

WEAK AND STRONG CONVERGENCE THEOREMS OF ITERATIVE PROCESS FOR TWO FINITE FAMILIES OF MAPPINGS

Mohammad Eslamian ¹

In this paper, weak and strong convergence of two-step iteration sequences to a common fixed point for a pair of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings in a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach spaces are presented. The results obtained in this paper extend and improve some recent known results.

Keywords: Two-step iterative process, Common fixed point, Generalized non-expansive multivalued mapping, Asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, Weak convergence.

MSC2010: 47H10, 47H09.

1. Introduction

Fixed point iteration process for nonexpansive and asymptotically nonexpansive singlevalued mappings in Hilbert spaces and Banach spaces has been studied extensively by many authors to solve nonlinear operator equation as well as variational inequalities, see e.g. [1-8] and the works referred there.

In recent years, approximation of fixed point of nonexpansive multivalued mappings by iteration has been studied by many authors, (see [9-19]). The theory of multivalued mappings has applications in control theory, convex optimization, differential equations and economics. Recently, Eslamian and Abkar [20] proved the existence of common fixed point for a pair consisting of a generalized nonexpansive multivalued mapping and an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in uniformly convex Banach spaces. The purpose of this paper is to introduce a two-step iterative process for approximating the common fixed points of a pair consisting of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and a finite family of generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings and then prove weak and strong convergence theorems for such iterative process in uniformly convex Banach spaces. The results obtained in this paper extend and improve some recent known results.

¹ Department of Applied Mathematics, University of Science and Technology, Behshahr, Iran.
Email: mhmdeslamian@gmail.com

2. Preliminaries

Recall that a Banach space X is said to be uniformly convex if for each $t \in [0, 2]$, the modulus of convexity of X given by:

$$\delta(t) = \inf \left\{ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \|x + y\| : \|x\| \leq 1, \|y\| \leq 1, \|x - y\| \geq t \right\}$$

satisfies the inequality $\delta(t) > 0$ for all $t > 0$. A Banach space X is said to satisfy Opial's condition if $x_n \rightarrow z$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $z \neq y$ imply that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|.$$

All Hilbert spaces, all finite dimensional Banach spaces and ℓ^p ($1 \leq p < \infty$) have the Opial property.

A subset $D \subset X$ is called proximal if for each $x \in X$, there exists an element $y \in D$ such that

$$\|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, D) = \inf \{\|x - z\| : z \in D\}.$$

We denote by $CB(D)$, $K(D)$ and $P(D)$ the collection of all nonempty closed bounded subsets, nonempty compact subsets, and nonempty proximal bounded subsets of D respectively. The Hausdorff metric H on $CB(X)$ is defined by

$$H(A, B) := \max \left\{ \sup_{x \in A} \text{dist}(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} \text{dist}(y, A) \right\},$$

for all $A, B \in CB(X)$.

Let $T : X \rightarrow 2^X$ be a multivalued mapping. An element $x \in X$ is said to be a fixed point of T , if $x \in Tx$. The set of fixed points of T will be denoted by $F(T)$.

Definition 2.1. A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is called

(i) *nonexpansive* if

$$H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X.$$

(ii) *quasi nonexpansive* if $F(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $H(Tx, Tp) \leq \|x - p\|$ for all $x \in X$ and all $p \in F(T)$.

In [21], Garcia-Falset, Llorens-Fuster and Suzuki, introduced a new condition on singlevalued mappings, called condition (E), which is weaker than nonexpansiveness. Very recently, Abkar and Eslamian [22] used a modified condition for multivalued mappings as follows:

Definition 2.2. A multivalued mapping $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ is said to satisfy condition (E_μ) provided that

$$\text{dist}(x, Ty) \leq \mu \text{dist}(x, Tx) + \|x - y\|, \quad x, y \in X.$$

We say that T satisfies condition (E) whenever T satisfies (E_μ) for some $\mu \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be a multivalued nonexpansive mapping, then T satisfies the condition (E_1) .

Lemma 2.2. ([19]) Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space and let $B_r(0) = \{x \in X : \|x\| \leq r\}$, for $r > 0$. Then there exists a continuous, strictly increasing convex function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $\varphi(0) = 0$ such that

$$\|a_1 x_1 + a_2 x_2 + \cdots + a_k x_k\|^2 \leq a_1 \|x_1\|^2 + a_2 \|x_2\|^2 + \cdots + a_k \|x_k\|^2 - a_i a_j \varphi(\|x_i - x_j\|)$$

for all $x_i, x_j \in B_r(0)$ and $a_i, a_j \in [0, 1]$ for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ and $\sum_{i=1}^k a_i = 1$.

Lemma 2.3. ([7]) Let $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$ and $\{\delta_n\}$ be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n.$$

If $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$ and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$ exists. In particular, if $\{a_n\}$ has a subsequence converging to 0, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$.

Definition 2.3. ([23]) Let D be a nonempty convex subset of a Banach space X . A map $f : D \rightarrow D$ is called asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence $\{k_n\}$ of real numbers with $\{k_n\} \geq 1$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ such that

$$\|f^n x - f^n y\| \leq k_n \|x - y\|$$

for all $x, y \in D$ and all $n \geq 1$.

Lemma 2.4. ([9]) Let X be a uniformly convex Banach space, D be a nonempty closed convex subset of X , and $f : D \rightarrow D$ be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. If $x_n \rightarrow x$ weakly and $x_n - f x_n \rightarrow 0$ strongly, then $x = f x$.

3. Main results

We are interested in sequences in the following process.

(A): Let X be a Banach space, D be a nonempty convex subset of X and $T_i : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ and $f_i : D \rightarrow D$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be finite given mappings. Then, for $x_0 \in D$, we consider the following iterative process:

$$y_n = b_{n,0}x_n + b_{n,1}z_{n,1} + b_{n,2}z_{n,2} + \dots + b_{n,m}z_{n,m}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$x_{n+1} = a_{n,0}x_n + a_{n,1}f_1^n y_n + a_{n,2}f_2^n y_n + \dots + a_{n,m}f_m^n y_n, \quad n \geq 0,$$

where $z_{n,i} \in T_i(x_n)$ and $\{a_{n,k}\}, \{b_{n,k}\}$ are sequences of numbers in $[0,1]$ such that for every natural number n

$$\sum_{k=0}^m a_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,k} = 1.$$

Definition 3.1. A mapping $T : D \rightarrow CB(D)$ is said to satisfy condition (I) if there is a non decreasing function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$, $g(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$\text{dist}(x, T(x)) \geq g(\text{dist}(x, F(T))).$$

A family $\{T_i : D \rightarrow CB(D), \quad i = 1, \dots, m\}$ is said to satisfy condition (II) if there is a non decreasing function $g : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ with $g(0) = 0$, $g(r) > 0$ for $r \in (0, \infty)$ such that for some $i = 1, \dots, m$,

$$\text{dist}(x, T_i(x)) \geq g(\text{dist}(x, \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i))).$$

In this sequel, $\mathcal{F} = \bigcap_{i=1}^m (F(T_i) \cap F(f_i))$ is the set of all common fixed points of the mappings f_i and T_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let $T_i : D \rightarrow CB(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings, and $f_i : D \rightarrow D$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with sequence $\{k_{n,i}\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, where $k_n = \max\{k_{n,i}; 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,k}, b_{n,k} \in [a, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$,
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T_i(x_n), x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i x_n - x_n\| = 0$, $(i = 1, \dots, m)$.

Proof. Let $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_n - p\| &= \|b_{n,0}x_n + b_{n,1}z_{n,1} + b_{n,2}z_{n,2} + \dots + b_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\| \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + b_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\| + b_{n,2}\|z_{n,2} - p\| + \dots + b_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - p\| \\
 &= b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + b_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p)) + b_{n,2}\text{dist}(z_{n,2}, T_2(p)) + \dots + b_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, T_m(p)) \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + b_{n,1}H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p)) + b_{n,2}H(T_2(x_n), T_2(p)) + \dots + b_{n,m}H(T_m(x_n), T_m(p)) \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + b_{n,1}\|x_n - p\| + b_{n,2}\|x_n - p\| + \dots + b_{n,m}\|x_n - p\| = \|x_n - p\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|x_{n+1} - p\| &= \|a_{n,0}x_n + a_{n,1}f_1^n y_n + a_{n,2}f_2^n y_n + \dots + a_{n,m}f_m^n y_n - p\| \\
 &\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}\|f_1^n y_n - p\| + a_{n,2}\|f_2^n y_n - p\| + \dots + a_{n,m}\|f_m^n y_n - p\| \\
 &\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}k_{n,1}\|y_n - p\| + a_{n,2}k_{n,2}\|y_n - p\| + \dots + a_{n,m}k_{n,m}\|y_n - p\| \\
 &\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,1}k_n\|x_n - p\| + a_{n,2}k_n\|x_n - p\| + \dots + a_{n,m}k_n\|x_n - p\| \\
 &\leq (1 + (k_n - 1))\|x_n - p\|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, by lemma 2.5 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$ exists for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Since the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ are bounded, we can find $r > 0$ depending on p such that $x_n - p, y_n - p \in B_r(0)$ for all $n \geq 0$. From Lemma 2.4, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
 \|y_n - p\|^2 &= \|b_{n,0}x_n + b_{n,1}z_{n,1} + b_{n,2}z_{n,2} + \dots + b_{n,m}z_{n,m} - p\|^2 \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - p\|^2 + b_{n,2}\|z_{n,2} - p\|^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + b_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - p\|^2 - b_{n,0}b_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
 &= b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_{n,1}\text{dist}(z_{n,1}, T_1(p))^2 + b_{n,2}\text{dist}(z_{n,2}, T_2(p))^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + b_{n,m}\text{dist}(z_{n,m}, T_m(p))^2 - b_{n,0}b_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_{n,1}H(T_1(x_n), T_1(p))^2 + b_{n,2}H(T_2(x_n), T_2(p))^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + b_{n,m}H(T_m(x_n), T_m(p))^2 - b_{n,0}b_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
 &\leq b_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_{n,1}\|x_n - p\|^2 + b_{n,2}\|x_n - p\|^2 + \dots \\
 &\quad + b_{n,m}\|x_n - p\|^2 - b_{n,0}b_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
 &= \|x_n - p\|^2 - b_{n,0}b_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|).
 \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 2.4 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|a_{n,0}x_n + a_{n,1}f_1^n y_n + a_{n,2}f_2^n y_n + \dots + a_{n,m}f_m^n y_n - p\|^2 \\
&\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}\|f_1^n y_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,2}\|f_2^n y_n - p\|^2 + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{n,m}\|f_m^n y_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,0}a_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - f_i^n y_n\|) \\
&\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}k_{n,1}^2\|y_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,2}k_{n,2}^2\|y_n - p\|^2 + \dots \\
&\quad + a_{n,m}k_{n,m}^2\|y_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,0}a_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - f_i^n y_n\|) \\
&\leq a_{n,0}\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,1}k_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + a_{n,2}k_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 + \dots + a_{n,m}k_n^2\|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad - a_{n,0}a_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - f_i^n y_n\|) - a_{n,i}b_{n,0}b_{n,i}k_n^2\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
&\leq (1 + (k_n^2 - 1))\|x_n - p\|^2 - a_{n,0}a_{n,i}\varphi(\|x_n - f_i^n y_n\|) - a_{n,i}b_{n,0}b_{n,i}k_n^2\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|).
\end{aligned}$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned}
a^3\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) &\leq a_{n,i}b_{n,0}b_{n,i}k_n^2\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \\
&\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 + (k_n^2 - 1)\|x_n - p\|^2,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a^3\varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) \leq \|x_1 - p\|^2 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n^2 - 1)\|x_n - p\|^2 < \infty,$$

from which it follows that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\|x_n - z_{n,i}\|) = 0$. Similarly it can be shown that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(\|x_n - f_i^n y_n\|) = 0$. From Lemma 2.4, since φ is continuous at 0 and is strictly increasing, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - z_{n,i}\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - f_i^n y_n\| = 0.$$

Moreover for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we get $\text{dist}(x_n, T_i x_n) \leq \|x_n - z_{n,i}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Using (A) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_{n,1}\|z_{n,1} - x_n\| + b_{n,2}\|z_{n,2} - x_n\| + \dots + b_{n,m}\|z_{n,m} - x_n\|) = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (a_{n,1}\|f_1^n y_n - x_n\| + a_{n,2}\|f_2^n y_n - x_n\| + \dots + a_{n,m}\|f_m^n y_n - x_n\|) = 0.$$

Also we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - f_i^n x_n\| &\leq \|x_n - f_i^n y_n\| + \|f_i^n y_n - f_i^n x_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_n - f_i^n y_n\| + k_n\|y_n - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \quad n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - f_i^n x_{n+1}\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - f_i^n x_n\| + \|f_i^n x_{n+1} - f_i^n x_n\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - f_i^n x_n\| + k_n\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_{n+1} - f_i x_{n+1}\| &\leq \|x_{n+1} - f_i^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| + \|f_i^{n+1} x_{n+1} - f_i x_{n+1}\| \\
&\leq \|x_{n+1} - f_i^{n+1} x_{n+1}\| + k_1\|f_i^n x_{n+1} - x_{n+1}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i x_n - x_n\| = 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Suppose that $X, D, f_i, T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ are as in theorem 3.2 with $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,k}, b_{n,k} \in [a, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_i and $f_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ if and only if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$.

Proof. Necessity it obvious. Conversely, suppose that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{inf dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. As in Theorem 3.2, we have

$$\|x_{n+1} - p\| \leq (1 + (k_n - 1))\|x_n - p\|,$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that

$$\text{dist}(x_{n+1}, \mathcal{F}) \leq (1 + (k_n - 1))\text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F})$$

Since $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, by lemma 2.5 we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F})$ exists. Thus $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. Therefore there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ and a sequence $\{p_k\}$ in \mathcal{F} such that $\|x_{n_k} - p_k\| < \frac{1}{2^k}$ for all k . Let $\theta_n = (k_n - 1)\|x_n - p\|$ (note that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \theta_n < \infty$). Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - p\| &\leq \|x_{n_{k+1}-1} - p\| + \theta_{n_{k+1}-1} \\ &\leq \|x_{n_{k+1}-2} - p\| + \theta_{n_{k+1}-2} + \theta_{n_{k+1}-1} \\ &\leq \\ &\dots \\ &\leq \|x_{n_k} - p\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k-1} \theta_{n_k+i} \end{aligned}$$

for all $p \in \mathcal{F}$. This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n_{k+1}} - p\| &\leq \|x_{n_k} - p_k\| + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k-1} \theta_{n_k+i} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k-1} \theta_{n_k+i}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we show that $\{p_k\}$ is Cauchy sequence in D . Note that

$$\begin{aligned} \|p_{k+1} - p_k\| &\leq \|p_{k+1} - x_{n_{k+1}}\| + \|x_{n_{k+1}} - p_k\| \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{k+1}} + \frac{1}{2^k} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k-1} \theta_{n_k+i} \\ &< \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} + \sum_{i=1}^{n_{k+1}-n_k-1} \theta_{n_k+i}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $\{p_k\}$ is Cauchy sequence in D and hence converges to $q \in D$. Since for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\text{dist}(p_k, T_i(q)) \leq H(T_i(p_k), T_i(q)) \leq \|q - p_k\|$$

and $p_k \rightarrow q$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, it follows that $\text{dist}(q, T_i(q)) = 0$. Also, by continuity of f_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ we have

$$\|p_k - f_i(p_k)\| \rightarrow \|q - f_i(q)\|.$$

Hence $\|q - f_i(q)\| = 0$ which implies that $q \in f_i q$. Therefore $q \in \mathcal{F}$ and $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to q . Since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - q\|$ exists, we conclude that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to q . This complete proof. \square

Theorem 3.3. Suppose that $X, D, f_i, T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ are as in theorem 3.2 with $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,k}, b_{n,k} \in [a, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. If the mappings $\{T_i, f_i : i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfy the condition (II), Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_i and f_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T_i(x_n), x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Since the mappings $\{T_i, f_i; i = 1, 2, \dots, m\}$ satisfying the condition (II), we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, \mathcal{F}) = 0$. The conclusion now follows from Theorem 3.3. \square

Theorem 3.4. Let D be a nonempty compact convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X . Let $T_i : D \rightarrow CB(D), (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying the condition (E), and $f_i : D \rightarrow D, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with sequence $\{k_{n,i}\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, where $k_n = \max\{k_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}, (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,k}, b_{n,k} \in [a, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a common fixed point of T_i and f_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, we have for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(T_i(x_n), x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Since D is compact, there exists a subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$ such that $\lim x_{n_k} = w$ for some $w \in D$. By condition (E), there exists $\mu \geq 1$ such that for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{dist}(w, T_i w) &\leq \|w - x_{n_k}\| + \text{dist}(x_{n_k}, T_i w) \\ &\leq \mu \text{dist}(x_{n_k}, T_i x_{n_k}) + 2\|w - x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

this implies that $w \in F(T_i)$. Also, by continuity of the mappings $f_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ we have

$$\|x_{n_k} - f_i x_{n_k}\| \rightarrow \|w - f_i w\| \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty,$$

hence $w \in F(f_i)$. Since $\{x_{n_k}\}$ converges strongly to w and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\|$ exists (by Theorem 3.2), it follows that $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to w . \square

By similar method as in [[19], Theorem 3.3], we can prove the following Lemma. However we omit the details of proof.

Lemma 3.1. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of a uniformly convex Banach space X with the Opial property. Suppose that $T : D \rightarrow K(D)$ is a multivalued mappings satisfying the condition (E). If $x_n \rightarrow v$ weakly and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$, then $v \in Tv$.*

Theorem 3.5. *Let D be a nonempty closed convex subset of uniformly convex Banach space X with the Opial property. Let $T_i : D \rightarrow K(D)$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of quasi-nonexpansive multivalued mappings satisfying the condition (E), and $f_i : D \rightarrow D$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ be a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings with sequence $\{k_{n,i}\} \subset [1, \infty)$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$, where $k_n = \max\{k_{n,i} : 1 \leq i \leq m\}$. Assume that $\mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$ and $T_i(p) = \{p\}$, $(i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. Let $\{x_n\}$ be the iterative process defined by (A), and $a_{n,k}, b_{n,k} \in [a, 1) \subset (0, 1)$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, m$. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a common fixed point of T_i, f_i , for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $\{x_n\}$ is an approximate fixed point sequence of T_i and f_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$, that is

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{dist}(x_n, T_i x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f_i x_n - x_n\| = 0.$$

Also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\|$ exists for all $w \in \mathcal{F}$. Thus $\{x_n\}$ is bounded. Since X is uniformly convex, it is reflexive, so that we can assume that $x_n \rightarrow q$ weakly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for some $q \in D$. By Lemma 3.6 and 2.7 we have $q \in \mathcal{F}$. Now we prove that $\{x_n\}$ has a unique weak subsequential limit in \mathcal{F} . To prove this, let w and v be weak limits of the subsequence $\{x_{n_k}\}$ and $\{x_{n_m}\}$ of $\{x_n\}$, respectively and $v \neq w$. By Lemma 3.6 and 2.7, $w, v \in \mathcal{F}$, and hence by Theorem 3.2, the limits $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\|$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v\|$ exists. Then by Opial's property,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\| &= \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - w\| \\ &< \lim_{n_k \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_k} - v\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - v\| \\ &= \lim_{n_m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_m} - v\| < \lim_{n_m \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_m} - w\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - w\| \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore $\{x_n\}$ converges weakly to a point in \mathcal{F} . \square

We now intend to remove the restriction that $T_i(p) = p$ for each $p \in \mathcal{F}$. We define the following iteration process.

(B): Let $T_i : D \rightarrow P(D)$ ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$) be a finite family of given multivalued mappings and

$$P_{T_i}(x) = \{y \in T_i(x) : \|x - y\| = \text{dist}(x, T_i(x))\}.$$

For fixed $x_0 \in D$ we consider the iterative process defined by:

$$y_n = b_{n,0}x_n + b_{n,1}z_{n,1} + b_{n,2}z_{n,2} + \dots + b_{n,m}z_{n,m}, \quad n \geq 0,$$

$$x_{n+1} = a_{n,0}x_n + a_{n,1}f_1^n y_n + a_{n,2}f_2^n y_n + \dots + a_{n,m}f_m^n y_n, \quad n \geq 0$$

where $z_{n,i} \in P_{T_i}(x_n)$ and $\{a_{n,k}\}, \{b_{n,k}\}$ are sequences of numbers in $[0,1]$ such that for every natural number n

$$\sum_{k=0}^m a_{n,k} = \sum_{k=0}^m b_{n,k} = 1.$$

We note that if $T : D \rightarrow P(D)$ is a multivalued mapping, then $F(T) = F(P_T)$ and for all $p \in F(T)$, $P_T(p) = \{p\}$.

Remark 3.1. *All of the above results holds, if we assume $T; D \rightarrow P(D)$ is a multivalued mapping, which P_T is quasi-nonexpansive.*

Remark 3.2. *A mapping $T : C \rightarrow CB(C)$ is \star -nonexpansive [24] if for all $x, y \in C$ and $u_x \in Tx$ with $d(x, u_x) = \inf\{d(x, z) : z \in Tx\}$, there exists $u_y \in Ty$ with $d(y, u_y) = \inf\{d(y, w) : w \in Ty\}$ such that*

$$d(u_x, u_y) \leq d(x, y).$$

By the definition of the Hausdorff metric, we obtain that if T is \star -nonexpansive, then P_T is nonexpansive. It is known that \star -nonexpansiveness is different from nonexpansiveness for multivalued maps, (see [25] for details).

REFERENCES

- [1] W.R. Mann, *Mean value methods in iteration*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **4** (1953) 506-510.
- [2] S. Ishikawa, *Fixed point by a new iteration method*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (1974) 147-150.
- [3] H.F. Senter, W.G. Dotson, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **44** (1974) 375-380.
- [4] S. Reich, *Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings in Banach space*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **67** (1979) 274-276.
- [5] J. Schu, *Iterative construction of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **158** (1991) 407-413 .
- [6] K. Shimoji, W. Takahashi, *Strong convergence common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications*, Taiwanese Journal of mathematics, **5** (2001), 387-404.
- [7] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, *Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings by the Ishikawa iteration process*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **178** (1993) 301-308.
- [8] K.K. Tan, H.K. Xu, *Fixed point iteration processes for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **122** (1994) 733-739.
- [9] Y. J. Cho, H.Y. Zhou, G. Guo , *Weak and strong convergence theorems for three-step iterations with errors for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*. Comput. Math. Appl., **47** (2004) 707-717.
- [10] K. P. R. Sastry, G.V.R. Babu, *Convergence of Ishikawa iterates for a multivalued mapping with a fixed point*, Czechoslovak Math. J., **55** (2005) 817-826.
- [11] B. Panyanak, *Mann and Ishikawa iterative processes for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces*, Comput. Math. Appl., **54** (2007) 872-877.
- [12] N. Shahzad, N. Zegeye, *Strong convergence results for nonself multimaps in Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **136** (2008) 539-548.
- [13] Y. Song, H. Wang, *Convergence of iterative algorithms for multivalued mappings in Banach spaces*, Nonlinear Analysis. **70** (2009) 1547-1556.
- [14] N. Shahzad, H. Zegeye, *On Mann and Ishikawa iteration schemes for multivalued maps in Banach space*. Nonlinear Anal., **71** (2009) 838-844.
- [15] Y. Song, Y. J. Cho, *Some notes on Ishikawa iteration for multivalued mappings*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. **48** (2011) 575-584.
- [16] M. Eslamian, *Convergence theorems for nonspreading mappings and nonexpansive multivalued mappings and equilibrium problems*, Optim Lett. DOI 10.1007/s11590-011-0438-4.

- [17] M. Eslamian, S. Homaeipour, *Strong convergence of three steps iterative process with errors for three multivalued mappings*, Journal of Nonlinear Analysis and Optimization **2**, (2011), 49-57.
- [18] M. Abbas, S. H. Khan, A. R. Khan, R. P. Agarwal , *Common fixed points of two multivalued nonexpansive mappings by one-step iterative scheme*, Appl. Math. Lett., **24** (2011) 97-102.
- [19] M. Eslamian, A. Abkar, *One-step iterative process for a finite family of multivalued mappings*, Math. Comput. Modell . **54** (2011) 105-111.
- [20] M. Eslamian, A. Abkar, *Generalized nonexpansive multivalued mappings in strictly convex Banach spaces*, Fixed Point Theory. (To appear).
- [21] J. Garcia-Falset, E. Llorens-Fuster, T. Suzuki, *Fixed point theory for a class of generalized nonexpansive mappings*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **375** (2011) 185-195.
- [22] A. Abkar, M. Eslamian, *Common fixed point results in $CAT(0)$ spaces*, Nonlinear Anal., **74** (2011) 1835-1840.
- [23] K. Goebel, W. Kirk, *A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **35**, (1972) 171-174.
- [24] T. Husain, A. Latif, *Fixed points of multivalued nonexpansive maps*. Math. Japon. **33**, (1988) 385-391.
- [25] H. K. Xu, : *On weakly nonexpansive and \star -nonexpansive multivalued mappings*. Math. Japon. **36**(1991) 441-445.