cdot g_2 = (g_1 g_2, a\alpha_{g_1}(b))$ ;

$$\begin{aligned} ((g_1, a) \cdot g_2) \cdot \alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(b) &= (g_1 g_2, a) \cdot \alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(b) = (g_1 g_2, a\alpha_{g_1 g_2}(\alpha_{g_2}^{-1}(b))) = \\ &= (g_1 g_2, a\alpha_{(g_1 g_2)g_2^{-1}}(b)) = (g_1 g_2, a\alpha_{g_1 r(g_2)}(b)) = (g_1 g_2, a\alpha_{g_1}(b)) \end{aligned}$$

and it results (7).

- the comutativity of the groupoid actions with the actions of imprimitivity bimodule structure

We have to prove:

$$\gamma \cdot ((g, a) \cdot b) = (\gamma \cdot (g, a)) \cdot b; \text{ for every } \gamma \in \Gamma, g \in G, s(\gamma) = r(g), a \in A(r(g)), b \in A(s(g)) \quad (8)$$

$$(a \cdot (g_1, b)) \cdot g_2 = a \cdot ((g_1, b) \cdot g_2), \text{ for every } g_1, g_2 \in G, s(g_1) = r(g_2),$$



$$a, b \in A(r(g_1)) \quad (9).$$

Indeed

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma \cdot ((g, a) \cdot b) &= \gamma \cdot (g, a\alpha_g(b)) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a\alpha_g(b))) = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)\alpha_\gamma(\alpha_g(b))) = \\ &= (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)\alpha_{\gamma g}(b)); \\ (\gamma \cdot (g, a)) \cdot b &= (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)) \cdot b = (\gamma g, \alpha_\gamma(a)\alpha_{\gamma g}(b)) \text{ and it results (8).} \end{aligned}$$

We have  $(a \cdot (g_1, b)) \cdot g_2 = (g_1, ab) \cdot g_2 = (g_1 g_2, ab)$ ;

$$a \cdot ((g_1, b) \cdot g_2) = a \cdot (g_1 g_2, b) = (g_1 g_2, ab) \text{ and it results (9).}$$

Since we have checked all conditions from [4, Definition 5.3], the groupoid dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha/\Gamma)$  are equivalent.

**COROLLARY 3.1.2** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a groupoid dynamical system and  $\Gamma$  the stabilizers subgroupoid of  $G$ . If the following conditions are satisfied:*

- a) the stability groups vary continuously;*
- b) for every pair  $(g_1, g_2) \in G \times G$  such that  $(g_1, g_2^{-1}) \in G^{(2)}$  it follows that  $g_1 g_2^{-1} \in \Gamma$ ,*

*then  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  și  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  are Morita equivalent. Moreover, if  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  is a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  will also be a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra, respectively.*

*Proof.* By Theorem 3.1.1  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha/\Gamma)$  are equivalent dynamical systems, and by Renault's Equivalence Theorem [4, Corollary 5.4]  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  și  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  are Morita equivalent. The possibility to transfer the properties of being continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra between  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  and  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  is given by [1, Proposition I.42] and [2, Theorem 2.15].

**PROPOSITION 3.1.3** *Let  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  be a groupoid dynamical system such that  $G$  is a transitive groupoid. We consider  $G/\{u\}$  the stability group of an element  $u \in G^{(0)}$  and  $A(u)$  the  $C^*$ -algebra from  $\mathcal{A}$  with index  $u \in G^{(0)}$ . If the group crossed product  $C^*(G/\{u\}, A(u))$  obtained from the group dynamical system  $(A(u), G/\{u\}, \alpha/\{u\})$  is a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra, then  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  will also be a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra, respectively.*

*Proof* According to [5, Proposition 2.19], the condition of transitivity of groupoid  $G$  insures that for any unit  $u \in G^{(0)}$ ,  $G$  and  $G/\{u\}$  are topologically equivalent. In the same manner as in Theorem 3.1.1, we can show that  $(A(u), G/\{u\}, \alpha|_{G/\{u\}})$  is equivalent to  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$ , and we have the possibility to transfer the properties of being continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra between  $C^*(G/\{u\}, A(u))$  and  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$ .

#### 4. Conclusions

In this paper, we have studied the equivalence of certain groupoid dynamical systems. We have offered in Theorem 3.1.1 an equivalence of the groupoid dynamical systems  $(\mathcal{A}, G, \alpha)$  and  $(\mathcal{A}, \Gamma, \alpha|_{\Gamma})$  where  $G$  is a topological, locally compact, second countable groupoid with the Haar measures system  $\{\lambda^u\}_{u \in G^{(0)}}$ ,  $\Gamma$  is the subgroupoid of stability groups,  $\mathcal{A}$  is a bundle of separable  $C^*$ -algebras indexed by  $G^{(0)}$ ,  $\alpha : G \rightarrow Iso(\mathcal{A})$  is a continuous homomorphism and  $\alpha|_{\Gamma}$  is the restriction of  $\alpha$  to  $\Gamma$ . In Corollary 3.1.2, using Renault's Equivalence Theorem we have showed that  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$  and  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  are Morita equivalent and that we have the possibility to transfer the properties of being a continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra or type I  $C^*$ -algebra from  $C^*(\Gamma, \mathcal{A})$  to  $C^*(G, \mathcal{A})$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] *D.P. Williams*, Crossed products of  $C^*$ -algebras, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, **134**, 2007
- [2] *D.P. Williams*, Transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras with continuous trace, J. Func. Anal., **41**, (1981), 40-76
- [3] *D. Tudor*, On a continuous trace  $C^*$ -groupoid algebra, Mathematical Reports, Romanian Academy, vol.14, iss. 3, (2012), 307-315.
- [4] *J. Renault*, Representations des produits croisés d'algebres de groupoides, J. Operator Theory, **18**, (1987) 67-97
- [5] *P. Muhly*, Coordinates in Operator Algebras, American Mathematical Society, 1997
- [6] *J. Renault*, A groupoid approach to  $C^*$ -algebras, Lecture Notes in Math., Springer-Verlag, **793**, 1980
- [7] *D. Tudor*, About tensor product of continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebras and some applications, Universitatea Politehnică București, Scientific Bulletin Series A, vol. **74**, iss.3 (2012), 11-20