

## FIXED POINT RESULTS AND $(\alpha, \beta)$ -TRIANGULAR ADMISSIBILITY IN THE FRAME OF COMPLETE EXTENDED $b$ -METRIC SPACES AND APPLICATION

Tariq QAWASMEH<sup>1</sup>, Wasfi SHATANAWI<sup>2</sup>, Anwar BATAIHAH<sup>3</sup> and Abdalla TALLAFHA<sup>4</sup>

*We establish the notion of  $\tau$ -generalized contraction for a pair of mappings  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  over a set  $Z$ , where  $\tau : Z^2 \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  is a function. We appoint our new notion to formulate and prove many common fixed point results in the setting of generalized  $b$ -metric spaces. examples are provided to analyze our results. Also, we set up applications to show the importance of our results. Our results are modification for many exciting results in the literature.*

**Keywords:** Extended  $b$ -metric spaces,  $b$ -metric spaces, fixed and common fixed point theorems,  $\alpha$ -admissibility,  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissibility,  $\tau$ -generalized contraction.

**MSC2020:** 37C25

### 1. Introduction

The notion of metric spaces is consider to be one of significant notions in the society of sciences since this notion can be used to guarantee a unique solution of such problems in engineering, physics, mathematics etc. Due to the importance of the notion of the metric spaces, the mathematicians extended this notion to many new notions such as partial metric spaces,  $b$ -metric spaces,  $G$ -metric spaces, extended  $b$ -metric spaces and others.

The constructing of new contractive conditions on such metric spaces play an important way to generalized Banach contraction theorem [11]. For some generalization of Banach contraction theorem, see [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 18, 19, 21, 25, 27, 28, 35, 40]. Samet et al. [31] established the concept of  $\alpha$ -admissibility and employed this important notions to create new fixed point theorems. Karapinar [23] initiated the study of fixed point theorems through the notion of triangular  $\alpha$ -admissibility. Hussain et al. [17] utilized the notion of  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions to derive many fixed point theorems. In 2013, Abdeljawad [1] extended the notion  $\alpha$ -admissibility to a pair of self mappings. While, Shatanawi [36] introduced the notion of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissibility for pair of self mappings. For more study in admissibility contractive conditions, see [6, 29, 30, 36, 37].

**Definition 1.1.** *On a set  $Z$ , let  $S$  be a self mapping and  $\alpha : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be a function. Then*

<sup>1</sup>Department of mathematics, Faculty of Science and Information Technology, Jadara University, Irbid, Jordan, e-mail: [ta.qawasmeh@jadara.edu.jo](mailto:ta.qawasmeh@jadara.edu.jo), [jorqaw@yahoo.com](mailto:jorqaw@yahoo.com)

<sup>2</sup> Corresponding Author, Department of Mathematics and general courses, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia, e-mail: [wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa](mailto:wshatanawi@psu.edu.sa); Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical University, Taichung, Taiwan e-mail: [wshatanawi@yahoo.com](mailto:wshatanawi@yahoo.com); Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan e-mail: [swasfi@hu.edu.jo](mailto:swasfi@hu.edu.jo)

<sup>3</sup> Department of mathematics, School of Science, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan, e-mail: [anwerbataihah@gmail.com](mailto:anwerbataihah@gmail.com)

<sup>4</sup> Department of mathematics, School of Science, University of Jordan, Amman, Jordan e-mail: [a.tallafha@ju.edu.jo](mailto:a.tallafha@ju.edu.jo)

- (1)  $S$  is called  $\alpha$ -admissible [31] if  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$  with  $1 \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2)$  it holds  $1 \leq \alpha(Sz_1, Sz_2)$ .
- (2)  $S$  is called triangular  $\alpha$ -admissible [23] if  $S$  is  $\alpha$ -admissible and  $\forall z_1, z_2, z_3 \in Z$  with  $1 \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2)$  and  $1 \leq \alpha(z_2, z_3)$  imply  $1 \leq \alpha(z_1, z_3)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $S_1, S_2$  be two self mappings on  $Z$  and  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be two functions. Then:

- (1) The pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is called  $\alpha$ -admissible [1] if  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$  with  $1 \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2)$  implies  $1 \leq \alpha(S_1z_1, S_2z_2)$  and  $1 \leq \alpha(S_2z_1, S_1z_2)$ .
- (2) The pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is called  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible [36] if  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$  and  $\beta(z_1, z_2) \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2)$  imply  $\beta(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) \leq \alpha(S_1z_1, S_2z_2)$  and  $\beta(S_2z_1, S_1z_2) \leq \alpha(S_2z_1, S_1z_2)$ .

**Definition 1.3.** [29] Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be two self mappings on  $Z$  and  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  be two functions. Then the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is said to be  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible if

- (i)  $(S_1, S_2)$  is a pair of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -admissible;
- (ii)  $\forall z_1, z_2, z_3 \in Z$  with  $\beta(z_1, z_2) \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2)$  and  $\beta(z_2, z_3) \leq \alpha(z_2, z_3)$  implies  $\beta(z_1, z_3) \leq \alpha(z_1, z_3)$ .

The notion of extended  $b$ -metric spaces was set up by Kamran et al.[20] as follows:

**Definition 1.4.** [20] On the set  $Z \neq \phi$ , we consider the function  $\tau : Z^2 \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ . The mapping  $d_\tau : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is said to be an extended  $b$ -metric space if the following conditions hold:

- (EM1)  $d_\tau(z', z) = 0 \Leftrightarrow z' = z$ ,
- (EM2)  $d_\tau(z', z) = d_\tau(z, z')$ ,
- (EM3)  $d_\tau(z', z) \leq \tau(z', z)[d_\tau(z', z'') + d_\tau(z'', z)] \quad \forall z'', z', z \in Z$ .

The pair  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is called an extended  $b$ -metric space.

**Remark 1.1.** If  $\tau(z_1, z_2) = s \geq 1$  in  $(Z, d_\tau)$ , then  $(Z, d_\tau)$   $b$ -metric space.

**Definition 1.5.** [20] On the set  $Z$ , consider an extended  $b$ -metric space  $(Z, d_\tau)$  and a sequence  $(z_r)$  in  $Z$ . Then:

- (1)  $(z_r)$  converges to some element  $z \in Z$  if

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} d_\tau(z_r, z) = 0.$$

- (2)  $(z_r)$  is Cauchy if

$$\lim_{r, s \rightarrow +\infty} d_\tau(z_r, z_s) = 0.$$

For more results and theorems see, [10, 22, 26, 24, 38, 39].

## 2. Main results

From now on, we let  $Z$  to be a nonempty set. If  $S_1, S_2 : Z \rightarrow Z$  are two self mappings, we denote by  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  the set of all common fixed points for  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  and by  $F_S$  the set of all fixed points for  $S$ . In the rest of this paper,  $\tau : Z^2 \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  denotes a function,  $(Z, d_\tau)$  denotes to an extended  $b$ -metric space and  $(Z, \tilde{d}_\tau)$  is a  $b$ -metric space with constant  $s^* \geq 1$  unless otherwise are stated.

Now, we furnish our main definition followed by our main result:

**Definition 2.1.** On  $Z$ , we let  $S_1, S_2 : Z \rightarrow Z$  be two mappings. The pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is called  $\tau$ -generalized contraction if there exist  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have

$$d_\tau(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) M(z_1, z_2), \quad (2.1)$$

where  $M(z_1, z_2) = \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1)(d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2))}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}$ .

**Example 2.1.** On  $Z = [0, +\infty)$ , we define the two self mappings  $S_1, S_2 : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  via  $S_1 z = \frac{z}{4}$  and  $S_2 z = kz$  where  $k \in [0, \frac{1}{4})$ . Also, define  $\tau : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  via  $\tau(z, z') = (1 + \max\{z, z'\})$  and  $d_\tau : [0, +\infty)^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by:

$$d_\tau(z, z') = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } z = z' \\ \max\{z, z'\} & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Then it is obviously that  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is an extended  $b$ -metric space. Then the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $\tau$ -generalized contraction with  $\delta = 1$  and  $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$ .

*Proof.* For  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we consider the following cases:

Case (i): If  $z_2 = z_1$ , then

$$d_\tau(S_1 z_1, S_2 z_2) = \max\left\{\frac{z_1}{4}, kz_2\right\} = \frac{z_1}{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}\tau(z_1, z_2) \max\left\{d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, S_1 z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2 z_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, S_1 z_1)(d_\tau(z_2, S_2 z_2))}{1+d_\tau(z_1, z_2)}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(1 + \max\{z_1, z_2\})z_1 \\ &\geq \frac{z_1}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$d_\tau(S_1 z_1, S_2 z_2) = \frac{z_1}{4} \leq \frac{1}{4}\tau(z_1, z_2)M(z_1, z_2).$$

Case (ii): If  $z_2 < z_1$ , then the proof is similar to case (i).

Case (iii): If  $z_2 > z_1$ , then we have the following sub-cases:

Sub-case (1): If  $kz_2 = \frac{z_1}{4}$ , then  $d_\tau(S_1 z_1, S_2 z_2) = 0$ .

Sub-case (2): If  $kz_2 < \frac{z_1}{4}$ , then the proof is similar to case (i).

Sub-case (3): If  $kz_2 > \frac{z_1}{4}$ , then

$$d_\tau(S_1 z_1, S_2 z_2) = kz_2 \leq \frac{z_2}{4}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{4}\tau(z_1, z_2) \max\left\{d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, S_1 z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2 z_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, S_1 z_1)(d_\tau(z_2, S_2 z_2))}{1+d_\tau(z_1, z_2)}\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{4}(1 + \max\{z_1, z_2\})z_2 \\ &\geq \frac{z_2}{4}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $\tau$ -generalized contraction.  $\square$

**Definition 2.2.** On  $Z$ , we let  $S_1, S_2 : Z \rightarrow Z$  be two mappings. The sequence  $(z_r)$  in  $Z$  is called an  $(S_1, S_2)$ -sequence with starting point  $z' \in Z$  if  $z_{2r+1} = S_1 z_{2r}$  and  $z_{2r+2} = S_2 z_{2r+1}$  for all  $r = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ , where  $z' = z_0$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** On  $Z$ , we consider the two mappings  $S_1, S_2$  and the two functions  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose the following conditions hold:

1.  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is complete,
2. there are  $0 \leq \lambda < 1$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $\tau$ -generalized contraction,
3. for each  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ ,  $\tau(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,
4.  $\exists z_0 \in Z$  with  $\beta(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0)) \leq \alpha(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0))$  and  $\beta(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0) \leq \alpha(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0)$ ,
5. the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible.

If  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is a continuous function, then  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  consists of only one element.

*Proof.* Begin with condition (4) and construct the  $(S_1, S_2)$ -sequence with starting point  $z_0$ . In view of  $z_1 = S_1 z_0$  and  $z_2 = S_2 z_1 = S_2(S_1 z_0)$ , we obtain that

$$\beta(z_1, z_2) \leq \alpha(z_1, z_2) \text{ and } \beta(z_2, z_1) \leq \alpha(z_2, z_1).$$

The triangular admissibility of  $(S_1, S_2)$  ensures that

$$\beta(S_2 z_1, S_1 z_2) \leq \alpha(S_2 z_1, S_1 z_2),$$

and

$$\beta(S_1 z_2, S_2 z_1) \leq \alpha(S_1 z_2, S_2 z_1).$$

Again, in view of  $z_3 = S_1 z_2$ , we obtain that

$$\beta(z_2, z_3) \leq \alpha(z_2, z_3) \text{ and } \beta(z_3, z_2) \leq \alpha(z_3, z_2).$$

Inductively, we realize that the sequence  $(z_r)$  satisfies

$$\beta(z_r, z_{r+1}) \leq \alpha(z_r, z_{r+1}), \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$\beta(z_{r+1}, z_r) \leq \alpha(z_{r+1}, z_r). \quad (2.3)$$

For positive integers  $t, r$  with  $t > r$ ,  $\exists j \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $t = r + j$ . The Equations (2.2) and (2.3) in credit to  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissibility of the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  imply that:

$$\beta(z_r, z_t) = \beta(z_r, z_{t+j}) \leq \alpha(z_r, z_{t+j}) = \alpha(z_r, z_t), \quad (2.4)$$

and

$$\beta(z_t, z_r) \leq \alpha(z_t, z_r). \quad (2.5)$$

Now, if  $r$  is even, then  $r = 2i$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ . So

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}) &= d_\tau(S_2 z_{2i+1}, S_1 z_{2i}) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}), d_\tau(z_{2i}, S_1 z_{2i}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, S_2 z_{2i+1}), \frac{d_\tau(z_{2i}, S_1 z_{2i}) d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, S_2 z_{2i+1})}{\delta + d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i})} \right\} \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}), d_\tau(z_{2i}, z_{2i+1}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}), \frac{d_\tau(z_{2i}, z_{2i+1}) d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2})}{\delta + d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2})} \right\} \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}) \max \{ d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}), d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}) \} \\ &< \max \{ d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}), d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}) d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i}). \quad (2.6)$$

Also, if  $r$  is odd, then  $r = 2i + 1$  for some  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_{2i+3}, z_{2i+2}) &= d_\tau(S_1 z_{2i+2}, S_2 z_{2i+1}) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}), d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+3}), \right. \\ &\quad \left. d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2}), \frac{d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+3}) d_\tau(z_{2i+1}, z_{2i+2})}{\delta + d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1})} \right\} \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}) \max \{ d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}), d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+3}) \} \\ &< \max \{ d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}), d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+3}) \}. \end{aligned}$$

So,

$$d_\tau(z_{2i+3}, z_{2i+2}) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}) d_\tau(z_{2i+2}, z_{2i+1}). \quad (2.7)$$

From (2.6) and (2.7), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_{r+1}, z_r) &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_r, z_{r-1}) d_\tau(z_r, z_{r-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda^4 \tau(z_r, z_{r-1}) \tau(z_{r-1}, z_{r-2}) d_\tau(z_{r-1}, z_{r-2}) \\ &\leq \lambda^{2r} \prod_{i=1}^r \tau(z_i, z_{i-1}) d_\tau(z_1, z_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Claim:  $(z_r)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $Z$ . To prove our claim, it is enough to prove that the sequence  $(z_{2r})$  is Cauchy. Given  $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$ . Assume that  $t > r$ . Then (EM3) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_{2r}, z_{2t}) &\leq \tau(z_{2r}, z_{2t}) [d_\tau(z_{2r}, z_{2r+1}) + d_\tau(z_{2r+1}, z_{2t})] \\ &\leq \tau(z_{2r}, z_{2t}) d_\tau(z_{2r}, z_{2r+1}) \\ &\quad + [\tau(z_{2r}, z_{2t}) \tau(z_{2r+1}, z_{2t})] [d_\tau(z_{2r+1}, z_{2r+2}) + d_\tau(z_{2r+2}, z_{2t})] \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \sum_{j=2r+1}^{2t-1} \prod_{i=2r}^{j-1} [\tau(z_i, z_{2t}) d_\tau(z_j, z_{j+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

Employing (2.8) in (2.9), we get that

$$d_\tau(z_r, z_t) \leq \sum_{j=2r+1}^{2t-1} \prod_{i=2r}^{j-1} \tau(z_i, z_{2t}) \prod_{l=r}^j \lambda^{2j} \tau(z_{l-1}, z_l) d_\tau(z_0, z_1) \quad (2.10)$$

Now, let  $c_j = \prod_{i=2r}^{j-1} \tau(z_i, z_{2t}) \prod_{l=r}^j \lambda^{2j} \tau(z_{l-1}, z_l) d_\tau(z_0, z_1)$ . Then

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \frac{c_{j+1}}{c_j} = \limsup_{j, t \rightarrow \infty} [\lambda^2 \tau(z_{j+1}, z_t) \tau(z_j, z_{j+1})] < 1. \quad (2.11)$$

So

$$\sum_{j=2r+1}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=2r}^{j-1} \tau(z_i, z_{2t}) \prod_{l=r}^j \lambda^{2j} \tau(z_{l-1}, z_l) d_\tau(z_0, z_1) < \infty. \quad (2.12)$$

Hence

$$\lim_{r, t \rightarrow +\infty} d_\tau(z_r, z_t) = 0.$$

Thus  $(z_r)$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $(Z, d_\tau)$ . So the completeness of  $(Z, d_\tau)$  ensures that  $\exists \omega^* \in Z$  such that  $z_r \rightarrow \omega^*$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $S_1$  is continuous function. Then  $z_{2r+1} = S_1 z_{2r} \rightarrow S_1 \omega^*$ . The uniqueness of limit informs us that  $S_1 \omega^* = \omega^*$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(\omega^*, S_2 \omega^*) &= d_\tau(S_1 \omega^*, S_2 \omega^*) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(\omega^*, \omega^*) \max \left\{ d_\tau(\omega^*, \omega^*), d_\tau(\omega^*, S_1 \omega^*), d_\tau(\omega^*, S_2 \omega^*), \right. \\ &\quad \left. \frac{d_\tau(\omega^*, S_1 \omega^*) (d_\tau(\omega^*, S_2 \omega^*))}{\delta + d_\tau(\omega^*, \omega^*)} \right\} \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(\omega^*, \omega^*) d_\tau(\omega^*, S_2 \omega^*) \\ &< d_\tau(\omega^*, S_2 \omega^*). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\{\omega^*\} \subseteq C_{(S_1, S_2)}$ .

Now, assume  $\exists z_* \in C_{(S_1, S_2)}$ ; i.e,  $S_1 z_* = S_2 z_* = z_*$ . Then, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\tau(\omega^*, z_*) &= d_\tau(S_1\omega^*, S_2z_*) \\
&\leq \lambda^2 \tau(\omega^*, z_*) \max \left\{ d_\tau(\omega^*, z_*), d_\tau(\omega^*, S_1\omega^*), d_\tau(z_*, S_2z_*), \right. \\
&\quad \left. \frac{d_\tau(\omega^*, S_1\omega^*)d_\tau(z_*, S_2z_*)}{\delta + d_\tau(\omega^*, z_*)} \right\} \\
&< d_\tau(\omega^*, z_*).
\end{aligned}$$

So,  $z_* = \omega^*$ . Consequently,  $C_{(S_1, S_2)} = \{\omega^*\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.1.** *On  $Z$ , we consider the two mappings  $S_1, S_2$  and the two functions  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is complete and the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible. Also, assume that there exist  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 \leq 1$  such that  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have*

$$d_\tau(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) \leq \tau(z_1, z_2)[\gamma_1^2 d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1) + \gamma_2^2 d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)].$$

Furthermore, suppose these properties hold true

1. for each  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ ,  $\tau(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$ ,
2.  $\exists z_0 \in Z$  with  $\beta(S_1z_0, S_2(S_1z_0)) \leq \alpha(S_1z_0, S_2(S_1z_0))$  and  $\beta(S_2(S_1z_0), S_1z_0) \leq \alpha(S_2(S_1z_0), S_1z_0)$ .

If  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is a continuous function, then  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  consists of only one element.

*Proof.* In advantage of

$$\begin{aligned}
d_\tau(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) &\leq \tau(z_1, z_2)[\gamma_1^2 d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1) + \gamma_2^2 d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)] \\
&\leq (\gamma_1^2 + \gamma_2^2)\tau(z_1, z_2) \max \{d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)\} \\
&\leq (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) \max \{d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)\} \\
&\leq (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2)^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1), \right. \\
&\quad \left. d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1)d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}.
\end{aligned}$$

So the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $\tau$ -generalized contraction. So, we catch the result from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *On  $Z$ , we consider the two mappings  $S_1, S_2$  and the two functions  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that  $(Z, \tilde{d}_\tau)$  is complete and the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible. Also, assume there exist  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  with  $s^* \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have*

$$d_\tau(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) \leq \lambda^2 s^* M(z_1, z_2),$$

where,

$$M(z_1, z_2) = \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1), d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1)d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}.$$

Furthermore, suppose that there exists  $z_0 \in Z$  with

$\beta(S_1z_0, S_2(S_1z_0)) \leq \alpha(S_1z_0, S_2(S_1z_0))$  and  $\beta(S_2(S_1z_0), S_1z_0) \leq \alpha(S_2(S_1z_0), S_1z_0)$ . If  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is continuous, then  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  consists of only one element.

*Proof.* The result can be caught from Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** *On  $Z$ , we consider the two mappings  $S_1, S_2$  and the two functions  $\alpha, \beta : Z^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ . Suppose that  $(Z, \tilde{d}_\tau)$  is complete and the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible. Also, assume there exist  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in [0, 1]$  with  $\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 < 1$  and  $s^* \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$  such that  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have*

$$d_\tau(S_1z_1, S_2z_2) \leq s^* [\gamma_1^2 d_\tau(z_1, S_1z_1) + \gamma_2^2 d_\tau(z_2, S_2z_2)].$$

Furthermore, suppose  $\exists z_0 \in Z$  with  $\beta(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0)) \leq \alpha(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0))$  and  $\beta(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0) \leq \alpha(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0)$ . If  $S_1$  or  $S_2$  is continuous, then  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  consists of only one element.

**Theorem 2.2.** On  $Z$ , we consider the mapping  $S : Z \rightarrow Z$ . Assume there exist  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that

$$d_\tau(Sz_1, Sz_2) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) M(z_1, z_2),$$

where,  $M(z_1, z_2) = \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1), d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1) d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2)}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}$ . Furthermore, suppose the following conditions:

- (1)  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is complete,
- (2) for each  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ ,  $\tau(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

If  $S$  is a continuous function, then  $F_S$  consists of only one element.

*Proof.* Given  $z_0 \in Z$ . We construct an  $(S, S)$ -sequence in  $Z$  with starting point  $z_0$  by putting  $z_{r+1} = Sz_r = S^{r+1}z_0$ . To show that  $(z_r)$  is a Cauchy sequence, given  $r, t \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $r < t$ . By using (EM3), we get that:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_r, z_t) &\leq \tau(z_r, z_t) [d_\tau(z_r, z_{r+1}) + d_\tau(z_{r+1}, z_t)] \\ &\vdots \\ &\leq \sum_{j=r}^{t-1} \prod_{i=r}^j [\tau(z_i, z_t) d_\tau(z_j, z_{j+1})]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(z_{r+1}, z_r) &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_r, z_{r-1}) d_\tau(z_r, z_{r-1}) \\ &\leq \lambda^{2r} \prod_{i=1}^r \tau(z_i, z_{i-1}) d_\tau(z_1, z_0). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Utilizing Equations (2.13) and (2.14), one can prove that  $(z_r)$  is a Cauchy sequence. The completeness of  $(Z, d_\tau)$  insures that  $\exists \beta_* \in Z$  such that  $z_r \rightarrow \beta_*$ . The continuity of  $S$  implies that  $z_{r+1} = Sz_r \rightarrow S\beta_*$ . So  $\{\beta_*\} \subseteq F_S$ . Now, assume  $\exists z_* \in Z$  such that  $z_* \in F_S$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(\beta_*, z_*) &= d_\tau(S\beta_*, Sz_*) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(\beta_*, z_*) \max \left\{ d_\tau(\beta_*, z_*) d_\tau(\beta_*, S\beta_*), d_\tau(z_*, Sz_*), \frac{d_\tau(\beta_*, S\beta_*) d_\tau(z_*, Sz_*)}{\delta + d_\tau(\beta_*, z_*)} \right\} \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(\beta_*, z_*) d_\tau(\beta_*, z_*). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Hence, we get  $\beta_* = z_*$ , and so,  $F_S = \{\beta_*\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** On  $Z$ , we consider the self mapping  $S$ . Suppose  $(Z, \tilde{d}_\tau)$  is complete. Also, assume there exist  $\lambda \in [0, 1)$  with  $s^* < \frac{1}{\lambda}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\forall z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have:

$$d_\tau(Sz_1, Sz_2) \leq \lambda^2 s^* \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1), d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1) d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2)}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}.$$

If  $S$  is continuous, then  $S$  has a unique fixed point in  $Z$ .

Next, we introduce some examples to illustrate our results.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $Z = [0, 1]$  and let  $K : Z \times Z \rightarrow [1, 2]$  be defined by

$K(x, y) = \frac{1 + \max\{z_1, z_2\}}{1 + \min\{z_1, z_2\}}$ . Let  $\tau : Z \times Z \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  and  $d_\tau : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be defined by

$$\tau(z_1, z_2) = 2K(x, y) \text{ and } d_\tau(z_1, z_2) = \begin{cases} 0 & , z_1 = z_2 \\ (z_1 + z_2)^2 & , z_1 \neq z_2 \end{cases}.$$

Also, let  $\alpha, \beta : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  be defined by  $\alpha(z_1, z_2) = e^{z_1 + z_2}$  and

$\beta(z_1, z_2) = e^{z_1+z_2} - 1$ . Let  $S_1, S_2 : Z \rightarrow Z$  be defined by  $S_1(z) = \frac{z}{\sqrt{8}}$ , and  $S_2(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \ln(1+z)$ . Then, we have the following:

- (1)  $(Z, d_\tau)$  is complete,
- (2) the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $\tau$ -generalized contraction with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{4}$ ,
- (3) for each  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ ,  $\tau(z_1, z_2) \leq 4 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ ,
- (4)  $\exists z_0 \in Z$  with  $\beta(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0)) \leq \alpha(S_1 z_0, S_2(S_1 z_0))$  and  $\beta(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0) \leq \alpha(S_2(S_1 z_0), S_1 z_0)$ ,
- (5)  $S_1$  is a continuous function,
- (6) the pair  $(S_1, S_2)$  is  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -triangular admissible.

*Proof.* The proofs of (1), (3), (4), (5) and (6) are obvious. So, we just show (2). Let  $z_1, z_2 \in [0, 1]$ . If  $z_1 = z_2$ , then it is trivial. Now, let  $z_1 \neq z_2$ . Then,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(S_1 z_1, S_2 z_2) &= \left( \frac{z_1}{\sqrt{8}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{8}} \ln(1+z_2) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{8} (z_1 + z_2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{16} \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1,  $C_{(S_1, S_2)}$  consists of only one element. □

**Example 2.3.** On  $Z = [0, 1]$ , let  $K : Z \times Z \rightarrow [1, 8]$  be defined by

$K(x, y) = \frac{1+7\max\{x,y\}}{1+\min\{x,y\}}$ . Let  $d_\tau : Z \times Z \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  and  $\tau : Z \times Z \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$  be defined by

$d_\tau(x, y) = (x-y)^2$  and  $\tau(x, y) = 2K(x, y)$ . Let  $S : Z \rightarrow Z$  be defined by

$S(x) = \frac{2-\frac{x}{2}}{5\sqrt{2}(2-x^2)}$ . Then, we have the following:

- (1)  $(d_\tau, Z)$  is a complete extended  $b$ -metric space,
- (2)  $S$  satisfies condition 2.1, with  $\lambda = \frac{1}{16}$ .

*Proof.* First, observe that for each  $x, y \in Z$ ,  $\tau(x, y) \leq 16 = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ . We just show (2). Let  $x, y \in [0, 1]$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(Sx, Sy) &= \left( \frac{2-\frac{x}{2}}{5\sqrt{2}(2-x^2)} - \frac{2-\frac{y}{2}}{5\sqrt{2}(2-y^2)} \right)^2 \\ &= \frac{2}{25(2-x^2)^2(2-y^2)^2} \left( x+y - \frac{1}{4}xy - \frac{1}{2} \right)^2 (x-y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{2}{25(2-x^2)^2(2-y^2)^2} \left( \frac{5}{4} \right)^2 (x-y)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{1}{128} (x-y)^2 \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(x, y) d_\tau(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.2,  $F_S$  consists of only one element. □

### 3. Applications

To show the novelty of our work, we employ our results to prove the existence and uniqueness of solution for some nonlinear equations in the unit interval.



**Theorem 3.1.** For integer  $k$  with  $k \geq 2$ , the equation

$$x^{k+1} + x^k + 1 = Ax \text{ where } A \geq 3k + 1$$

has a unique solution in the unit interval  $I = [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Define  $\tau : I^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  via  $\tau(z_1, z_2) = 1 + \frac{3}{7} \max\{z_1, z_2\}$  and  $d_\tau : I^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  via  $d_\tau(z_1, z_2) = |z_1 - z_2|$ . Then it is obviously that  $d_\tau$  is a complete  $b$ -metric space.

Note that, our problem owns a unique solution in  $I$  iff the following self mapping  $S$  on  $I$

$$S(z) = \frac{1 + z^k}{A - z^k}$$

owns a unique fixed point. Now, we show that for all  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have

$$d_\tau(Sz_1, Sz_2) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2) \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{7}{10}.$$

First it is clear that for each  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ ,  $\tau(z_1, z_2) \leq \frac{10}{7} = \frac{1}{\lambda}$ .

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(Sz_1, Sz_2) &= \left| \frac{1 + z_1^k}{A - z_1^k} - \frac{1 + z_2^k}{A - z_2^k} \right| \\ &= \left| \frac{(1 + z_1^k)(A - z_2^k) - (1 + z_2^k)(A - z_1^k)}{(A - z_1^k)(A - z_2^k)} \right| \\ &= \left( \frac{A - 1}{(A - z_1^k)(A - z_2^k)} \right) |z_1^k - z_2^k| \\ &= \left( \frac{A - 1}{(A - z_1^k)(A - z_2^k)} \right) [z_1^{k-1} + z_2 z_1^{k-2} + \cdots + z_1 z_2^{k-2} + z_2^{k-1}] |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq \frac{(A - 1)(k)}{(A - 1)^2} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &= \frac{(k)}{(A - 1)} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq \frac{1}{3} |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{7}{10} \right)^2 |z_1 - z_2| \\ &\leq \left( \frac{7}{10} \right)^2 \left[ 1 + \frac{3}{7} \max\{z_1, z_2\} \right] |z_1 - z_2| \\ &= \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1), d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1)(d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2))}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S$  meets expectations of Theorem 2.2, and so,  $F_S$  consists of only one element.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.** For any integer  $m \geq 1$ , the equation

$$\sum_{i=0}^m x^i = Bx \text{ where } B \geq 2m(m + 1),$$

has a unique solution in the unit interval  $I = [0, 1]$ .

*Proof.* Let  $K : I^2 \rightarrow [1, \frac{3}{2}]$  be defined by  $K(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1+2z_1z_2}{1+z_1z_2}$ . Define  $\tau : I^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  via  $\tau(z_1, z_2) = K(z_1, z_2)$  and  $d_\tau : I^2 \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  via  $d_\tau(z_1, z_2) = \frac{1}{2}K(z_1, z_2)(z_1 - z_2)^2$ . Then it is obviously that  $d_\tau$  is a complete extended  $b$ -metric space.

Note that, our problem owns a unique solution in  $I$  iff the following self mapping  $s$  on  $I$

$$S(z) = \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=0}^m z^i$$

owns a unique fixed point. Now, we show that for all  $z_1, z_2 \in Z$ , we have

$$d_\tau(sz_1, sz_2) \leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2) \text{ with } \lambda = \frac{2}{3}.$$

Now,

$$\begin{aligned} d_\tau(Sz_1, Sz_2) &= \frac{1}{2} K(Sz_1, Sz_2) \left( \frac{1}{B} \sum_{i=0}^m (z_1^i - z_2^i) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4B^2} \left( \sum_{i=1}^m (z_1^i - z_2^i) \right)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3}{4B^2} (z_1 - z_2)^2 (1 + 2 + \dots + m)^2 \\ &= \frac{3m^2(m+1)^2}{16B^2} (z_1 - z_2)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{3m^2(m+1)^2}{8B^2} \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) d_\tau(z_1, z_2) \\ &\leq \lambda^2 \tau(z_1, z_2) \max \left\{ d_\tau(z_1, z_2), d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1), d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2), \frac{d_\tau(z_1, Sz_1)(d_\tau(z_2, Sz_2))}{\delta + d_\tau(z_1, z_2)} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $S$  meets expectations of Theorem 2.2, and so,  $F_S$  consists of only one element.  $\square$

#### 4. Conclusions

In this study, we introduced and studied  $\tau$ -generalized contraction for a pair of mappings  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  over a non empty set  $Z$  endowed with an extended  $b$ -metric. Based on this a new contraction, some exciting fixed and common fixed point results were obtained. Our results are modifications and improvements for many existing results in the literature. Finally, we show the novelty of our work by setting up some examples and applications.

**Acknowledgement:** The authors thank the reviewers and the editor for their valuable remarks and comments on the paper. Also, the second author thanks Prince Sultan University for supporting this research.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] T. Abdeljawad, Meir-Keeler  $\alpha$ -contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, Fixed Point Theory Appl., **10**(2013), 1–10.
- [2] K. Abodayeh, T. Qawasmeh, W. Shatanawi and A. Tallafha,  $\epsilon_\rho$ -contraction and some fixed point results via modified  $\omega$ -distance mappings in the frame of complete quasi metric spaces and applications, Inter. J. Electrical Comp. Eng., **10**(4) (2020), 3839–3853.

- 
- [3] *J. Chen, M. Postolache, L.J. Zhu*, Iterative algorithms for split common fixed point problem involved in pseudo-contractive operators without Lipschitz assumption, *Mathematics*, **7**(9)(2019), 777.
- [4] *I. Abu-Irwaq, W. Shatanawi, A. Bataihah and I. Nuseir*, Fixed point results for nonlinear contractions with generalized  $\Omega$ -distance mappings. *UPB Sci. Bull. Ser. A*, **81**(2019), 1, 57-64.
- [5] *M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, M. Postolache*, Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b-metric spaces via new fixed point theorem, *Nonlinear Anal. Modelling Control*, **22**(2017), No. 1, 17-30.
- [6] *A. Al-Rawashdeh, H. Aydi, A. Felhi, S. Sahmim and W. Shatanawi*, On common fixed points for  $\alpha$ -F-contractions and applications., *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**(2016), 3445—3458.
- [7] *A. Pitea*, Best proximity results on dualistic partial metric spaces, *Symmetry*, **11**(2019), 3.
- [8] *H. Aydi, E. Karapinar, M. Postolache*, Tripled coincidence point theorems for weak  $\phi$ -contractions in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl. No. 44*(2012).
- [9] *H. Aydi, W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache, Z. Mustafa, and N. Tahat*, Theorems for Boyd-Wong-Type Contractions in Ordered Metric Spaces, *Abstract and Applied Analysis*, vol. 2012, Article ID 359054, 14 pages, (2012).
- [10] *I.A. Bakhtin*, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst., Unianowsk*, **30**(1989), 26–37.
- [11] *S. Banach*, Sur les Opération dans les ensembles abstraits et leur application aux equations integral. *Fundam. Math.*, **3**(1922), 133-181.
- [12] *A. Bataihah, W. Shatanawi, T. Qawasmeh and R. Hatamleh*, On H-Simulation Functions and Fixed Point Results in the Setting of  $\omega t$ -Distance Mappings with Application on Matrix Equations, *Mathematics*, **8**(2020), 837.
- [13] *A. Bataihah, A. Tallafha and W. Shatanawi*, Fixed point results with  $\Omega$ -distance by utilizing simulation functions, *Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **43**(2020), pp 185-196.
- [14] *A. Bataihah, W. Shatanawi and A. Tallafha*, Fixed point results with simulation functions. *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **25**(2020), 1, 13-23.
- [15] *S. Chandok, M. Postolache*, Fixed point theorem for weakly Chatterjea-type cyclic contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, Art. No. 28 (2013).
- [16] *B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya, M. Postolache*, A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, 2013, Art. No. 152 (2013).
- [17] *N. Hussain, M. Arshad, A. Shoaib*, Common fixed point results for  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractions on a metric space endowed with graph, *J. Inequal. Appl.*, **136**(2014), 1–14.
- [18] *E. Karapinar, A. Pitea*, On  $\alpha - \psi$ -geraghty contraction type mappings on quasi-branciari metric spaces, *J. of Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, **17**(2016), 1291–1301.
- [19] *W. Sintunavarat, A. Pitea*, On a new iteration scheme for numerical reckoning fixed points of Berinde mappings with convergence analysis, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**(2016), 2553–2562.
- [20] *T. Kamran, M. Samreen, Q. UL Ain*, A generalization of b-metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics*, **19**(2017), 5.
- [21] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, M.U. Ali, Q. Kiran, Feng and Liu*, type F-contraction in b-metric spaces with application to integral equations, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7** (2016), No. 5, 18–27.
- [22] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, Fahimuddin, M.U. Ali*, Fixed point theorems on generalized metric space endowed with graph, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**(2016), 4277–4285.
- [23] *M.A. Miandaragh, A. Pitea, S., Rezapour*, Some approximate fixed point results for proximinal valued  $\beta$ -contractive multifunctions, *B. IRAN MATH SOC.*, **41**(2015), 1161–1172.
- [24] *Yao, Y., Postolache, M., Yao, J.-C.*, Strong convergence of an extragradient algorithm for variational inequality and fixed point problems, *UPB Sci. Bull. Ser. A: Applied Mathematics and Physics*, **82**(2020), 3-12.

- [25] *I. Nuseir, W. Shatanawi, I. Abu-Irwaq and A. Bataihah*, Nonlinear contractions and fixed point theorems with modified  $\omega$ -distance mappings in complete quasi metric spaces. *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10**(2017), 53425350. DOI:10.22436/jnsa.010.10.20.
- [26] *A. Mukheimer, N. Mlaiki, K. Abodayeh and W. Shatanawi*, New theorems on extended  $b$ -metric spaces under new contractions, *Nonlinear Anal-Model*, **24**(2019), 6, 870–883.
- [27] *T. Qawasmeh, A. Tallafha and W. Shatanawi*, Fixed and common fixed point theorems through Modified  $\omega$ -Distance mappings, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **24**(2019), 2, 221-239.
- [28] *T. Qawasmeh, A. Tallafha and W. Shatanawi*, Fixed Point Theorems through Modified  $\omega$ -Distance and Application to Nontrivial Equations, *Axioms*, **8**(2019), 2, 57.
- [29] *T. Qawasmeh, W. Shatanawi, A. Bataihah and A. Tallafha*, Common Fixed Point Results for Rational  $(\alpha, \beta)_{\varphi}$ - $m\omega$  Contractions in Complete Quasi Metric Spaces, *Mathematics*, **7**(2019), 5, 392.
- [30] *P. Salimi, A. Latif, N. Hussain*, Modified  $\alpha - \psi$ -Contractive mappings with applications, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **151**(2013), 1–19.
- [31] *B. Samet, C. Vetro, B. Vetro*, Fixed point theorems for a  $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(2012), 2154–2165.
- [32] *W. Shatanawi, G. Maniu, A. Bataihah and F. Bani Ahmad*, Common fixed points for mappings of cyclic form satisfying linear contractive conditions with Omega-distance, *U.P.B.Sci., series A*, **79**(2017), 11–20.
- [33] *W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache*, Common fixed point results for mappings under nonlinear contraction of cyclic form in ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol 2013 (2013).
- [34] *W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache*, Coincidence and fixed point results for generalized weak contractions in the sense of Berinde on partial metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, vol 2013 (2013).
- [35] *W. Shatanawi*, Fixed and common fixed point theorems in frame of quasi metric spaces based on ultra distance functions, *Nonlinear Anal-Model*, **23**(2018), 5, 724–748.
- [36] *W. Shatanawi*, Common Fixed Points for Mappings under Contractive Conditions of  $(\alpha, \beta, \psi)$ -Admissibility Type, *Mathematics*, **6**(2018), 261.
- [37] *W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh*, Common fixed point for mapping under contractive condition based on almost perfect functions and  $\alpha$ -admissibility, *Nonlinear Funct. Anal. Appl.*, **23**(2018), 247–257.
- [38] *W. Shatanawi, K. Abodayeh and A. Mukheimer*, Some fixed point theorems in extended  $b$ -metric spaces, *Sci. Bull., Ser. A, Appl. Math. Phys., Politeh. Univ. Buchar.*, **80**(2018), 4, 71–78.
- [39] *W. Shatanawi*, Fixed and common fixed point for mapping satisfying some nonlinear contraction in  $b$ -metric spaces., *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), 4, 1–12.
- [40] *T. Suzuki*, A generalized Banach contraction principle that characterizes metric completeness, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136**(2008), 1861-1869.