

SOME FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN EXTENDED b -METRIC SPACESWasfi Shatanawi¹, Kamaleldin Abodayeh², Aiman Mukheimer³

In this paper, we introduce a new class of functions denoted by Ψ_s . We utilize our new class to formulate and prove fixed point theorems in the setting of extended b -metric space, in sense of Kamran et al.

Keywords: Fixed point, b -metric spaces, extended b -metric spaces.

MSC2010: 47H09, 47H10.

1. Introduction

In 1922, Banach [1] proved a fundamental result in fixed point theory which is one of the important tools in the field of nonlinear analysis and its applications. Many authors extended Banach Theorem in different metric spaces; for example see [20]-[29]. In 1989, Bakhtin [2] generalized Banach's contraction principle. Actually, he introduced the concept of a b -metric space and proved some fixed point theorems for some contractive mappings in b -metric spaces. Later, in 1993, Czerwik [15] extended the results of b -metric spaces. In 2012, Samet [5] introduced the $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction on the Banach contraction principle. Recently, many research was conducted on b -metric space under different contraction conditions, [7]-[14]. After that many authors used $\alpha - \psi$ -contraction mapping on different metric spaces [16]-[18]. The notion of extended b -metric space has been introduced recently by Kamran et al [6]. In this paper, we generalize the results of Mehmet [19] and Mukheimer [4] by introducing the $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive mapping on some fixed point theorems defined on extended b -metric spaces.

Definition 1.1 ([3]). *Let X be a nonempty set and let $s \geq 1$ be a given real number. A function $d : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is called a b -metric if for all $x, y, z \in X$ the following conditions are satisfied:*

(i) $d(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(ii) $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$;

(iii) $d(x, z) \leq s[d(x, y) + d(y, z)]$.

The pair (X, d) is called a b -metric space.

Definition 1.2 ([6]). *Let X be a non-empty set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, \infty]$ be a mapping. We define the extended b -metric to be the function $d_\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ that satisfies the following properties:*

(1) $d_\theta(x, y) = 0$ if and only if $x = y$;

(2) $d_\theta(x, y) = d_\theta(y, x)$;

(3) $d_\theta(x, z) \leq \theta(x, z)[d_\theta(x, y) + d_\theta(y, z)]$.

The space (X, d_θ) is called an extended b -metric space.

¹Department of Mathematics and General Sciences, Prince Sultan University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia. & Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Hashemite University, Zarqa, Jordan. e-mail: swasfi@hu.edu.jo, wshatanawi@yahoo.com

²e-mail: kamal@psu.edu.sa

³e-mail: mukheimer@psu.edu.sa

Note that every b-metric space is an extended b-metric space by taking $\theta(x, y) = s \geq 1$ to be a constant function.

Example 1.1. Consider the set $X = \{2, 1, -1\}$, define the function θ on $X \times X$ to be the function $\theta(x, y) = |x| + |y|$. We define the function $d_\theta(x, y)$ as follows:

$d_\theta(2, 2) = d_\theta(1, 1) = d_\theta(-1, -1) = 0$, $d_\theta(1, 2) = 1/2 = d_\theta(2, 1)$, and $d_\theta(1, -1) = d_\theta(-1, 1) = d_\theta(2, -1) = d_\theta(-1, 2) = 1/3$. Then it is clear that $d_\theta(x, y)$ satisfies the first two conditions of Definition 1.2. We need to verify the last condition:

$$d_\theta(1, 2) = 1/2 \leq 3[1/3 + 1/3] = \theta(1, 2)[d_\theta(1, -1) + d_\theta(-1, 2)].$$

$$d_\theta(1, -1) = 1/3 \leq 2[1/2 + 1/3] = \theta(1, -1)[d_\theta(1, 2) + d_\theta(2, -1)].$$

$$d_\theta(-1, 2) = 1/3 \leq 3[1/3 + 1/2] = \theta(-1, 2)[d_\theta(-1, 1) + d_\theta(1, 2)].$$

Therefore, $d_\theta(x, y)$ satisfies the last condition of the definition and hence (X, d_θ) is an extended b-metric space.

Definition 1.3 ([6]). Let (X, d_θ) be an extended b-metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X is said to be convergent to $x \in X$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d_\theta(x_n, x) = 0$. Also, the sequence is said to be a Cauchy sequence if $\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) = 0$. If every Cauchy sequence is convergent, then we call the space (X, d_θ) complete extended b-metric space.

2. Main Results.

Let Ψ denoted to the set of all functions $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

- (1) ψ is decreasing,
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) < +\infty$ for all $t > 0$, where ψ^n is the n -th iterate of ψ .

Now, we recall the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1 ([5]). If $\psi \in \Psi$, then $\psi(t) < t$, for all $t \in [0, +\infty)$.

To facility our subsequent arguments, we introduce the following definition:

Definition 2.1. Let X be a set and $\theta : X \times X \rightarrow [1, +\infty)$ be a mapping. A function $\psi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be an extended comparison functions if ψ satisfies the following conditions:

- (1) ψ is decreasing.
- (2) $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x_m) < +\infty$, any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X , for all $t > 0$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$ where ψ^n is the n -th iterate of ψ .

The set of all extended comparison functions is denoted by Ψ_s .

Note that if $\psi \in \Psi_s$ then we have $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) < \infty$, since $\psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x_m) \geq \psi^n(t)$, for all $t > 0$. Hence, by Lemma 2.1, we have $\psi(t) < t$.

Also, note that the family Ψ_s is a non-empty set, as it can be shown in the following examples.

Example 2.1. (1) Consider the extended b-metric space (X, d_θ) that was defined in Example 1.1. Define the mapping $\psi(t) = \frac{kt}{4}$, where $k < 1$. Note that $\theta(x, y) \leq 4$. Then we have $\psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) \leq \frac{k^n t}{4^n} \cdot 4^n = k^n t$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) \leq \sum_{n=1}^\infty k^n t < \infty$.

- (2) Consider the extended b-metric space (X, d_θ) , where $X = [1, \infty)$ and $\theta(x, y) = 1 + \frac{1}{1 + \ln(x+y)}$. Define the mapping $\psi(t) = \frac{kt}{2}$. Note that $1 + \frac{1}{1 + \ln(x+y)} \leq 2$. So we have $\psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) \leq \frac{k^n t}{2^n} \cdot 2^n = k^n t$. Therefore, $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \psi^n(t) \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) < \infty$ and hence Ψ_s is a non-empty set.

Now we introduce a generalization of α - ψ -contractive mapping on extended b-metric spaces.

Definition 2.2. Let (X, d_θ) be an extended b -metric space and T be a self mapping on X . We say that T is an α - ψ -contractive mapping if there exist two functions $\psi \in \Psi_s$ and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y)d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X. \quad (1)$$

Also, T is said to be α -admissible if $\alpha(Tx, Ty) \geq 1$, for all $x, y \in X$ with $\alpha(x, y) \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.1. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α - ψ -contractive mapping for some $\psi \in \Psi_s$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:

- (1) T is α -admissible;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) T is continuous;

Then, T has a fixed point.

Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$. Define the sequence $x_n = Tx_{n-1}$. Note that if there exists n such that $x_{n+1} = x_n$, then we obtain a fixed point $x = x_n$. Assume that $x_{n+1} \neq x_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. This implies that $d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_n) > 0$. The second condition of the Theorem implies that $\alpha(Tx_0, Tx_1) = \alpha(x_1, x_2) \geq 1$. So by induction on n , we have $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now we apply the contractive condition 1.

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) &\leq \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) \\ &= \alpha(x_{n-1}, x_n)d_\theta(Tx_{n-1}, Tx_n) \\ &\leq \psi(d_\theta(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &\leq \psi(\alpha(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})d_\theta(x_{n-1}, x_n)) \\ &= \psi(\alpha(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})d_\theta(Tx_{n-2}, Tx_{n-1})) \\ &\leq \psi^2(d_\theta(x_{n-2}, x_{n-1})) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)). \end{aligned}$$

Now for any $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $m > n$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x_n, x_m) &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m) [d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)] \\ &= \theta(x_n, x_m)d_\theta(x_n, x_{n+1}) + \theta(x_n, x_m)d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)\psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) + \theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) [d_\theta(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) + d_\theta(x_{n+2}, x_m)] \\ &\leq \theta(x_n, x_m)\psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) + \theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)\psi^{n+1}(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \\ &\quad + \theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m)d_\theta(x_{n+2}, x_m) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \psi^n(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))\theta(x_n, x_m) + \psi^{n+1}(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \\ &\quad + \cdots + \psi^{m-1}(d_\theta(x_0, x_1))\theta(x_n, x_m)\theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) \cdots \theta(x_{m-1}, x_m) \\ &= \sum_{j=n}^{m-1} \psi^j(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{i=n}^j \theta(x_i, x_m) \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \psi^j(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{i=n}^j \theta(x_i, x_m) - \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \psi^j(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{i=n}^j \theta(x_i, x_m) \\ &= S_{m-1} - S_{n-1}, \end{aligned}$$

where $S_{m-1} = \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} \psi^j(d_\theta(x_0, x_1)) \prod_{i=n}^j \theta(x_i, x_m)$. Since $\psi \in \Psi_s$,

$$\lim_{n, m \rightarrow \infty} [S_{m-1} - S_{n-1}] = 0.$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a Cauchy sequence. The completeness of the space (X, d_θ) implies that the sequence is convergent to a point $x \in X$.

Now, we show that x is a fixed point for T .

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x, Tx) &\leq \theta(x, Tx) [d_\theta(x, x_n) + d_\theta(x_n, Tx)] \\ &\leq \theta(x, Tx) [0 + 0], \end{aligned}$$

since (x_n) converges to x and T is continuous. Therefore, T has a fixed point x .

Finally we show that the uniqueness of the fixed point. Suppose that T has two fixed points $u, v \in X$. The last assumption of the theorem implies that $\alpha(u, z) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(v, z) \geq 1$. Since T is α -admissible, we have $\alpha(T^n u, T^n z) = \alpha(u, T^n z) \geq 1$ and $\alpha(T^n v, T^n z) = \alpha(v, T^n z) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Using the α - ψ -contractive condition on T , we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(u, T^n z) &= d(Tu, T(T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\leq \alpha(u, T^{n-1}z) d(Tu, T(T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\leq \psi(d(u, T^{n-1}z)) \\ &\quad \vdots \\ &\leq \psi^n(d(u, z)), \end{aligned}$$

Since $\psi \in \Psi_s$, the sequence $\{\psi^n(d(u, z))\}$ converges to 0. Therefore, $T^n z$ converges to u . Similarly, we can show that $T^n z$ converges to v . The uniqueness of the limit implies that $u = v$. \square

Example 2.2. Let (X, d_θ) be the extended b-metric space that was defined in Example 1.1. Define the function $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\alpha(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (x, y) = (1, 1), \\ \frac{1}{20} & \text{if } (x, y) \neq (1, 1). \end{cases}$$

Define the self mapping T on $X = \{1, -1, 2\}$ by $T(1) = 1, T(-1) = 2, T(2) = 1$ and the function $\psi(t) = \frac{1}{8}t$.

We want to verify that T satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. It is clear that T is continuous and for $x_0 = 1$ we have $\alpha(1, T(1)) = \alpha(1, 1) = 1 \geq 1$. So T is α -admissible. Now we verify that T is α - ψ -contractive mapping. Note that $\alpha(x, y) = \alpha(y, x)$.

- $\alpha(x, x)d_\theta(Tx, Tx) = 0 \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, x)) = \psi(0) = 0$, for all $x \in X$,
- $\alpha(1, 2)d_\theta(T1, T2) = \frac{1}{20}d_\theta(1, 1) = 0 \leq \psi(d_\theta(1, 2)) = \psi(1/2) = 1/16$,
- $\alpha(1, -1)d_\theta(T1, T-1) = (1/20)d_\theta(1, 2) = 1/40 \leq \psi(d_\theta(1, -1)) = \psi(1/3) = 1/24$,
- $\alpha(2, -1)d_\theta(T2, T-1) = (1/20)d_\theta(1, 2) = 1/40 \leq \psi(d_\theta(2, -1)) = \psi(1/3) = 1/24$

Therefore, T satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1 and hence it has a unique fixed point $x = 1$.

Corollary 2.1. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b-metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) T is continuous;
- (2) there exists $\psi \in \Psi_s$ such that $d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y))$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Proof. Define the function $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ via $\alpha(x, y) = 1$. Note that T is α -admissible. Moreover, T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1. So T has a unique fixed point. \square

Corollary 2.2. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) T is continuous;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ such that for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $x \in X$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^\infty k^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) < +\infty$;
- (4) for any $x, y \in X$, T satisfies $\alpha(x, y)d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq kd_\theta(x, y)$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

Proof. Define $\psi : [0, +\infty) \times [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ by $\psi(t) = kt$. Note that T satisfies all the conditions of Theorem 2.1. So T has a unique fixed point. \square

Corollary 2.3. Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) T is continuous;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ be such that for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $x \in X$; $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{k}$;
- (4) for any $x, y \in X$, T satisfies $\alpha(x, y)d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq kd_\theta(x, y)$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

Proof. Consider a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X and $x \in X$ be such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(x_i, x) < \frac{1}{k}$. Note that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\prod_{i=1}^{n+1} \theta(x_i, x_m) k^{n+1}}{\prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x_m) k^n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \theta(x_{n+1}, x_m) k < 1.$$

Thus, by the ratio test we conclude that for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $x \in X$ we have $\sum_{n=1}^\infty k^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) < +\infty$. Moreover, note that T satisfies the conditions in Corollary 2.2. Therefore T has a unique fixed point. \square

The following result can be obtained from Theorem 2.1, Corollary 2.1 and Corollary 2.2 by considering the constant mapping $\theta(x, y) = s \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.4. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and T be a self mapping on X that satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.1. Then T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.5. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) T is continuous;
- (2) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.6. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) T is continuous;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exist $k \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$, such that $\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

In the following result we can replace the continuity of the mapping T with another condition.

Theorem 2.2. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete, extended b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be an α - ψ -contractive mapping for some $\psi \in \Psi_s$. Suppose that the following conditions hold:*

- (1) T is α -admissible;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n .

Then, T has a fixed point.

Proof. In proving the result, we follow the same steps as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 to construct a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that converges to a point $x \in X$. The constructed sequence has the property $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. The last assumption of the result implies that $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$. We finally show that x is a fixed point for T . From the triangle inequality, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} d_\theta(x, Tx) &\leq \theta(x, Tx) [d_\theta(x, x_{n+1}) + d_\theta(x_{n+1}, Tx)] \\ &= \theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(x, x_{n+1}) + \theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(x_{n+1}, Tx). \end{aligned}$$

Note that the first term of the inequality, $\theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(x, x_{n+1})$, converges to 0, since $\{x_n\}$ converges to 0. Also, the second term will be

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(x_{n+1}, Tx) &\leq \theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(Tx_n, Tx) \alpha(x_n, x) \\ &\leq \theta(x, Tx) \psi(d_\theta(x_n, x)) \\ &\leq \theta(x, Tx) d_\theta(x_n, x), \end{aligned}$$

which converges to 0. Therefore, $d_\theta(x, Tx) \leq 0$ and hence x is a fixed point for T . \square

Remark 2.1. The uniqueness of the fixed point in Theorem 2.2 can be proved considering the fourth condition of Theorem 2.1. The uniqueness proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

From Theorem 2.2 we can easily prove the following result by taking $\alpha(x, y) = 1$.

Corollary 2.7. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping. If there exists $\psi \in \Psi_s$ such that $d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d_\theta(x, y))$ holds for all $x, y \in X$, then T has a unique fixed point.*

Corollary 2.8. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n ;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ be such that for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ in X and $x \in X$, we have $\sum_{n=1}^\infty k^n \prod_{i=1}^n \theta(x_i, x) < +\infty$;
- (4) for any $x, y \in X$, T satisfies $\alpha(x, y) d_\theta(Tx, Ty) \leq k d_\theta(x, y)$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

Corollary 2.9. *Let (X, d_θ) be a complete extended b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:*

- (1) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n ;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exists $k \in [0, 1)$ be such that for any sequence $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ in X and $x \in X$;
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \theta(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{k}$;
- (4) for any $x, y \in X$, T satisfies $\alpha(x, y)d_{\theta}(Tx, Ty) \leq kd_{\theta}(x, y)$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

The following result can be obtained from Theorem 2.2, Corollary 2.7 and Corollary 2.8 by considering the constant mapping $\theta(x, y) = s \geq 1$.

Corollary 2.10. Let (X, d) be a b -metric space and T be a self mapping on X that satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.2. Then T has a fixed point.

Corollary 2.11. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n ;
- (2) there exists $\psi \in \Psi$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \psi(d(x, y))$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, T has a unique fixed point.

Corollary 2.12. Let (X, d) be a complete b -metric space and $\alpha : X \times X \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ be an α -admissible function. Let $T : X \rightarrow X$ be a mapping satisfying the following conditions:

- (1) If $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence in X such that $\alpha(x_n, x_{n+1}) \geq 1$ and $x_n \rightarrow x$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\alpha(x_n, x) \geq 1$ for all n ;
- (2) there exists $x_0 \in X$ such that $\alpha(x_0, Tx_0) \geq 1$;
- (3) there exist $k \in [0, \frac{1}{s})$, such that $\alpha(x, y)d(Tx, Ty) \leq kd(x, y)$ holds for all $x, y \in X$.

Then, T has a fixed point. Moreover, suppose that there exists $z \in X$ such that $\alpha(x, z) \geq 1$ for any $x \in X$ with $Tx = x$. Then the fixed point is unique.

Acknowledgment. We would like to express our sincere thanks for the reviewer for his valuable comments on this paper that made this paper complete and significant. Also, the authors would like to thank Prince Sultan University for funding this work through research group Nonlinear Analysis Methods in Applied Mathematics (NAMAM) group number RG-DES-2017-01-17.

REFERENCES

- [1] *S. Banach*, Sur les operations dans les ensembles et leur application aux equation sitegrales, *Fundam. Math.*, **3**(1922) 133–181.
- [2] *A. Bakhtin*, The contraction mapping principle in almost metric spaces, *Funct. Anal., Gos. Ped. Inst. Unianowsk*, **30**, (1989), 26–37.
- [3] *H. Aydi, M. Bota, E. Karapinar, S. Mitrovic*, A fixed point theorem for set valued quasi-contractions in b -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2012) doi:10.1186/1687-1812-2012-88.
- [4] *A. Mukheimer*, α - ψ -contractive mappings on b -metric space, *J. Comp. Anal. Appl.*, **18**(2015), No. 4, 636 – 644.
- [5] *B. Samet, C. Vetro, P. Vetro*, Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contactive type mappings, *Nonlinear Anal.*, **75**(2012), 2154-2165.
- [6] *T. Kamran, M. Samreen, and Q. UL Ain*, A generalization of b -metric space and some fixed point theorems, *Mathematics*, **5**, 19 (2017), DOI:10.3390/math5020019.

- [7] *E. Ameer, M. Arshad and W. Shatanawi*, Common fixed point results for generalized α_* – ψ –contraction multivalued mappings in b –metric spaces, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, DOI 10.1007/s11784-017-0477-2
- [8] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, M.U. Ali, Q. Kiran*, Feng and Liu type F-contraction in b -metric spaces with application to integral equations, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), No. 5, 18–27.
- [9] *M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, M. Postolache*, Solution of Volterra integral inclusion in b -metric spaces via new fixed point theorem, *Nonlinear Anal. Modelling Control*, **22**(2017), No. 1, 17-30.
- [10] *H. Alsamir, M. Salmi, MD. Noorani, W. Shatanawi F. Shaddad*, Generalized Berinde-type $(\eta, \xi, \vartheta, \theta)$ contractive mapping in b -metric spaces with an application, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), No. 6 , 1–12.
- [11] *W. Shatanawi*, Fixed and Common Fixed Point for Mapping Satisfying Some Nonlinear Contraction in b -metric Spaces, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), No. 4 , 1–12.
- [12] *A. Mukheimer*, $\alpha - \psi - \phi$ -contractive mappings in ordered partial b -metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **7**(2014), No. 3, 168–179.
- [13] *M.S. Khan, Y. Singh, G. Maniu, M. Postolache*, On generalized convex contractions of type-2 in b -metric and 2-metric spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **10**, No. 6, (2017) 2902–2913.
- [14] *W. Shatanawi, A. Pitea, R. Lazovic*, Contraction conditions using comparison functions on b -metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory and Appl.*, (2014), 2014:135.
- [15] *S. Czerwik*, Contraction mappings in b -metric spaces, *Acta Math. Inform. Univ. Ostrav.*, (1993), **1**, 5–11.
- [16] *T. Abdeljawad, M. Keeler*, $\alpha - \psi$ -contractive fixed and common fixed point theorems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2013), 2013:19.
- [17] *E. Karapinar, R. Agarwal*, A note on coupled fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive type mappings in partially ordered metric spaces, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2013), 2013:216.
- [18] *J. Asl, S. Rezapour, N. Shahzad*, On fixed points of α - ψ -contractive multifunctions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, (2012), 2012:212.
- [19] *K. Mehmet, H. Kiziltunc*, On some well-known fixed point theorems in b -metric spaces, *Turk. J. Anal. Number Theory*, **1**(2013), No. 1, 13–16.
- [20] *N. Mlaiki, A. Mukheimer, Y. Rohen, N. Souayah, and T. Abdeljawad*, Fixed point theorems for α - ψ -contractive mapping in S_b -metric spaces, *J. Math. Anal.*, **8**(2017), No. 5 , 40–46.
- [21] *B.S. Choudhury, N. Metiya, M. Postolache*, A generalized weak contraction principle with applications to coupled coincidence point problems, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**, Art. No. 152 (2013).
- [22] *S. Chandok, M. Postolache*, Fixed point theorem for weakly Chatterjea-type cyclic contractions, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2013**, Art. No. 28 (2013).
- [23] *A. Mukheimer*, Common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings in complex valued b -metric spaces, *Adv. Fixed Point Theory*, **4**(2014), No. 3, 344–354.
- [24] *A. Mukheimer*, Some common fixed point theorems in complex valued b -metric spaces, *Hindawi Publishing Corporation, Sci. World J.*, **2014**, Art. ID 587825, (2014).
- [25] *M.A. Miandaragh, M. Postolache, S. Rezapour*, Some approximate fixed point results for generalized α -contractive mappings, *U. Politeh Buch. Ser. A*, **75**, No. 2, (2013) 3–10.
- [26] *M.U. Ali, T. Kamran, M. Postolache*, Fixed point theorems for multivalued G -contractions in Hausdorff b -Gauge spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **8**(2015), No. 5, 847–855.
- [27] *W. Shatanawi, M. Postolache*, Common fixed point theorems for dominating and weak annihilator mappings in ordered metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* **2013**, Art. No. 271 (2013).
- [28] *T. Kamran, M. Postolache, Fahimuddin, M.U. Ali*, Fixed point theorems on generalized metric space endowed with graph, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9**(2016), 4277–4285.
- [29] *K. Abodayeh, N. Mlaiki, T. Abdeljawad, W. Shatanawi*, Relations between partial metric spaces and M -metric, Caristi-Kirk theorem in M -metric type spaces, *J. Math. Anal.*, **7**(2016), No. 3, 1–12.