DETERMINATION OF THE ECONOMIC EFFICIENCY OF INVESTMENTS TO THE USE OF CNC MACHINING CENTERS
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This article treats the problem of determination of the economic efficiency of investments to the use of CNC machining centers in manufacturing activity. For this purpose two comparative variants have been analyzed: one working on conventional machine tools and the other working on CNC equipments. The analyzed case study refers to the manufacturing of a type of piston at the "Timpuri Noi" enterprise in Bucharest. The most complex formulae for costs have been used taking into account all the elements which in generally affect the manufacturing cost. The article presents importance for theoretical technical studies and for manufacturing activity.
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1. Introduction

The car industry production registers annual sales rates that would amount to tens of millions of different types of finite products, and hundreds of millions of spare parts [1].

Therefore, the companies that are involved in similar jobs and have the necessary technical – organizational, labor and professional structure that is required for the best manufacturing of the items in the car industry, should have to
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prepare first a feasibility study [2, 3]. This means to prepare first an assessment of what would be the most suitable machine tools to purchase that are capable to provide for the utilization of modern technologies and thus enable the producer to penetrate the market segment of most cost efficient car parts production [4].

This article presents the contribution of the authors providing a relevant support for the trading companies in their attempt to better substantiate their projects relating to the purchase of machine tools for car industry parts machining.

Such project substantiation would help team become faster and more efficient in attracting the necessary funding sources (from both the shareholder system, and the financial institutions, such as: banks, organizations providing investment funds etc.).

2. Technologies used in manufacturing of pistons on conventional machine tools and CNC machining centers

For the study regarding the manufacturing of car components on conventional machine tools and CNC machining centers, the authors have focused on the item piston stage II, with the execution drawing shown in figure 1 [19].

![Fig.1. The piston stage II [19]](image-url)
The machining technology for the production of the piston stage II on the conventional machining technology is detailed in the table 1 [19].

The machining technology for the production of the piston stage II on the numerical control equipment MAZAK is detailed in the table 2.

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of operation</th>
<th>Working point</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>$T_{pi}$ [min]</th>
<th>$T_u$ [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Inspection table</td>
<td>Reception</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lathe SN 400</td>
<td>Rough turning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Lathe SN 400</td>
<td>Pre-drilling</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Lathe SP 250</td>
<td>Exterior rough turning</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Workbench</td>
<td>Testing</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Drilling machine GR 40</td>
<td>Boss drilling</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Drilling machine GR 40</td>
<td>Radial drilling C1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>Drilling machine GR 40</td>
<td>Radial drilling C2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>Workbench</td>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>Bath</td>
<td>Washing, blowing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>Lathe SN 400</td>
<td>Finish turning</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>Lathe SP 250</td>
<td>Interior finish turning</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>2.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>Reaming machine</td>
<td>Rough boring</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>Lathe SN 400</td>
<td>Groove cutting</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>Reaming machine</td>
<td>Finish cutting</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>Drilling machine GR 40</td>
<td>Settlement</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>Workbench</td>
<td>Adjustment</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>Bath</td>
<td>Washing, blowing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>Inspection table</td>
<td>Inspection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>Workbench</td>
<td>Packing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>105</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of operation</th>
<th>Working point</th>
<th>Operation</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>$T_{pi}$ [min]</th>
<th>$T_u$ [min]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>05</td>
<td>Inspection table</td>
<td>Reception</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Lathe SP 250</td>
<td>Rough turning</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>5.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>MAZAK machining center</td>
<td>Complete machining</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>2.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>Bath</td>
<td>Washing, blowing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Inspection table</td>
<td>Final inspection</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>Workbench</td>
<td>Packing</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Cost calculation of manufacturing of the item piston stage II on conventional machine tools

Based on the technical works relevant in this domain [1, 5, 6, 7, 8], the authors of this paper selected the most adequate and complex calculation formula of the manufacturing cost:

$$C_1 = A_1 + \frac{B_1 + D_1}{n} \ [€/piece]$$ (1)

where:
- $A_1$ – the expenses independent of the lot (variable expenses), [€/piece];
- $B_1$ – the lot dependent expenses (constant expenses) for preparing and completion of manufacturing, as well as administrative preparation of the lot launching, [€/piece];
- $D_1$ – the lot dependent expenses (permanent expenses), for the pay off on the equipment and checking tools and devices during the preparation – completion period, [€/piece];
- $n$ – the industrial lot launched into manufacturing, [pieces/lot].

The first category of expenses shall be calculated with the formula:

$$A_1 = c_{m1} + c_{s1} + c_{a1} + c_{i1} \ [€/piece]$$ (2)

where:
- $c_{m1}$ – the cost of part material, [€/piece];
- $c_{s1}$ – the direct expenses with basic wages payment, [€/piece];
- $c_{a1}$ – the expenses on the pay off period of the equipment and checking tools and devices during the unitary stages of machining, [€/piece];
- $c_{i1}$ – the indirect expenses on the manufacturing section, [€/piece].

These categories of expenses shall be determined with the formulas:

$$c_{m1} = m_s \cdot c_s - m_d \cdot c_d \ [€/piece]$$ (3)

$$c_{s1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{u_i}}{60} \cdot s_{m_i} \ [€/piece]$$ (4)

$$c_{a1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{u_i}}{60} \cdot a_i \ [€/piece]$$ (5)

$$c_{i1} = \frac{c_{s1} \cdot R_f}{100} \ [€/piece]$$ (6)

where:
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\[ a_i = \frac{C_{MU}}{N_{an} \cdot z_e \cdot k_s \cdot h} + \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} + \frac{C_D + C_v}{N_{adv} \cdot z_e \cdot k_s \cdot h} \] [€/piece] \hspace{1cm} (7)

\[ m_s - \text{the mass of semiproduct, [kg/piece]}; \]
\[ c_s - \text{the cost of one kilogram of semiproduct, [€/kg]}; \]
\[ m_d - \text{mass of waste material (resulting chips), [kg/piece]}; \]
\[ c_d - \text{the cost of one kilogram of waste, [€/kg]}; \]
\[ T_{ui} - \text{the unitary time for the operation } i, \text{ [min.]}; \]
\[ s_{m_i} - \text{the salary of the worker in charge of performing the operation } i \text{ [€/hour]}; \]
\[ C_{MU} - \text{the acquisition cost of the machine-tool, [€]}; \]
\[ z_e - \text{the number of working days in one year, [days/year]}; \]
\[ k - \text{the number of shifts per day}; \]
\[ h - \text{the number of hours per shift}; \]
\[ C_{sc} - \text{the acquisition cost of the cutting tool per operation, [€]}; \]
\[ T - \text{the cutting tool durability in the sharpening interval [hours]}; \]
\[ r - \text{the number of sharpening operations, until the cutting tool becomes obsolete}; \]
\[ s_m - \text{the wages of the tool sharpening worker, [€/month]}; \]
\[ t_r - \text{the tool sharpening time, corresponding to the operation of the technological flow, [min]}; \]
\[ C_D - \text{the cost of special fastening device, not delivered with the machine tool, [€]}; \]
\[ C_v - \text{the acquisition cost of the checking device for a particular operation, [€]}; \]
\[ N_{adv} - \text{the number of the legal pay off years for the checking tools and devices}; \]
\[ R_f - \text{the overhead expenses of the machining section}. \]

To calculate these expenses, we provide all the necessary information for the studied item piston stage II, as it is manufactured at the “Timpuri Noi” enterprise: \[ m_s = 0.244 \text{ [kg]}; \]
\[ c_s = 16.26 \text{ [€/kg]}; \]
\[ m_d = 0.063 \text{[kg]}; \]
\[ c_d = 0.23 \text{ [€/kg]} \] \hspace{1cm} (9)

One obtains:
\[ c_{m1} = m_s \cdot c_s - m_d \cdot c_d = 0.244\cdot16.26 - 0.063\cdot0.23 = 3.953 \text{ [€/piece]} \hspace{1cm} (8)\]

Comparing the data in table 1 and the formula (4) the cost calculation can be provided for wages, as \[ c_{s1} = 0.751 \text{ [€/piece]} \].

To calculate the pay off expenses for the equipment, the checking tools and devices, we provide below the separate calculation of pay off values, as follows:

- lathes [10, 11]:
\[ a_i = \frac{C_{MU}}{N_{an} \cdot z_e \cdot k_s \cdot h} = \frac{15,000}{10\cdot252\cdot3.8} = 0.248 \text{ [€/hour]} \hspace{1cm} (9)\]

- drilling machines [12, 13]:
\[ a_i = \frac{C_{MU}}{N_{an} \cdot z_e \cdot k_s \cdot h} = \frac{10,000}{12 \cdot 252 \cdot 3.8} = 0.138 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (10) \]

- boring machines [8, 10]:
  \[ a_i = \frac{C_{MU}}{N_{an} \cdot z_e \cdot k_s \cdot h} = \frac{10,000}{10 \cdot 252 \cdot 3.8} = 0.165 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (11) \]

- cutting tools (STAS 6377/80):
  \[ a_{sc1} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{5.43 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.5 \cdot 15} = 0.271 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (12) \]

  - groove cutter 2:
    \[ a_{sc2} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.11 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.15} = 0.232 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (13) \]

  - groove cutter 3.5:
    \[ a_{sc3} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.15 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.15} = 0.233 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (14) \]

  - groove cutter 4:
    \[ a_{sc4} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.25 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.15} = 0.236 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (15) \]

  - groove cutter 2.5:
    \[ a_{sc5} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.00 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.15} = 0.228 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (16) \]

- special finishing exterior cutter:
  \[ a_{sc6} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{5.14 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 10 / 60}{2.15} = 0.238 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (17) \]

- drill \( \varnothing \) 15.5:
  \[ a_{sc7} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.29 + 1.9 \cdot 40 \cdot 15 / 60}{2.15} = 0.194 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (18) \]

- boring bar \( \varnothing \) 17.4:
  \[ a_{sc8} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{101.43 + 1.9 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 / 60}{1.5 \cdot 1} = 7.010 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (19) \]

- boring bar \( \varnothing \) 18:
  \[ a_{sc9} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{101.43 + 1.9 \cdot 10 \cdot 12 / 60}{1.5 \cdot 10} = 7.010 \text{ [€/hour]} \quad (20) \]
• drill $\varnothing$ 2.5:

$$a_{sc10} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_m \cdot r \cdot T \cdot r / 60}{3 \cdot 40} = \frac{0.725 + 1.9 \cdot 7 \cdot 60}{3 \cdot 40} = 0.079 \text{ [€/hour]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)

In the case of special devices and checking tools, the pay off expressed in €/hour, only means 5% of the cutting tools average pay off (which are fast consumables). In this situation, considering the data provided in table 1 and the formula (5), the pay off expenses is:

$$c_{a1} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{u_i}}{60} \cdot a_i = 0.278 \text{ [€/piece]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (22)

The indirect expenses of the manufacturing unit are [14, 15]:

$$c_{i1} = c_{s1} \cdot \frac{R_f}{100} = 0.751 \cdot \frac{80}{100} = 0.601 \text{ [€/piece]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (23)

Thus, the expenses independent of the lot result:

$$A_i = 3.953 + 0.751 + 0.278 + 0.601 = 5.583 \text{ [€/piece]}$$

The lot dependent expenses for the preparation and completion of manufacturing, as well as the management of preparing the lot launching, are:

$$B_i = \left(1 + \frac{R_g}{100}\right) \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{p_i}}{60} \cdot s_{r_i} \text{ [€/lot]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (24)

where:

- $R_g$ – general overhead of the organisation ($R_g = 120\%$);
- $T_{p_i}$ – the preparation – completion period for each operation $i$ of the technological flow, [min];
- $s_{r_i}$ – wages of the adjustment operator for operation $i$, [€/hour];
- $k$ – number of operations.

Under these conditions, considering the data in table 1, the following statement is $B_i = 14.52$ [€/lot].

The lot dependent expenses for the pay off the equipment, checking tools and devices, during the preparation – completion period are calculated with the rationship [16, 17]:

$$D_i = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{p_i}}{60} \cdot a_i \text{ [€/lot]}$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

where $a_i$ is the pay off ratio and it is calculated before; it can be concluded that $D_i = 2.55$ [€/lot].
Applying the equation (1), the manufacturing cost of the piston stage II in the manufacturing alternative on conventional machine tools is:

\[
C_1 = A_1 + \frac{B_1 + D_1}{n} = 5.583 + \frac{14.52 + 2.55}{n} = 5.583 + \frac{17.07}{n} \quad [\text{€/piece}] \quad (26)
\]

For the calculation of the most cost efficient lot, the relation is [11, 18]:

\[
n_0 = \frac{2N_j \cdot (B_1 + D_1)}{(\varepsilon_{m1} + A_1) \cdot \tau \cdot \varepsilon_n} \quad \text{[pieces/lot]} \quad (27)
\]

where:
- \( B_1, D_1, \ varepsilon_{m1} \) and \( A_1 \) were calculated before;
- \( N_j \) – the manufacturing volume of one year (\( N_j = 500,000 \) pieces);
- \( \varepsilon_n \) – constant value that depends on the economic efficiency required (loss expressed in EURO at one euro-gold fixed net current assets \( \varepsilon_n = 0.1...0.25 \));
- \( \tau \) – a constant value depending on the form of organization \( \alpha \) and the manufacturing rate \( r_j \), as follows [13]:

\[
\tau = \frac{\alpha}{r_j} \quad (28)
\]

The resulted production type for the item piston stage II is mass production, with tendency to big series. The form of organisation "in parallel"; is recommend thus \( \alpha = \max \{ T_{ui} \} = 5.92 \text{ min.} \)

Considering the similar organization form for the volume and production type:

\[
r_j = \frac{F_n}{N_j} = \frac{z \cdot k_s \cdot h \cdot 60}{N_j} = \frac{262 \cdot 3 \cdot 60}{500,000} = 0.754 \quad \text{[min/piece]} \quad (29)
\]

Then the constant value \( \tau = 7.851 \).

In this situation, if all the results are replaced in formula (27), the manufacturing lot adjusted \( n_0 = 1,000 \) [pieces/lot]. Therefore the manufacturing cost calculated with the relation (26) is \( C_1 = 5.60 \) [€/piece].

4. Calculation of the manufacturing cost for the item piston stage II on MAZAK Machining center with CNC

The calculation formula structure is maintained as in the case of conventional machine tools, only changing the index, to make the difference:
\[ C_2 = A_2 + \frac{B_2 + D_2}{n} \quad [\text{€/piece}] \] (30)

The parameters significance is similar to formula (1), but with different values.

Also, the formula structure for the calculation of the expenses independent of the lot is the same as in the case of the conventional machine tools, but with different values, except for the cost of material: \( c_{m2} = c_{m1} = 3.953 \) [€/piece].

\[ A_2 = c_{m2} + c_{x2} + c_{a2} + c_{i2} \quad [\text{€/piece}] \] (31)

Considering the calculation in the case of machining on the CNC equipment MAZAK, the semi-products are used after rough cutting on conventional equipment with \( T_u = 5.92 \text{ [min]}; \ T_{pi} = 25 \text{ [min]}. \)

In this case, also considering the rest of data presented in table 2:

\[ c_{x2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{u_i} \cdot s_{m_i}}{60} = 0.265 \quad [\text{€/piece}] \] (32)

To calculate the expenses with the pay off for equipment, checking tools and devices, the calculations of the pay off ratio, are as follows:

- **MAZAK machining center:**
  \[ a_{cp} = \frac{C_{MU}}{N_{an} \cdot z_c \cdot k_s \cdot h} = \frac{190860}{10 \cdot 252 \cdot 3.8} = 3.156 \quad [\text{€/hour}] \] (33)

- **removable plate cutter:**
  \[ a_{sc1} = \frac{C_{MU} + C_{pl}}{T \cdot n_c} = \frac{8.571 + 8.00}{2.5 \cdot 3} = 2.209 \quad [\text{€/hour}] \] (34)

- **piston segment groove cutter:**
  \[ a_{sc2} = \frac{C_{sc} + s_{m} \cdot r \cdot t_r / 60}{T \cdot r} = \frac{4.57 + 1.9 \cdot 15 \cdot 6 / 60}{2.15} = 0.247 \quad [\text{€/hour}] \] (35)

- drill \( \varnothing \ 2.5 \): \( c_{sc3} = 0.079 \) [€/hour]
- drill \( \varnothing \ 15.5 \): \( c_{sc4} = 0.194 \) [€/hour]
- boring bars: \( c_{sc5} = c_{sc6} = 7.01 \) [€/hour]

In this case, also considering the data presented in table 2 with the pay off for equipment, checking tools and devices:

\[ c_{a2} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{T_{u_i}}{60} \cdot a_i = 0.242 \quad [\text{€/piece}] \] (36)
The indirect expenses are provided in the ratio:

\[ c_{t2} = c_{s2} \cdot \frac{R_f}{100} = 0.265 \cdot \frac{80}{100} = 0.212 \ [\text{€/piece}] \]  

(37)

and also:

\[ A_2 = 3.953 + 0.265 + 0.242 + 0.212 = 4.672 \ [\text{€/piece}] \]

Lot dependent expenses for preparation and completion of manufacturing, as well as the administrative preparation of the lot launching is calculated similarly with the conventional alternative, but with the values that are indicated in table 2, resulting \( B_2 = 19.078 \ [\text{€/lot}] \).

Also the lot dependent expenses on the pay off for the equipment, checking tools and devices are calculated similarly with the conventional alternative, but using the values in table 2, resulting \( D_2 = 18.99 \ [\text{€/lot}] \).

For the calculation of the optimum cost efficiency, the similar procedure is applicable as in the case of conventional equipment, with the ratio under (27), but using the ante calculated values, to obtain the adjusted lot, \( n_0 = 2,000 \ [\text{pieces/lot}] \).

In this case the manufacturing cost of the piston stage II, on the CNC MAZAK equipment, is:

\[ C_2 = A_2 + \frac{B_2 + D_2}{n} = 4.672 + \frac{19.078 + 18.99}{2000} = 4.69 \ [\text{€/piece}] \]  

(38)

If the new investment funds are foreseen for both alternatives, that is for first alternative using conventional machine tools, and second alternative using MAZAK equipment, for the annual production of 500,000 [pieces/year] (as it is the case with the "Timpuri Noi" enterprise), significant savings result for second alternative using MAZAK equipment:

\[ E = N_j \cdot (C_1 - C_2) = 500,000 \cdot (5.60 - 4.69) = 455,000 \ [\text{€/year}] \]  

(39)

The calculated economies refer to the manufacturing of the piston stage II.

5. Determination of the indicators of the economic efficiency of investments

Taking into account the current market price \( P = 7.89 \ [\text{€/piece}] \) of the item piston stage II (at the exchange rate of 12/05/2011) and the fact that the annual investment will be on total expenditure and on interest bank credit, the following indicators of the economic efficiency of investments can be calculated:

- the annual gross profit, \( P_b \) (reinvested tax free);
- the investment rate of return, \( R_i \);
- the investment recovery period, \( T_r \).
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a) The first variant, working on conventional machine tools:

\[ P_{b1} = N_j \cdot (P - C_1) = 500,000 \cdot (7.89 - 5.60) = 1,145,000 \ [\text{€/year}] \] (40)

\[ R_{r1} = \frac{P_{b1}}{I_1} \cdot 100\% = \frac{P_{b1}}{1.12 \cdot N_j \cdot C_1} \cdot 100\% = 36.5\% \] (41)

\[ T_{r1} = \frac{I_1}{P_{b1}} = \frac{1.12 \cdot N_j \cdot C_1}{P_{b1}} = 2.74 \text{ years} \] (42)

b) The second variant, working on MAZAK machining center with CNC:

\[ P_{b2} = N_j \cdot (P - C_2) = 500,000 \cdot (7.89 - 4.69) = 1,600,000 \ [\text{€/year}] \] (43)

\[ R_{r2} = \frac{P_{b2}}{I_2} \cdot 100\% = \frac{P_{b2}}{1.12 \cdot N_j \cdot C_2} \cdot 100\% = 60.9\% \] (44)

\[ T_{r2} = \frac{I_2}{P_{b2}} = \frac{1.12 \cdot N_j \cdot C_2}{P_{b2}} = 1.64 \text{ years} \] (45)

The gross profit of the second variant is bigger with 455,000 [€] than the gross profit of the first variant. The investment rate of return is almost two times higher for the second variant compared to the first variant. The period of the investment recovery is very small for the second variant.

6. Conclusions

This paper provides the answer to the question: "What technologies and machine tools must be purchased to obtain the maximum economic efficiency of the invested funds?" With this in view, the authors have defined a detailed economic calculation of manufacturing costs for a piston from a motor set assembly in two comparative alternatives: the variant one on conventional machine tools and the second variant on CNC equipment of the type MAZAK machining center.

The calculations included in this article are based on all the elements affecting the manufacturing cost: material, labor, pay off on the equipment, checking tools and devices that are still in the legal pay off period, indirect expenses, fix and variable expenses, expenses for the preparation and completion of manufacturing, administrative preparation of lot launching into manufacturing. Finally, the main indicators of economic efficiency of investments for the two analyzed variants were determined.

The calculated values were obtained in a concrete machining instance of a piston at "Timpuri Noi" enterprise in Bucharest.
This is what makes this article important for both the scientific and practical aspects on a concrete existing case.
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