

BIFLATNESS, BIPROJECTIVITY, φ -AMENABILITY AND φ -CONTRACTIBILITY OF A CERTAIN CLASS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS.

A. R. KHODDAMI

Given a Banach algebra A and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$ (the closed unit ball of A), the biflatness, biprojectivity, φ -amenability and φ -contractibility of a new Banach algebra A_ε are investigated.

Keywords: Biflatness, Biprojectivity, Character module homomorphism, φ -amenability, φ -contractibility.

MSC2010: Primary 46M10; 46M18; Secondary 46H25; 46B28.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Let A be a Banach algebra. In [4] R. A. Kamyabi-Gol and M. Janfada defined a new product “ \odot ” on A by $a \odot c = a\varepsilon c$ for all $a, c \in A$, where ε is a fixed element of the closed unit ball $B_1^{(0)}$ of A . (A, \odot) is an associative Banach algebra which is denoted by A_ε . Some miscellaneous algebraic properties of A_ε such as when A_ε has a unit element, when an element of A_ε is invertible and the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of involution on A_ε are investigated in [4]. The Arens regularity and amenability of A_ε and also derivations on A_ε and when is A_ε a C^* -algebra are studied in [4]. For a Banach algebra A let $\Delta_A : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ be the multiplication map, where $A \hat{\otimes} A$ is the projective tensor product. Δ_A is an A -bimodule map that is a bounded linear map such that $\Delta_A(a \cdot u) = a \cdot \Delta_A(u)$ and $\Delta_A(u \cdot a) = \Delta_A(u) \cdot a$ for all $a \in A$ and $u \in A \hat{\otimes} A$. It is well known that the A -module actions on $A \hat{\otimes} A$ is defined by

$$a \cdot (c \otimes d) = ac \otimes d, \quad (c \otimes d) \cdot a = c \otimes da, \quad a, c, d \in A.$$

A Banach algebra A is said to be biprojective if $\Delta_A : A \hat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$ has a bounded right inverse which is an A -bimodule map. It means that there exists a bounded linear map $\lambda_A : A \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that $\Delta_A \circ \lambda_A = I_A$ and

$$\lambda_A(ac) = a \cdot \lambda_A(c) = \lambda_A(a) \cdot c,$$

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences, Shahrood University of Technology, P. O. Box 3619995161-316, Shahrood, Iran e-mail khoddami.alireza@shahroodut.ac.ir

for all $a, c \in A$.

A Banach algebra A is said to be biflat if the adjoint $\Delta_A^* : A^* \rightarrow (A \hat{\otimes} A)^*$ of Δ_A has a bounded left inverse which is an A -bimodule map. Recall that every biprojective Banach algebra is biflat. Indeed, if A is biprojective then there exists an A -bimodule map $\lambda_A : A \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that $\Delta_A \circ \lambda_A = I_A$. So $\lambda_A^* \circ \Delta_A^* = I_{A^*}$. It follows that λ_A^* is a left inverse of Δ_A^* that is an A -bimodule map. The basic properties of biprojectivity and biflatness are investigated in [3] and also [1, 9].

Also biflatness and biprojectivity of Lau product of Banach algebras are investigated in [5].

Let A be a Banach algebra and $\Delta(A)$ be the set of all homomorphisms from A onto \mathbb{C} . The character space of A is denoted by $\Delta(A) \cup \{0\}$.

A new version of amenability which is related to characters was introduced and investigated by E. Kaniuth and A. T.-M. Lau and J. Pym in [7]. Also M. S. Monfared independently studied this concept in [8].

Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$. Then A is said to be φ -amenable if there exists an $m \in A^{**}$ such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and for all $a \in A$ and $f \in A^*$, $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$. Such an m is called a φ -mean.

A Banach algebra A is said to be φ -contractible if there exists an $u \in A$ such that $\varphi(u) = 1$ and $au = \varphi(a)u$ for all $a \in A$. The notion of φ -contractibility of Banach algebras was introduced by Z. Hu, M. S. Monfared and T. Traynor in [2]. Recall that each φ -contractible Banach algebra is φ -amenable.

Let A and B be two normed algebras and let $\Delta(B) \neq \emptyset$. Then we say that a bounded linear map $T : A \rightarrow B$ is character module homomorphism if there exists a $\varphi \in \Delta(B)$ such that $T^*(g \cdot b) = \varphi(b)T^*(g)$ for all $g \in B^*$ and $b \in B$. The set of all non-zero character module homomorphisms from A into B is denoted by $CMH(A, B)$. In particular in the case where $A = B$, $CMH(A, B)$ is denoted by $CMH(A)$. Some basic and hereditary properties of character module homomorphisms are investigated in [6].

2. Main Results

In this section let A be a Banach algebra and $\overline{B_1^{(0)}}$ be the closed unit ball of A . Also let $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$ and A_ε be the Banach space A equipped with the new multiplication “ \odot ”.

The aim of this section is to study the relation between biflatness, biprojectivity, φ -amenability and also φ -contractibility of A and A_ε . Also we present the relation between $CMH(A)$ and $CMH(A_\varepsilon)$.

In this section we use the following results repeatedly.

Proposition 2.1 ([4, Proposition 2.3]). *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then A_ε is unital if and only if A is unital and ε is invertible.*

The relation between $\Delta(A)$ and $\Delta(A_\varepsilon)$ are given by,

Proposition 2.2 ([4, proposition 2.4]). *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *If φ is a multiplicative linear functional on A , then $\psi = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi$ is a multiplicative linear functional on A_ε .*
- (2) *If A_ε is unital and ψ is a multiplicative linear functional on A_ε , then $\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a)$ is a multiplicative linear functional on A .*

We give the following proposition that we use it repeatedly.

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. If A_ε is unital then $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}} = A$, (isometrically isomorphism).*

Proof. Let “ \cdot ”, “ \odot ” and “ \circledast ” be the products on A , A_ε and $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}$ respectively. Let $I : (A, \|\cdot\|, \cdot) \longrightarrow ((A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}, \|\cdot\|, \circledast)$ be the identity map. We shall show that I is an algebraic homomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned} I(a) \circledast I(c) &= a \odot c \\ &= a \odot \varepsilon^{-2} \odot c \\ &= a \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon^{-2} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot c \\ &= a \cdot c \\ &= I(a \cdot c). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that I is an isometric isomorphism. Note that if $\|\varepsilon\| \leq 1$ then $\|\varepsilon^{-1}\| \geq 1$. But for each $a, c \in (A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a \circledast c\| &= \|a \odot \varepsilon^{-2} \odot c\| \\ &= \|a \cdot \varepsilon \cdot \varepsilon^{-2} \cdot \varepsilon \cdot c\| \\ &= \|a \cdot c\| \\ &\leq \|a\| \|c\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

The following proposition reveal some equalities concerning A_ε -module actions on A_ε^* , $A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$ and $(A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon)^*$ that we apply them in the sequel.

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *$f \odot a = f \cdot a\varepsilon$ and $a \odot f = \varepsilon a \cdot f$, for all $f \in A_\varepsilon^*$ and $a \in A_\varepsilon$.*
- (2) *$a \odot (c \otimes d) = a\varepsilon c \otimes d$ and $(c \otimes d) \odot a = c \otimes d\varepsilon a$ for all $a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon$. In particular, $a \odot u = a\varepsilon \cdot u$ and $u \odot a = u \cdot \varepsilon a$ for all $a \in A_\varepsilon$ and $u \in A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$.*
- (3) *$h \odot a = h \cdot a\varepsilon$ and $a \odot h = \varepsilon a \cdot h$ for all $a \in A_\varepsilon$ and $h \in (A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon)^*$.*

Proof. (1) : Let $a, c \in A_\varepsilon$ and $f \in A_\varepsilon^*$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f \odot a, c \rangle &= \langle f, a \odot c \rangle = \langle f, a\varepsilon c \rangle \\ &= \langle f \cdot a\varepsilon, c \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $f \odot a = f \cdot a\varepsilon$. Similarly $a \odot f = \varepsilon a \cdot f$.

(2) : Let $a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \odot (c \otimes d) &= a \odot c \otimes d \\ &= a\varepsilon c \otimes d. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $(c \otimes d) \odot a = c \otimes d\varepsilon a$. Now let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \otimes d_i \in A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$ and $a \in A_\varepsilon$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} a \odot u &= a \odot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \otimes d_i = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a \odot \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a \odot c_i \otimes d_i \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n a\varepsilon c_i \otimes d_i \\ &= a\varepsilon \cdot \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \\ &= a\varepsilon \cdot u. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $u \odot a = u \cdot \varepsilon a$.

(3) : Let $a \in A_\varepsilon$ and $h \in (A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon)^*$. Then for all $c, d \in A_\varepsilon$ we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h \odot a, c \otimes d \rangle &= \langle h, a \odot (c \otimes d) \rangle = \langle h, a\varepsilon c \otimes d \rangle \\ &= \langle h \cdot a\varepsilon, c \otimes d \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $u = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \otimes d_i \in A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle h \odot a, u \rangle &= \langle h \odot a, \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} c_i \otimes d_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle h \odot a, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle h, a \odot \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle h, a\varepsilon \cdot \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \rangle \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle h \cdot a\varepsilon, \sum_{i=1}^n c_i \otimes d_i \rangle \\ &= \langle h \cdot a\varepsilon, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $h \odot a = h \cdot a\varepsilon$. Similarly $a \odot h = \varepsilon a \cdot h$. □

In the following results we characterize the relation between φ -contractibility of A and A_ε .

Theorem 2.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *If A is φ -contractible and $\varphi(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ then A_ε is ψ -contractible, where $\psi = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi$.*
- (2) *If A_ε is unital and ψ -contractible then A is φ -contractible, where $\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a)$, $a \in A$.*

Proof. (1) : As A is φ -contractible then there exists an $u \in A$ such that $\varphi(u) = 1$ and $au = \varphi(a)u$ for all $a \in A$. Let $V = \frac{u}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} a \odot V &= a\varepsilon V = a\varepsilon \frac{u}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} a\varepsilon u = \frac{1}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \varphi(a\varepsilon)u \\ &= \varphi(a)u = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(a) \frac{u}{\varphi(\varepsilon)} \\ &= \psi(a)V. \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(V) &= \psi\left(\frac{u}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}\right) = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi\left(\frac{u}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}\right) = \varphi(u) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

So A_ε is ψ -contractible.

(2) : Let $\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a)$ and let A_ε be unital and ψ -contractible. So $\psi(a) = \varphi(\varepsilon a)$. Also there exists an $u \in A_\varepsilon$ such that $\psi(u) = 1$ and $a \odot u = \psi(a)u$ for all $a \in A_\varepsilon$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} a\varepsilon u &= \psi(a)u = \varphi(\varepsilon a)u = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(a)u \\ &= \varphi(a\varepsilon)u, \quad a \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

So

$$a\varepsilon u = \varphi(a\varepsilon)u. \tag{1}$$

Upon substituting $a = c\varepsilon^{-1}$ in (1) we can conclude that

$$cu = \varphi(c)u, \quad c \in A. \tag{2}$$

On the other hand the equality $1 = \psi(u) = \varphi(\varepsilon u) = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(u)$ implies that $\varphi(u) \neq 0$.

Choose $V = \frac{u}{\varphi(u)}$. So $\varphi(V) = 1$ and for all $c \in A$,

$$cV = \frac{cu}{\varphi(u)} = \varphi(c) \frac{u}{\varphi(u)} = \varphi(c)V.$$

This shows that A is φ -contractible. □

The following Theorem reveals the relation between φ -amenability of A and A_ε .

Theorem 2.2. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *If A is φ -amenable and $\varphi(\varepsilon) \neq 0$ then A_ε is ψ -amenable, where $\psi = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi$.*
- (2) *If A_ε is unital and ψ -amenable then A is φ -amenable, where $\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a)$.*

Proof. (1) : Let $\psi = \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi$ and $\varphi(\varepsilon) \neq 0$. Also let A be φ -amenable. So there exists an $m \in A^{**}$ such that $m(\varphi) = 1$ and $m(f \cdot a) = \varphi(a)m(f)$ for all $a \in A$ and $f \in A^*$. Hence $\frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}(\psi) = m(\varphi) = 1$.

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}(f \odot a) &= \frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}(f \cdot a\varepsilon) \\ &= \frac{1}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}\varphi(a\varepsilon)m(f) = \varphi(a)m(f) \\ &= \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(a)\frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}(f) \\ &= \psi(a)\frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}(f). \end{aligned}$$

So $\frac{m}{\varphi(\varepsilon)}$ is a ψ -mean and A_ε is ψ -amenable.

- (2) : Let $\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a)$ and let A_ε be unital and ψ -amenable. So by part (1) $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}$ is ϕ -amenable, where

$$\begin{aligned} \phi(a) &= \psi(\varepsilon^{-2})\psi(a) = \varphi(\varepsilon\varepsilon^{-2})\varphi(\varepsilon a) \\ &= \varphi(\varepsilon^{-1})\varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(a) \\ &= \varphi(a), \quad a \in A. \end{aligned}$$

But it is obvious that $(A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}} = A$. Hence A is φ -amenable. \square

In the sequel we investigate the relations between biprojectivity and biflatness of A and A_ε .

Theorem 2.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *If A is biprojective and A_ε is unital then so is A_ε .*
- (2) *If A_ε is biprojective and unital then so is A .*

Proof. (1) : Let A_ε be unital and let A be biprojective. Then there exists an A -bimodule map $\lambda_A : A \rightarrow A \hat{\otimes} A$ such that $\Delta_A \circ \lambda_A = I_A$. Clearly λ_A is an A_ε -bimodule map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_A(a \odot c) &= \lambda_A(a\varepsilon c) = a\varepsilon \cdot \lambda_A(c) \\ &= a \odot \lambda_A(c), \quad a, c \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_A(a \odot c) &= \lambda_A(a\varepsilon c) = \lambda_A(a) \cdot \varepsilon c \\ &= \lambda_A(a) \odot c, \quad a, c \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\kappa : A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon \longrightarrow A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$ be the bounded linear map such that $\kappa(a \otimes c) = a\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes c$, $a, c \in A_\varepsilon$. κ is an A_ε -bimodule map. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa(a \odot (c \otimes d)) &= \kappa(a \odot c \otimes d) = \kappa(a\varepsilon c \otimes d) \\ &= a\varepsilon c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d \\ &= a \odot c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d \\ &= a \odot (c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d) \\ &= a \odot \kappa(c \otimes d), \quad a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa((c \otimes d) \odot a) &= \kappa(c \otimes d \odot a) \\ &= c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d \odot a \\ &= (c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d) \odot a \\ &= \kappa(c \otimes d) \odot a, \quad a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Set $\lambda_{A_\varepsilon} = \kappa \circ \lambda_A$. As λ_{A_ε} is the composition of two A_ε -bimodule maps so it is an A_ε -bimodule map. Let $\lambda_A(a) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \otimes g_j(a)$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{A_\varepsilon} \circ \lambda_{A_\varepsilon}(a) &= \Delta_{A_\varepsilon} \circ \kappa \circ \lambda_A(a) = \Delta_{A_\varepsilon} \circ \kappa \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \otimes g_j(a) \right) \\ &= \Delta_{A_\varepsilon} \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \varepsilon^{-1} \otimes g_j(a) \right) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \varepsilon^{-1} \odot g_j(a) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon g_j(a) = \sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) g_j(a) \\ &= \Delta_A \left(\sum_{j=1}^\infty f_j(a) \otimes g_j(a) \right) = \Delta_A(\lambda_A(a)) \\ &= \Delta_A \circ \lambda_A(a) \\ &= a, \quad a \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Hence A_ε is biprojective.

(2) : As $A = (A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}$ so the proof is an immediate consequence of part (1). \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. Then,*

- (1) *If A is biflat and A_ε is unital then so is A_ε .*
- (2) *If A_ε is biflat and unital then so is A .*

Proof. Let A be biflat and A_ε be unital. Then there exists an A -bimodule map $\rho_A : (A \hat{\otimes} A)^* \longrightarrow A^*$ such that $\rho_A \circ \Delta_A^* = I_{A^*}$. Clearly ρ_A is an A_ε -bimodule

map. Indeed

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_A(h \odot a) &= \rho_A(h \cdot a\varepsilon) \\ &= \rho_A(h) \cdot a\varepsilon \\ &= \rho_A(h) \odot a, \quad a \in A_\varepsilon, h \in (A \hat{\otimes} A)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\rho_A(a \odot h) &= \rho_A(\varepsilon a \cdot h) \\ &= \varepsilon a \cdot \rho_A(h) \\ &= a \odot \rho_A(h), \quad a \in A_\varepsilon, h \in (A \hat{\otimes} A)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose that $l : A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon \longrightarrow A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$ is the bounded linear map such that $l(a \otimes c) = a\varepsilon \otimes c$, $a, c \in A_\varepsilon$. We shall show that l is an A_ε -bimodule map.

$$\begin{aligned}l(a \odot (c \otimes d)) &= l(a \odot c \otimes d) = l(a\varepsilon c \otimes d) \\ &= a\varepsilon c\varepsilon \otimes d = a \odot (c\varepsilon) \otimes d \\ &= a \odot (c\varepsilon \otimes d) \\ &= a \odot l(c \otimes d), \quad a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}l((c \otimes d) \odot a) &= l(c \otimes d \odot a) = c\varepsilon \otimes d \odot a \\ &= (c\varepsilon \otimes d) \odot a \\ &= l(c \otimes d) \odot a, \quad a, c, d \in A_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that

$$\Delta_{A_\varepsilon} = \Delta_A \circ l.$$

It follows that $l^* \circ \Delta_A^* = \Delta_{A_\varepsilon}^*$.

Let $\sigma : A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon \longrightarrow A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon$ be the bounded linear map such that $\sigma(a \otimes c) = a\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes c$, $a, c \in A_\varepsilon$. Obviously σ is an A_ε -bimodule map. Define

$$\rho_{A_\varepsilon} : (A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon)^* \longrightarrow A_\varepsilon^*$$

by $\rho_{A_\varepsilon}(h) = \rho_A \circ \sigma^*(h)$, $h \in (A_\varepsilon \hat{\otimes} A_\varepsilon)^*$.

As ρ_{A_ε} is the composition of two A_ε -bimodule maps, so it is an A_ε -bimodule map. Also,

$$\begin{aligned}(\rho_{A_\varepsilon} \circ \Delta_{A_\varepsilon}^*)(g) &= \rho_{A_\varepsilon}(\Delta_{A_\varepsilon}^*(g)) \\ &= \rho_{A_\varepsilon}(l^* \circ \Delta_A^*(g)) = \rho_{A_\varepsilon}(l^*(\Delta_A^*(g))) \\ &= \rho_A(\sigma^*(l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)))) \\ &= \rho_A(l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)) \circ \sigma) = \rho_A(\Delta_A^*(g)) = I_{A^*}(g) \\ &= g, \quad g \in (A_\varepsilon)^*.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)) \circ \sigma = \Delta_A^*(g).$$

Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)) \circ \sigma, c \otimes d \rangle &= \langle l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)), \sigma(c \otimes d) \rangle \\ &= \langle l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)), c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_A^*(g), l(c\varepsilon^{-1} \otimes d) \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_A^*(g), c\varepsilon^{-1}\varepsilon \otimes d \rangle \\ &= \langle \Delta_A^*(g), c \otimes d \rangle, c, d \in A_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$l^*(\Delta_A^*(g)) \circ \sigma = \Delta_A^*(g).$$

(2) : As $A = (A_\varepsilon)_{\varepsilon^{-2}}$ and A_ε is biflat and unital so the proof is an immediate consequence of part (1). \square

In the following results we characterize the relation between $CMH(A)$ and $CMH(A_\varepsilon)$.

Proposition 2.5. *Let A be a Banach algebra and $\varepsilon \in \overline{B_1^{(0)}}$. If A_ε is unital then $CMH(A) = CMH(A_\varepsilon)$.*

Proof. Let $T \in CMH(A)$. Then there exists a $\varphi \in \Delta(A)$ such that $T^*(g \cdot a) = \varphi(a)T^*(g), a \in A, g \in A^*$. So,

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(g \odot a) &= T^*(g \cdot a\varepsilon) = \varphi(a\varepsilon)T^*(g) \\ &= \varphi(\varepsilon)\varphi(a)T^*(g) \\ &= \psi(a)T^*(g), \quad a \in A_\varepsilon, g \in A_\varepsilon^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $CMH(A) \subseteq CMH(A_\varepsilon)$.

We shall show that $CMH(A_\varepsilon) \subseteq CMH(A)$. Let $T \in CMH(A_\varepsilon)$. So there exists a $\psi \in \Delta(A_\varepsilon)$ such that $T^*(g \odot a) = \psi(a)T^*(g), a \in A_\varepsilon, g \in A_\varepsilon^*$. Set

$$\varphi(a) = \psi(\varepsilon^{-1}a), a \in A. \tag{3}$$

So by substituting $a = \varepsilon c\varepsilon^{-1}$ in (3) we can conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(c\varepsilon^{-1}) &= \varphi(\varepsilon c\varepsilon^{-1}) \\ &= \varphi(c), c \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} T^*(g \cdot a) &= T^*(g \odot a\varepsilon^{-1}) = \psi(a\varepsilon^{-1})T^*(g) \\ &= \varphi(a)T^*(g), a \in A, g \in A^*. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $T \in CMH(A)$. So $CMH(A_\varepsilon) = CMH(A)$. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] *H. G. Dales*, Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity, London Math. Soc. Monogr. Ser., 24, The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 2000.
- [2] *Z. Hu., M. S. Monfared, T. Traynor*, On character amenable Banach algebras, *Studia Math.*, (193) (2009), 53–78.
- [3] *A. Ya. Helemskii*, The Homology of Banach and Topological Algebras, Kluwer Academic Publishers Group, Dordrecht, 1986.
- [4] *R. A. Kamyabi-Gol and M. Janfada*, Banach algebras related to the elements of the unit ball of a Banach algebra, *Taiwan. J. Math.*, (12) No. 7 (2008), 1769–1779.
- [5] *A. R. Khoddami and H. R. Ebrahimi Vishki*, Biflatness and biprojectivity of Lau product of Banach algebras, *Bull. Iran. Math. Soc.*, (39) No. 3 (2013), 559–568.
- [6] *A. R. Khoddami*, A certain class of character module homomorphisms on normed algebras, submitted.
- [7] *E. Kaniuth, A. T.-M. Lau, J. Pym*, On character amenability of Banach algebras, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, (344) (2008), 942–955.
- [8] *M. S. Monfared*, Character amenability of Banach algebras, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, (144) (2008), 697–706.
- [9] *V. Runde*, Lectures on Amenability, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1774, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2002.