

IMPLICIT TYPE FIXED POINT THEOREMS FOR BOUNDED MULTIMAPS

Muhammad Usman ALI^{1,2}, Tayyab KAMRAN^{3,2}, Quanita KIRAN⁴

In this paper, we prove some implicit type fixed point theorems for bounded multimaps in a partial metric space by introducing a new family of mappings from $(\mathbb{R}^+)^4$ to \mathbb{R}^+ . Our results generalize some existing fixed point theorems. We also construct some examples to establish the generality of our results.

Keywords: Partial metric spaces, Fixed point, Φ_ψ -family.

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Matthews [1] introduced the notion of a partial metric space by using the idea that in many problems the distance between same points is not always zero. This notion has many applications not only in mathematics but also in computer sciences [1]. Several authors compliment the work of Matthews and proved several fixed point results in this setting, see for example [2-15]. We collect some basis definitions and results to work with a partial metric space.

Definition 1.1 [1] *Let X be a nonempty set. A mapping $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$ is a partial metric on X , if for all $x, y, z \in X$, we have*

(P1) $p(x, x) = p(y, y) = p(x, y)$ if and only if $x = y$;

(P2) $p(x, x) \leq p(x, y)$;

(P3) $p(x, y) = p(y, x)$;

(P4) $p(x, z) \leq p(x, y) + p(y, z) - p(y, y)$.

¹ Department of Sciences & Humanities, National University of Computer and Emerging Sciences-(FAST), H-11/4, Islamabad Pakistan, e-mail: muh_usman_ali@yahoo.com (M. U. Ali).

² Department of Mathematics, School of Natural Sciences, National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad Pakistan.

³ Department of Mathematics, Quaid-i-Azam University, Islamabad Pakistan, e-mail: tayyabkamran@gmail.com (T. Kamran).

⁴ School of Electrical Engineering and Computer Science, National University of Sciences and Technology H-12, Islamabad Pakistan, e-mail: quanita.kiran@seecs.edu.pk (Q. Kiran).

Remark 1.2 ([1]) If $p(x, y) = 0$ then (P1) and (P2) implies $x = y$ but converse is not true in general.

Lemma 1.3 [1] Every metric space is a partial metric space.

Example 1.4 [1] Let $X = \{[a, b] : a, b \in \mathbb{R}, a \leq b\}$. Define a function $p : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by, $p([a, b], [c, d]) = \max\{b, d\} - \min\{a, c\}$. Then (X, p) is a partial metric space. Note that p is not a metric on X .

Remark 1.5 [1] Every partial metric p on X generates a T_0 topology τ_p on X with base consisting of open balls (p -balls) $\{B_p(x, \varepsilon) : x \in X, \varepsilon > 0\}$, where

$$B_p(x, \varepsilon) = \{y \in X : p(x, y) < p(x, x) + \varepsilon\}.$$

Definition 1.6 [1] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then,

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, p) is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ with respect to τ_p if and only if $p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n)$.

(b) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is said to be a Cauchy sequence if and only if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m)$ exists and is finite.

(c) A partial metric space (X, p) is called a complete partial metric space if every Cauchy sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X converges with respect to τ_p to a point $x \in X$.

Remark 1.7 [1] For a partial metric space p on X , the function

$$d_p(x, y) = 2p(x, y) - p(x, x) - p(y, y).$$

defines a metric on X .

Lemma 1.8 [1] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in (X, d_p) is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ if and only if

$$p(x, x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x, x_n) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m).$$

Lemma 1.9 [1] Let (X, p) be a partial metric space. Then

(a) A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is Cauchy in (X, p) if and only if it is Cauchy in (X, d_p) .

(b) A partial metric space (X, p) is complete if and only if the metric space (X, d_p) is complete.

By Aydi *et al.* [16], a subset A of a partial metric space (X, p) is said to be bounded, if there exist $x_0 \in X$ and $M \geq 0$ such that for all $a \in A$ we have $a \in B_p(x_0, M)$, that is, $p(x_0, a) < p(x_0, x_0) + M$. A subset A is closed, if closedness is taken from (X, τ_p) where τ_p is the topology induced by p . Let $B_p(X)$ be the family of all nonempty bounded subsets of the partial metric space

(X, p) . Now we recollect some important notions from [16]. Let $A, B \in B_p(X)$,

then

$$p(x, A) = \inf \{p(x, a) : a \in A\},$$

$$p(A, B) = \inf \{p(x, y) : x \in A, y \in B\},$$

the function $\delta_p : B_p(X) \times B_p(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ is defined as

$$\delta_p(A, B) = \sup \{p(a, b) : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

Remark 1.10 [16] If $d_p(x, A) = \inf \{d_p(x, a) : a \in A\}$, then it is easy to prove that $p(x, A) = 0$ implies that $d_p(x, A) = 0$.

Matthews [1] proved the Banach contraction principle in the setting of partial metric spaces as follows:

Theorem 1.13 [1] Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that there exists $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ satisfying

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha p(x, y)$$

for each $x, y \in X$. Then T has a unique fixed point.

In this paper, we introduce a new class of implicit type mappings, then by using it we prove some implicit type fixed point theorems for bounded multivalued mappings in partial metric space, as well as in partial metric space endowed with a partial order relation. Haghi *et al.* [8] showed that the fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying the given contractive condition in 0-complete partial metric space may follows from the corresponding fixed point theorem for mapping satisfying the same contractive condition in a complete metric space. It is worth mentioning that the contractive condition we will use is new even in the setting of metric space.

2. Main result

Let $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a nondecreasing mapping such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \psi^n(t) < \infty$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $\psi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$. By Φ_ψ we denote the family of functions $\phi : (\mathbb{R}^+)^4 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ = [0, \infty)$, satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) ϕ is continuous and nondecreasing in each coordinate;
- (ii) let $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that if $u_1 < u_2$ and $u_1 \leq \phi(u_2, u_2, u_1, u_2)$, then $u_1 \leq \psi(u_2)$. If $u_1 \geq u_2$ and $u_1 \leq \phi(u_1, u_2, u_1, u_1)$, then $u_1 = 0$;
- (iii) if $u \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $u \leq \phi\left(0, 0, u, \frac{1}{2}u\right)$, then $u = 0$.

Following are some examples of $\phi \in \Phi_\psi$:

- Let $\phi_1(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_2(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha u_4$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_3(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_4(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\{u_2, u_3\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_5(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha u_1$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_6(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \frac{\alpha}{2}(u_2 + u_3)$ with $\psi(t) = \frac{\alpha}{2}t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_7(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\left\{u_1, \frac{1}{2}(u_2 + u_3), u_4\right\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_8(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = au_1 + b(u_2 + u_3) + cu_4$ with $\psi(t) = (a + 2b + c)t$, where a, b, c are nonnegative real numbers such that $a + 2b + c \in [0, 1)$.
- Let $\phi_9(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = au_2 + bu_3 + cu_1$ with $\psi(t) = (a + b + c)t$, where a, b, c are nonnegative real numbers such that $a + b + c \in [0, 1)$.

Theorem 2.1 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, there exist $\phi \in \Phi_\psi$ and $L \geq 0$ with

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_p(Tx, Ty) \leq & \phi\left(p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty))\right) \\ & + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y)). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. If $x_{N+1} = x_N$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Then x_N is a fixed point of T . Suppose $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) & \leq \delta_p(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) + p(x_n, Tx_{n+1}))\right) \\ & \quad + L(p(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ & \leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_{n+2}))\right) \\ & \quad + L(0) \\ & \leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}))\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We claim that $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Suppose on contrary that $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \geq p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for some n . Since ϕ is nondecreasing, by using this in (2), we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \phi(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})). \quad (3)$$

By (3) and property (ii) of Φ_ψ , we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 0.$$

Which is a contradiction to our assumption, i.e. $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

Thus $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for all n . From (2), we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \phi(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1})). \quad (4)$$

By (4) and property (ii) of Φ_ψ , we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) \quad \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Consequently, we get

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \psi^{n+1}(p(x_0, x_1)), \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (5)$$

Let $n > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_m, x_n) &\leq p(x_m, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \cdots + p(x_{n-1}, x_n) - \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} p(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq p(x_m, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \cdots + p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \psi^m(p(x_0, x_1)) + \psi^{m+1}(p(x_0, x_1)) + \cdots + \psi^{n-1}(p(x_0, x_1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \psi^i(p(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$d_p(x_m, x_n) \leq 2p(x_m, x_n) \leq 2 \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \psi^i(p(x_0, x_1)) < \infty.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d_p) . Since (X, p) is a complete partial metric space, by Lemma 1.9-(b), (X, d_p) is a complete metric space. Then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ with respect to d_p , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 1.8, we have

$$p(x^*, x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x^*) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0. \quad (6)$$

From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) &\leq \delta_p(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, Tx_n) + p(x_n, Tx^*))\right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& + L(p(x^*, Tx_n) - p(x^*, x^*)) \\
& \leq \phi \left(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, Tx^*)) \right) \\
& + L(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) - p(x^*, x^*)).
\end{aligned}$$

By using the triangular inequality, it further implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) & \leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\
& \leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \\
& \leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \phi(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, Tx^*), \\
& \quad \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x^*) + p(x^*, Tx^*))) \\
& + L(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) - p(x^*, x^*)).
\end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) & \leq \phi \left(0, 0, p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}(0 + p(x^*, Tx^*)) \right) + L(0) \\
& \leq \phi \left(0, 0, \delta_p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) \right).
\end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

By (8) and property (iii) of Φ_ψ , we have $\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. Hence $Tx^* = \{x^*\}$.

Corollary 2.2 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_p(Tx, Ty) & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty)) \right\} \\
& + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y))
\end{aligned}$$

where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$. Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \phi_1(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. From (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\delta_p(Tx, Ty) & \leq \alpha \max \left\{ p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty)) \right\} \\
& + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y)),
\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore by Theorem 2.1, T has a fixed point.

Example 2.3 Let $X = \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), (0,1) \right\}$ be endowed with partial metric p define by $p(x, y) = \max\{x_1, y_1\} + \max\{x_2, y_2\}$ for each $x, y \in X$. Define $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{(0,0)\} & \text{if } x \in \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \right\} \\ \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \right\} & \text{if } x = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \right\} & \text{if } x = (0,1). \end{cases}$$

Consider $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$ and $L = 0$. Now,

it can be easy to see that (1) holds. Hence by Theorem 2.1, T has a fixed point.

Theorem 2.4 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping such that for each $x, y \in X$, there exist $\phi \in \Phi_\psi$ and $L \geq 0$ with

$$p(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi \left(p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty)) \right) + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y)).$$

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Proof of this theorem follows on same lines as of Theorem 2.1.

Let (X, p) be a partial metric space endowed with ordering \preceq and $N(X)$ is a set of all nonempty subsets of X . For $A, B \in N(X)$, we have following relations [17]:

- $A \prec_1 B$, if for each $a \in A$ there exists $b \in B$ such that $a \preceq b$.
- $A \prec_2 B$, if for each $b \in B$ there exists $a \in A$ such that $a \preceq b$.

Note that \prec_1 and \prec_2 are not partial orders on $N(X)$ for detail see [18, Remark 3.5].

Theorem 2.5 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with partial ordering \preceq . Let $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{x_0\} \prec_1 Tx_0$;
- (ii) for $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$ implies $Tx \prec_1 Ty$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \preceq x$

for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;

(iv) there exist $\phi \in \Phi_\psi$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_p(Tx, Ty) \leq & \phi \left(p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty)) \right) \\ & + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y)), \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. By hypothesis (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{x_0\} \prec_1 Tx_0$. Then there exists $x_1 \in Tx_0$ such that $x_0 \preceq x_1$. By hypothesis (ii) we have $Tx_0 \prec_1 Tx_1$. Then for $x_1 \in Tx_0$ there exists $x_2 \in Tx_1$ such that $x_1 \preceq x_2$. Continuing in this way we have a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_{n+1} \in Tx_n$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ and

$$x_0 \preceq x_1 \preceq x_2 \preceq x_3 \preceq \cdots \preceq x_n \preceq x_{n+1} \preceq \cdots \quad (10)$$

If there exists some $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ such that $x_N = x_{N+1}$, then x_N is a fixed point of T . Suppose $x_N \neq x_{N+1}$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. As $x_n \preceq x_{n+1}$ for each n . From (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) & \leq \delta_p(Tx_n, Tx_{n+1}) \\ & \leq \phi \left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x_{n+1}, Tx_{n+1}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) + p(x_n, Tx_{n+1})) \right) \\ & \quad + L(p(x_{n+1}, Tx_n) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1})) \\ & \leq \phi \left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x_{n+2})) \right) \\ & \quad + L(0) \\ & \leq \phi \left(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), \frac{1}{2}(p(x_n, x_{n+1}) + p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

We claim that $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Suppose on contrary that $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \geq p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for some n . Since ϕ is nondecreasing, by using this in (11), we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \phi(p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2})). \quad (12)$$

By (12) and property (ii) of Φ_ψ , we have $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) = 0$, i.e., $x_{n+1} = x_{n+2}$. Which is a contradiction to assumption that $x_n \neq x_{n+1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus $p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) < p(x_n, x_{n+1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. By using it in (11), we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \phi(p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}), p(x_n, x_{n+1})). \quad (13)$$

By (13) and property (ii) of Φ_ψ , we have

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \psi(p(x_n, x_{n+1})) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}.$$

Consequently, we get

$$p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \psi^{n+1}(p(x_0, x_1)) \forall n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}. \quad (14)$$

Let $n > m$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} p(x_m, x_n) &\leq p(x_m, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \cdots + p(x_{n-1}, x_n) - \sum_{i=m+1}^{n-1} p(x_i, x_i) \\ &\leq p(x_m, x_{m+1}) + p(x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}) + \cdots + p(x_{n-1}, x_n) \\ &\leq \psi^m(p(x_0, x_1)) + \psi^{m+1}(p(x_0, x_1)) + \cdots + \psi^{n-1}(p(x_0, x_1)) \\ &= \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \psi^i(p(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$d_p(x_m, x_n) \leq 2p(x_m, x_n) \leq 2 \sum_{i=m}^{n-1} \psi^i(p(x_0, x_1)) < \infty.$$

Therefore, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, d_p) . Since (X, p) is a complete partial metric space, by Lemma 1.9-(b), (X, d_p) is a complete metric space. Then there exists $x^* \in X$ such that $x_n \rightarrow x^* \in X$ with respect to d_p , as $n \rightarrow \infty$. By Lemma 1.8, we have

$$p(x^*, x^*) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x^*) = \lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} p(x_n, x_m) = 0. \quad (15)$$

By hypothesis (iii), we have $x_n \preceq x^*$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Thus, from (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) &\leq \delta_p(Tx_n, Tx^*) \\ &\leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, Tx_n), p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, Tx_n) + p(x_n, Tx^*))\right) \\ &\quad + L(p(x^*, Tx_n) - p(x^*, x^*)) \\ &\leq \phi\left(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, Tx^*))\right) \\ &\quad + L(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) - p(x^*, x^*)). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

By using the triangular inequality, it further implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) - p(x_{n+1}, x_{n+1}) \\ &\leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \delta_p(x_{n+1}, Tx^*) \\ &\leq p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + \phi(p(x_n, x^*), p(x_n, x_{n+1}), p(x^*, Tx^*), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2}(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) + p(x_n, x^*) + p(x^*, Tx^*))) + L(p(x^*, x_{n+1}) - p(x^*, x^*)). \quad (17)\end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (17), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) &\leq \phi\left(0, 0, p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}p(x^*, Tx^*)\right) + L(0) \\ &\leq \phi\left(0, 0, \delta_p(x^*, Tx^*), \frac{1}{2}\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*)\right). \quad (18)\end{aligned}$$

By (18) and property (ii) of Φ_ψ , we have $\delta_p(x^*, Tx^*) = 0$. Hence $Tx^* = \{x^*\}$. Moreover x^* is a fixed point.

Corollary 2.6 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with partial ordering \preceq . Let $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\{x_0\} \prec_1 Tx_0$;
- (ii) for $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$ implies $Tx \prec_1 Ty$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nondecreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \preceq x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;
- (iv) there exist $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_p(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha \max\left\{p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty))\right\} \\ &\quad + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y))\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. Let $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \phi_1(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \alpha \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $\psi(t) = \alpha t$, where $\alpha \in [0, 1)$. From (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_p(Tx, Ty) &\leq \alpha \max\left\{p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty))\right\} \\ &\quad + L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y))\end{aligned}$$

for all $x, y \in X$ with $x \preceq y$. Therefore by Theorem 2.5, T has a fixed point.

Example 2.7 Let $X = \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right), \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right), (0,1), (1,0) \right\}$ be endowed with partial metric p define by $p(x, y) = \max\{x_1, y_1\} + \max\{x_2, y_2\}$ for each $x, y \in X$ and partial ordering is defined as follows

$$(x_1, x_2) \preceq (y_1, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 = y_1 \text{ and } x_2 \leq y_2.$$

Define $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ by

$$Tx = \begin{cases} \{(0,0)\} & \text{if } x \in \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \right\} \\ \left\{ (0,0), \left(0, \frac{1}{4}\right) \right\} & \text{if } x = \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \\ \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) & \text{if } x = (0,1) \\ \{(1,0), (0,1)\} & \text{if } x = (1,0) \end{cases}$$

Consider $\phi(u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4) = \frac{1}{2} \max\{u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4\}$ with $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{2}t$ and $L = 0$. Now, it can be easy to see that (9) holds. For $x_0 = (1,0)$ we have $\{x_0\} \prec_1 Tx_0$. Also, if $x \preceq y$, then $Tx \prec_1 Ty$. Hence all conditions of Theorem 2.5 holds. Therefore, T has a fixed point.

Remark 2.8 Note that Theorem 2.1 is not applicable on above example, when $L = 0$. To see consider $x = (0,0)$ and $y = (1,0)$.

Theorem 2.9 Let (X, p) be a complete partial metric space endowed with partial ordering \preceq . Let $T : X \rightarrow B_p(X)$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $Tx_0 \prec_2 \{x_0\}$;
- (ii) for $x, y \in X$, $x \preceq y$ implies $Tx \prec_2 Ty$;
- (iii) if $\{x_n\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x$, then $x_n \succcurlyeq x$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$;
- (iv) there exist $\phi \in \Phi_\psi$ and $L \geq 0$ such that

$$\delta_p(Tx, Ty) \leq \phi \left(p(x, y), p(x, Tx), p(y, Ty), \frac{1}{2}(p(y, Tx) + p(x, Ty)) \right)$$

$$+ L(p(y, Tx) - p(y, y))$$

for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \succcurlyeq y$.

Then T has a fixed point.

Proof. The proof follows on the same lines as in Theorem 2.5.

REFERENCES

- [1] S. G. Matthews, Partial metric topology, in: Proc. 8th Summer Conference on General Topology and Applications, in: Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 728 (1994), 183-197.
- [2] I. Altun, H. Simsek, Some fixed point theorems on dualistic partial metric spaces, J. Adv. Math. Stud., 1(2008), 1-8.
- [3] I. Altun, F. Sola, H. Simsek, Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces, Topology Appl., 157 (18) (2010), 2778-2785.
- [4] I. Altun, A. Erduran, Fixed point theorems for monotone mappings on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Appl., vol. 2011, article ID 508730, 10 pages.
- [5] M. Jleli, B. Samet, C. Vetro, Fixed point theory in partial metric spaces via ϕ -fixed point's concept in metric spaces, J. Inequal. Appl., 2014, 2014:426.
- [6] C. Chen and E. Karapinar, Fixed point results for α -Meir-Keeler contraction on partial Hausdorff metric spaces, J. Ineq. Appl. 2013, 2013:410.
- [7] L. Ćirić, B. Samet, H. Aydi, C. Vetro, Common fixed points of generalized contractions on partial metric spaces and an application, Appl. Math. Comput., 218 (2011) 2398-2406.
- [8] R. H. Haghi, Sh. Rezapour, N. Shahzad, Be careful on partial metric fixed point results, Topol. Appl., 160 (2013) 450-454.
- [9] P. Kumam, C. Vetro, F. Vetro, Fixed points for weak α - ψ -contractions in partial metric spaces, Abstr. Appl. Anal. 2013, Article ID 986028 (2013). doi:10.1155/2013/986028.
- [10] H. K. Nashine, Z. Kadelburg, Cyclic Contractions and Fixed Point Results via Control Functions on Partial Metric Spaces, Inter. J. Anal., 2013 (2013), Article ID 726387, 9 pages.
- [11] Z. Kadelburg, H. K. Nashine, S. Radenovic, Fixed point results under various contractive conditions in partial metric spaces, RACSAM (2013) 107:241-256.
- [12] S. Shukla, S. Radenovic, C. Vetro, Set-Valued Hardy-Rogers Type Contraction in 0-Complete Partial Metric Spaces, Inter. J. Math. Math. Sci., 2014 (2014), Article ID 652925, 9 pages.
- [13] D. Ilic, V. Pavlovic, V. Rakocevic, Some new extensions of Banach's contraction principle to partial metric space, Appl. Math. Let., 24 (2011) 1326-1330.
- [14] I. Altun, K. Sadarangani, Corrigendum to "Generalized contractions on partial metric spaces", Topology Appl. 158 (2011) 1738-1740.
- [15] W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory Appl., 2013 2013:54.
- [16] H. Aydi, M. Abbas, C. Vetro, Partial Hausdorff metric and Nadler's fixed point theorem on partial metric spaces, Topo. Appl. 159 (2012) 3234-3242.
- [17] Y. Feng, S. Liu, Fixed point theorems for multi-valued increasing operators in partial ordered spaces, Soochow J. Math., 30 (2004) 461-469.
- [18] I. Altun, Fixed point theorems for generalized ϕ -weak contractive multivalued maps on metric and ordered metric spaces, Arab. J. Sci. Eng., 36 (2011) 1471-1483.