

## ON HOMOLOGICAL NOTIONS OF BANACH ALGEBRAS RELATED TO A CHARACTER

A. Sahami<sup>1</sup>

*In this paper, we continue our work in [16]. We show that  $L^1(G, w)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact, where  $\phi_0$  is the augmentation character. We introduce the notions of character Johnson amenability and character Johnson contractibility for Banach algebras. We show that  $\ell^1(S)$  is pseudo-amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-amenable, provided that  $S$  is a uniformly locally finite band semigroup. We give some conditions whether  $\phi$ -biprojectivity ( $\phi$ -biflatness) of  $\ell^1(S)$  implies the finiteness (amenability) of  $S$ , respectively.*

**Keywords:** Beurling algebras, semigroup algebras,  $\phi$ -biprojective,  $\phi$ -contractible, amenability.

**MSC2010:** Primary 43A07, 43A20, Secondary 46H05, 46M10.

### 1. Introduction

Helemskii studied Banach algebras via the Banach homology theory. In order to his investigation, he defined biflat and biprojective Banach algebras. Indeed,  $A$  is called biflat (biprojective), if there exists a bounded  $A$ -bimodule morphism  $\rho : A \rightarrow (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  ( $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes_p A$ ) such that  $\pi^{**} \circ \rho$  is the canonical embedding of  $A$  into  $A^{**}$  ( $\rho$  is a right inverse for  $\pi$ ), respectively, see [15]. He showed that  $L^1(G)$  is a biflat Banach algebra if and only if  $G$  is amenable and also  $L^1(G)$  is biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact, see [8].

Recently, Kanuith et al. in [12] have been used this idea and defined a new notion of amenability for Banach algebra depended on a character of that Banach algebra. Indeed, for a character  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ , they defined the new notion of left  $\phi$ -amenability, that is,  $A$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable Banach algebra if  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$ , for every Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ , provided that  $a \cdot x = \phi(a)x$ , for all  $a \in A$  and  $x \in X$ . They also showed that the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is  $\phi$ -amenable for each  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Hu *et al.* in [11] used the idea of virtual diagonal of Banach algebras and defined a parallel notion to left  $\phi$ -amenability and called it left  $\phi$ -contractibility. This theory has been under more investigations, Sangani Monfared in [18] defined the concept of character amenability which used every character of a Banach algebra to studying its properties. He showed that  $L^1(G)$  is character amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable. Recently Nasr-Isfahani *et al.* has been investigated the notions of left  $\phi$ -amenability and left  $\phi$ -contractibility in the Banach homology terms, see [14].

Motivated by these considerations, in order to find biflatness and biprojectivity related to a character the author with A. Pourabbas defined the notions of  $\phi$ -biflatness,  $\phi$ -biprojectivity and  $\phi$ -Johnson amenability for Banach algebras, see [16]. They showed that for a locally compact group  $G$ ,  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi$ -biflat if and only if  $G$  is amenable. Also they showed that the Fourier algebra  $A(G)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective if and only if  $G$  is discrete. For a discrete group  $G$ , they showed that  $\ell^1(G)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective if and only if  $G$  is finite.

---

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences Ilam University P.O. Box 69315-516 Ilam, Iran.  
E-mail: a.sahami@ilam.ac.ir

The content of this paper is as follows, after recalling some definitions and background notations. We extend [16, Lemma 4.2] to Beurling algebras. We show that  $L^1(G, w)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact, where  $\phi_0$  is the augmentation character.) We introduce character Johnson amenability and character Johnson contractibility for Banach algebras. We show that  $\ell^1(S)$  is pseudo-amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-amenable, provided that  $S$  is a uniformly locally finite band semigroup. We give some conditions whether  $\phi$ -biprojectivity ( $\phi$ -biflatness) of  $\ell^1(S)$  implies the finiteness (amenability) of  $S$ , respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall that if  $X$  is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule, then with the following actions  $X^*$  is also a Banach  $A$ -bimodule

$$(a \cdot f)(x) = f(x \cdot a), \quad (f \cdot a)(x) = f(a \cdot x) \quad (a \in A, x \in X, f \in X^*).$$

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be Banach algebras. The projective tensor product of  $A$  and  $B$  is denoted by  $A \otimes_p B$  and with the following multiplication is a Banach algebra

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2 \quad (a_1, a_2 \in A, \quad b_1, b_2 \in B).$$

The Banach algebra  $A \otimes_p A$  with the following actions is a Banach  $A$ -bimodule

$$a \cdot (b \otimes c) = ab \otimes c, \quad (b \otimes c) \cdot a = b \otimes ca \quad (a, b, c \in A).$$

Throughout, the character space of  $A$  is denoted by  $\Delta(A)$ . Let  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Then  $\phi$  has a unique extension to  $A^{**}$  denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}$  and defined by  $\tilde{\phi}(F) = F(\phi)$  for every  $F \in A^{**}$ . Clearly this extension remains to be a character on  $A^{**}$ . We denote  $\pi_A : A \otimes_p A \rightarrow A$  for the product morphism which specified by  $\pi_A(a \otimes b) = ab$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $X$  be a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. The  $n^{\text{th}}$  cohomology group of  $A$  with coefficients in  $X$  is denoted by  $\mathcal{H}^n(A, X)$ . In fact  $A$  is an amenable Banach algebra, if  $\mathcal{H}^1(A, X^*) = \{0\}$  for every Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ .

The Banach algebra  $A$  is called  $\phi$ -biprojective ( $\phi$ -biflat), if there exists a bounded  $A$ -bimodule morphism  $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes_p A$  ( $\rho : A \rightarrow (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$ ) such that

$$\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a) \quad (\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_A^{**} \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)),$$

respectively for every  $a \in A$ . A Banach algebra  $A$  is called  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable ( $\phi$ -Johnson contractible) if there exists  $m \in (A \otimes_p A)^{**}$  ( $m \in A \otimes_p A$ ) such that

$$a \cdot m = m \cdot a, \quad \tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_A^{**}(m) = 1, \quad (\phi \circ \pi_A(m) = 1) \quad (a \in A),$$

respectively for every  $a \in A$ . For more details, we refer the readers to [16].

Let  $G$  be a locally compact group. A continuous map  $w : G \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$  is called a weight function, if  $w(e) = 1$  and for every  $x$  and  $y$  in  $G$ ,  $w(xy) \leq w(x)w(y)$  and  $w(x) \geq 1$ . The Banach algebra of all measurable functions  $f$  from  $G$  into  $\mathbb{C}$  with  $\|f\|_w = \int |f(x)|w(x)dx < \infty$  and the convolution product is denoted by  $L^1(G, w)$ . The Banach algebra of all complex-valued, regular and Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $G$  such that  $\|\mu\|_w = \int_G w(x)d|\mu|(x) < \infty$  is denoted by  $M(G, w)$ . We write  $M(G)$ , whenever  $w = 1$ . The map  $\phi_0 : L^1(G, w) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  which specified by

$$\phi_0(f) = \int_G f(x)dx$$

is called augmentation character, for more details see [3].

We recall that  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, if for each  $s \in S$  there exists a unique element  $s^* \in S$  such that  $ss^*s = s$  and  $s^*s^*s^* = s^*$  [10]. The set of idempotents of a semigroup  $S$  is denoted by  $E(S)$ . There exists a partial order on  $E(S)$ , indeed

$$s \leq t \iff s = st = ts \quad (s, t \in E(S)).$$

If  $S$  is an inverse semigroup, then there exists a partial order on  $S$  which is coincide with the partial order on  $E(S)$ . Indeed

$$s \leq t \iff s = ss^*t \quad (s, t \in S).$$

For the partially ordered set  $(S, \leq)$ , we denote  $[x] = \{y \in S \mid y \leq x\}$ . The set  $S$  is called locally finite (uniformly locally finite) if for every  $x \in S$ , we have  $|[x]| < \infty$  ( $\sup\{|[x]| \mid x \in S\} < \infty$ ), respectively.

### 3. $\phi$ -biprojectivity of Beurling algebras

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and let  $L$  be a closed ideal of  $A$ . We say that  $L$  is left essential as a Banach  $A$ -bimodule, if  $\overline{AL} = L$ .

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Suppose that  $L \subseteq \ker \phi$  is a closed ideal of  $A$ . Clearly  $\phi$  induces a character  $\bar{\phi}$  on  $\frac{A}{L}$ , which is defined by  $\bar{\phi}(x + L) = \phi(x)$  for every  $x \in A$ .

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ . Suppose that  $A$  is a  $\phi$ -biprojective Banach algebra and  $L \subseteq \ker \phi$  is a closed ideal of  $A$  which is left essential as a Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Then  $\frac{A}{L}$  is  $\bar{\phi}$ -biprojective.*

*Proof.* Since  $A$  is a  $\phi$ -biprojective Banach algebra, there exists a bounded  $A$ -bimodule morphism  $\rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes_p A$  such that  $\phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$  for every  $a \in A$ . Let  $q : A \rightarrow \frac{A}{L}$  be the quotient map. Define  $\rho_1 = id \otimes q \circ \rho : A \rightarrow A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L}$ . Since  $L$  is an essential closed ideal of  $A$ , for every  $l \in L$ , we have

$$\rho_1(l) = id \otimes q \circ \rho(l) = id \otimes q \circ \rho(al') = id \otimes q(\rho(a) \cdot l') = 0,$$

where  $l = al'$  for some  $a \in A$  and  $l' \in L$ . Hence there exists an induced map (which still denoted by  $\rho_1$ )  $\rho_1 : \frac{A}{L} \rightarrow A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L}$ .

Now define  $\rho_2 = q \otimes id_{\frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho_1 : \frac{A}{L} \rightarrow \frac{A}{L} \otimes_p \frac{A}{L}$ . We will show that  $\rho_2$  is a bounded  $\frac{A}{L}$ -bimodule morphism and  $\bar{\phi} \circ \pi_{\frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho_2(x + L) = \bar{\phi}(x + L)$ . Suppose that  $x \in A$  and  $\rho(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x \otimes b_i^x$  for some sequences  $(a_i^x)_i$  and  $(b_i^x)_i$  in  $A$ . Then  $\rho_2(x + L) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x + L \otimes b_i^x + L$ , so  $\pi_{\frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho_2(x + L) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x b_i^x + L$ , therefore

$$\bar{\phi}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x b_i^x + L\right) = \phi\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x b_i^x\right) = \phi \circ \pi_A \circ \rho(x) = \phi(x) = \bar{\phi}(x + L).$$

Now suppose that  $a + L$  is an arbitrary element of  $\frac{A}{L}$ . Then  $a + L \cdot \rho_2(x + L) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} aa_i^x + L \otimes b_i^x + L$ . Since  $\rho$  is a left  $A$ -module morphism,  $\rho_1$  is a left  $A$ -module morphism. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_2(ax + L) &= q \otimes id_{\frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho_1(ax + L) = q \otimes id_{\frac{A}{L}}(a \cdot \rho_1(x + L)) \\ &= q \otimes id_{\frac{A}{L}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} aa_i^x \otimes b_i^x + L\right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} aa_i^x + L \otimes b_i^x + L \\ &= a + L \cdot \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i^x + L \otimes b_i^x + L \\ &= a + L \cdot \rho_2(x + L). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly one can show that  $\rho_2$  is a right  $\frac{A}{L}$ -module morphism and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We recall that  $m \in A \otimes_p A$  is a  $\phi$ -Johnson contraction for  $A$ , if  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a$  and  $\phi \circ \pi_A(m) = 1$ , where  $a \in A$ , for more details the reader referred to [16].

Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ .  $A$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if there exists an element  $m$  in  $A$  such that  $am = \phi(a)m$  and  $\phi(m) = 1$ , see [11] and [14]. Note that the left  $\phi$ -contractibility of a Banach algebra  $A$  is equivalent to property that; the Banach algebra  $\mathbb{C}$  is a projective left Banach  $A$ -module with the following left action,  $a \cdot z = \phi(a)z$  for every  $a \in A$  and  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  [14, Theorem 4.3].

Compare the following Theorem with [8, Theorem 5.13].

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group, let  $\omega$  be a weight on  $G$  and let  $\phi_0$  be the augmentation character on  $L^1(G, \omega)$ . Then the following are equivalent*

- (i)  $L^1(G, \omega)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective;
- (ii)  $L^1(G, \omega)$  is left  $\phi_0$ -contractible;
- (iii)  $G$  is compact.

*Proof.* (i) $\Rightarrow$ (ii) Set  $A = L^1(G, \omega)$  and  $L = \ker \phi_0$ . Let  $A$  be  $\phi_0$ -biprojective. Since  $A$  has a bounded approximate identity,  $L$  becomes a left essential Banach  $A$ -bimodule. Thus by the proof of previous Proposition there exists a bounded left  $A$ -module morphism

$$\rho_1 : \frac{A}{L} \rightarrow A \otimes_p \frac{A}{L}.$$

Since  $\frac{A}{L} \cong \mathbb{C}$ , hence we have  $\rho_1 : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow A \otimes_p \mathbb{C} \cong A$  such that  $\overline{\phi_0} \circ \pi_{A, \frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho_1(c) = \overline{\phi_0}(c)$ , where  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ . Set  $m = \rho(1) \in A$ . Then  $\phi_0(m) = \phi_0(\rho(1)) = \overline{\phi_0} \circ \pi_{A, \frac{A}{L}} \circ \rho(1) = 1$  and  $a \cdot \rho(1) = \rho(a \cdot 1) = \phi_0(a)\rho(1)$ , where  $a \in A$ . Hence  $A$  is left  $\phi_0$ -contractible.

(ii) $\Rightarrow$ (iii) Suppose that  $A$  is a left  $\phi_0$ -contractible Banach algebra. Then there exists an element  $m \in A$  such that  $am = \phi_0(a)m$  and  $\phi_0(m) = 1$ , where  $a \in A$ . Let  $g \in G$  be an arbitrary element and  $f \in A \setminus L$ . Hence

$$\phi_0(f)\delta_g * m = \delta_g * (f * m) = (\delta_g * f) * m = \phi_0(\delta_g * f)m = \phi_0(f)m.$$

Hence  $m$  is constant and belongs to  $A$ , which implies that  $\int_G w(x)dx < \infty$ . Therefore

$$|G| = \int_G w(e)dx < \infty,$$

so  $G$  is a compact group.

(iii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) Let  $G$  be a compact group and consider a normalized left Haar measure. Then  $m = 1 \otimes 1$  in  $A \otimes_p A$  satisfies  $a \cdot m = m \cdot a = \phi_0(a)m$  and  $\phi_0 \circ \pi_A(m) = 1$ , where  $a \in A$ . Thus  $A$  is  $\phi_0$ -Johnson contractible. Hence [16, Lemma 3.2] gives  $\phi_0$ -biprojectivity of  $A$ .  $\square$

It is easy to see that every biprojective Banach algebra  $A$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective for every  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ , but the converse is not always true. On the other hand [15, Theorem 5.2.30] asserts that, if  $A$  is biprojective, then for every Banach  $A$ -bimodule  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^n(A, X) = 0$ , where  $n \geq 3$ . This question maybe asked "what will happen, if  $A$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective?" at the following corollary we answer this question for the group algebras.

**Corollary 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a locally compact group.*

- (i) *If  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective, then for every Banach  $L^1(G)$ -bimodule  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{H}^n(L^1(G), X) = 0$ , where  $n \geq 3$ .*
- (ii)  *$L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective if and only if  $\mathcal{H}^1(L^1(G), X) = 0$ , for every Banach  $L^1(G)$ -bimodule  $X$  with  $x \cdot a = \phi_0(a)x$  such that  $a \in L^1(G)$  and  $x \in X$ .*

*Proof.* (i) Let  $L^1(G)$  be  $\phi_0$ -biprojective. Then by Theorem 3.1  $G$  is compact and [15] shows that  $L^1(G)$  is biprojective for every compact group  $G$ . Now using [15, Theorem 5.2.30] one can get the results.

(ii) holds by Theorem 3.1.  $\square$

For a Banach algebra  $A$ ,  $dbA$  denoted for the minimum values of  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that  $A^\#$  has a projective resolution of length  $n$ , see [2, page 294]. Helemskii showed that for a biprojective Banach algebra  $A$ ,  $dbA \leq 2$ , see [2, Theorem 2.8.56]. Also it is well-known that  $L^1(G)$  is biprojective if and only if  $G$  is compact. Combine these facts and the previous corollary one can see that if  $L^1(G)$  is  $\phi_0$ -biprojective, then  $dbL^1(G) \leq 2$ .

#### 4. $\phi$ -homological properties of semigroup algebras

We remind that  $S$  is a left (right) amenable semigroup if there exists an element  $m \in \ell^1(S)^{**}$  such that

$$s \cdot m = m \quad (m \cdot s = m), \quad \|m\| = m(\phi) = 1 \quad (s \in S),$$

where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character of  $\ell^1(S)$ , respectively. The semigroup  $S$  is called amenable, if it is both left and right amenable.

We recall that  $S$  is a band semigroup, if  $S = E(S)$ . A band semigroup  $S$  is called rectangular band if  $xyx = x$ , for every  $x, y \in S$ . In this case there exists an equivalence relation on  $S$ , in fact

$$a\mathcal{R}b \iff S^1 a S^1 = S^1 b S^1, \quad (a, b \in S),$$

where  $S^1 = S \cup \{1\}$  [10]. Let  $A$  be a Banach algebra and  $\Lambda$  be a semilattice. Suppose that  $\{A_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$  is a collection of closed subalgebra of  $A$ . If  $A$  is a  $\ell^1$ -direct sum of  $A_\lambda$  as a Banach space and  $A_{\lambda_1} A_{\lambda_2} \subseteq A_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$ , then  $A$  is called  $\ell^1$ -graded of  $A_\lambda$ 's and denoted by  $\bigoplus_\lambda^{\ell^1} A_\lambda$ .

We say that  $A$  is character-Johnson amenable (character-Johnson contractible), if for every  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ ,  $A$  is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable ( $\phi$ -Johnson contractible), respectively.

**Theorem 4.1.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a band semigroup. Let  $\ell^1(S)$  be character Johnson-amenable. Then  $S$  is a semilattice, so is amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a band semigroup. Then by [10, Theorem 4.4.1]  $S = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda$ , where  $S_\lambda$  is a rectangular band semigroup for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Since  $S_{\lambda_1} S_{\lambda_2} \subseteq S_{\lambda_1 \lambda_2}$ , we have  $\ell^1(S) = \bigoplus_\lambda^{\ell^1} \ell^1(S_\lambda)$ , here the index set  $\Lambda$  is a semilattice.

Set  $I = \bigoplus_{\lambda \leq \lambda_0}^{\ell^1} \ell^1(S_\lambda)$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in \Lambda$  is fixed. One can easily see that  $I$  is a closed ideal of  $\ell^1(S)$ . Since  $\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0})$  is a homomorphic image of  $I$ . For every  $\phi \in \Delta(\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0}))$  we take  $\phi \circ \eta$  as a character on  $I$ , which we denote it by  $\phi_I$ , where  $\eta : I \rightarrow \ell^1(S_{\lambda_0})$  is a homomorphism with a dense range. It is easy to see that  $\phi_I$  can be extend to  $\ell^1(S)$  which is denoted by  $\phi_S$ .

Moreover, there exists an isomorphism between  $S_{\lambda_0}$  and  $L \times R$ , where  $L$  and  $R$  are denoted for a left-zero semigroup and a right-zero semigroup, respectively [10, Theorem 1.1.3]. Also we have

$$\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0}) \cong \ell^1(L \times R) \cong \ell^1(L) \otimes_p \ell^1(R).$$

Take  $\phi = \phi_0 \otimes \sigma_0 \in \Delta(\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0}))$ , where  $\phi_0$  and  $\sigma_0$  are the augmentation characters on  $\ell^1(L)$  and  $\ell^1(R)$ , respectively. Consider  $\phi_I$  and  $\phi_S$  corresponding to  $\phi$  as before. Since  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-amenable, by [16, Proposition 2.2]  $\ell^1(S)$  is left  $\phi_S$ -amenable and right  $\phi_S$ -amenable. Since  $\phi_S|_{\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0})} \neq 0$ , we have  $\phi_I \neq 0$ , so by [12, Lemma 3.1]  $I$  is left  $\phi_I$ -amenable and right  $\phi_I$ -amenable. But, since  $\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0})$  is a homomorphic image of  $I$ , by [12, Proposition 3.5]  $\ell^1(S_{\lambda_0})$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable and right  $\phi$ -amenable. Hence by [12, Theorem 3.3]  $\ell^1(L)$  is left  $\phi_0$ -amenable and  $\ell^1(R)$  is right  $\sigma_0$ -amenable. So [12, Theorem 1.4] shows that there exists a net  $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $\ell^1(L)$  such that

$$am_\alpha - \phi_0(a)m_\alpha \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0, \quad \phi(m_\alpha) = 1. \tag{1}$$

Replace  $a_1 = \delta_{s_1}$  and  $a_2 = \delta_{s_2}$  in (1) instead of  $a$ , respectively for every  $s_1, s_2 \in L$ . One can see that  $m_\alpha \rightarrow \delta_{s_1}$  and  $m_\alpha \rightarrow \delta_{s_2}$ , which implies that  $L$  and similarly  $R$  are singleton, then

$S_{\lambda_0}$  is singleton and therefore with the same argument we can show that  $S_\lambda$  is singleton for every  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ . Hence  $S = \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} S_\lambda$  is isomorphic to  $\Lambda$ . Since every semilattice is commutative,  $S$  is amenable and the proof is complete.  $\square$

We recall that  $A$  is a pseudo-amenable Banach algebra, if there exists a (not necessarily bounded) net  $(m_\alpha)_\alpha$  in  $A \otimes_p A$  such that  $a \cdot m_\alpha - m_\alpha \cdot a \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} 0$  and  $\pi_A(m_\alpha)a \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} a$ , for every  $a \in A$ , see [7].

Using [4, Corollary 3.5] and previous Theorem, we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be a uniformly locally finite band semigroup. Then  $\ell^1(S)$  is pseudo-amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-amenable.*

Note that in the general case, the pseudo-amenable is not equivalent with the character Johnson-amenable. To see this we give the following example.

**Example 4.1.** *Suppose that  $G$  is a compact infinite group. Then by [7, Proposition 4.2]  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is not pseudo-amenable. The set of all continuous character  $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  is denoted by  $\widehat{G}$ . It is well-known that every character  $\phi \in \Delta(L^1(G))$  is of the form*

$$\phi_\rho(f) = \int_G \overline{\rho(x)} f(x) dx,$$

where  $dx$  is a left Haar measure on  $G$ , for more details, see [9, Theorem 23.7]. It is also well-known that  $\phi_\rho$  has a unique extension to  $L^1(G)^{**}$ , which denoted by  $\tilde{\phi}_\rho$ . Hence  $\Delta(L^1(G)^{**})$  consists of all  $\tilde{\phi}_\rho$ , for every  $\rho \in \widehat{G}$ . Since  $G$  is compact,  $\widehat{G} \subset L^\infty(G) \subseteq L^1(G)$ . Define  $m_\rho = \rho \otimes \rho \in L^1(G) \otimes_p L^1(G)$ . Since two maps  $a \mapsto a\rho$  and  $a \mapsto \rho a$  are  $w^*$ -continuous on  $L^1(G)^{**}$  for every  $a \in L^1(G)^{**}$ , one can easily see that  $a \cdot m_\rho = m_\rho \cdot a$  and  $\tilde{\phi}_\rho \circ \pi_{L^1(G)^{**}}(m_\rho) = 1$ . Hence  $L^1(G)^{**}$  is character Johnson-amenable.

It is well-known that for an inverse semigroup  $S$  there exists an equivalence relation  $\mathcal{R}$  on  $S$ , that is, for every  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x\mathcal{R}y$  if and only if there exists  $e \in E(S)$  such that  $es = et$ . Consider  $G_S = \frac{S}{\mathcal{R}}$ , see [13].

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup. If  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-amenable, then  $G_S$  is an amenable group.*

*Proof.* Since  $G_S$  is a quotient of  $S$ , then  $\ell^1(G_S)$  is a homomorphic image of  $\ell^1(S)$ . Suppose that  $\phi \in \Delta(\ell^1(G_S))$  and  $p : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^1(G_S)$  is a dense range homomorphism. Since  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson amenable,  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi \circ p$ -Johnson amenable. Now by [16, Proposition 2.2],  $\ell^1(S)$  is left  $\phi \circ p$ -amenable. Hence [12, Proposition 3.5] shows that  $\ell^1(G)$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable. Now by applying [1, Corollary 3.4]  $G_S$  must be amenable.  $\square$

Let  $G$  be a group and  $I$  be a non-empty set. Set  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I) = \{(g)_{ij} | g \in G, i, j \in I\} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $(g)_{ij}$  is denoted for  $I \times I$  matrix with entry  $g$  in  $(i, j)^{th}$ -position and zero elsewhere. With the following multiplication  $\mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$  is a semigroup

$$(g)_{ij}(h)_{kl} = \begin{cases} (gh)_{il} & \text{if } j = k \\ 0 & \text{if } j \neq k \end{cases}$$

This semigroup is called Brandt semigroup over  $G$  with index set  $I$ . It is well-known that for  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$ ,  $G_S = G$ .

**Corollary 4.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $I$  be a non-empty set and also let  $S = \mathcal{M}^0(G, I)$ . If  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson amenable, then  $\ell^1(S)$  is pseudo-amenable.*

*Proof.* Let  $\ell^1(S)$  be character Johnson amenable. By previous Proposition  $G_S = G$  must be amenable. Now apply [5, Corollary 3.8] to show that  $\ell^1(S)$  is pseudo-amenable.  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup. If  $\ell^1(S)$  is character Johnson-contractible, then  $G_S$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Use the same argument as in the proof of pervious Proposition and the fact that  $\ell^1(G_S)$  is left  $\phi$ -contractible if and only if  $G_S$  is finite, see [1, Theorem 3.3].  $\square$

**Remark 4.1.** *The results of the previous two propositions hold even if we replace the hypothesis “ $A$  is left  $\phi$ -amenable ( $\phi$ -contractible)” instead of “ $A$  is character Johnson amenable (character Johnson contractible)” respectively for every  $\phi \in \Delta(A)$ .*

**Proposition 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup such that its center  $Z(S)$  is non-empty. If  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biflat, then  $S$  is amenable, where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character on  $\ell^1(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biflat, where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character on  $\ell^1(S)$ . Let  $\rho : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow (\ell^1(S) \otimes_p \ell^1(S))^{**}$  be a bounded  $\ell^1(S)$ -bimodule morphism such that  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{\ell^1(S)}^{**} \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ , for every  $a \in \ell^1(S)$ . Set  $m_0 = \rho(\delta_{s_0})$ , where  $s_0 \in Z(S)$ , it is easy to see that  $\delta_s \cdot m_0 = m_0 \cdot \delta_s$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{\ell^1(S)}^{**}(m_0) = 1$ . Then  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -Johnson amenable. Applying the same arguments as in the proof of [16, Proposition 2.2], one can show that  $\delta_s \cdot m_0 = m_0 \cdot \delta_s = m_0$  and  $\tilde{\phi} \circ \pi_{\ell^1(S)}^{**}(m_0) = 1$ . Suppose that  $m = \pi_{\ell^1(S)}^{**}(m_0) \in \ell^1(S)^{**}$ . Hence we have  $\delta_s m = m \delta_s = m$  and  $\tilde{\phi}(m) = 1$ . Hence  $S$  is an amenable semigroup, see [12, Theorem 1.1].  $\square$

**Proposition 4.4.** *Let  $S$  be a semigroup such that  $Z(S)$  is non-empty. If  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective and  $S$  has left or right unit, then  $S$  is finite, where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character on  $\ell^1(S)$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective, where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character on  $\ell^1(S)$ . Then there exists a bounded  $\ell^1(S)$ -bimodule morphism  $\rho : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^1(S) \otimes_p \ell^1(S)$  such that  $\phi \circ \pi_{\ell^1(S)} \circ \rho(a) = \phi(a)$ , for every  $a \in \ell^1(S)$ .

Define  $m = \pi_{\ell^1(S)} \circ \rho(\delta_{s_0})$ , where  $s_0 \in Z(S)$ . Then we have  $\delta_s m = m \delta_s = m$  and  $\phi(m) = 1$ . Now if  $e_r$  is a right unit for  $S$ , then for every  $s \in S$  we have

$$m(s) = m(se_r) = \delta_s m(e_r) = m(e_r),$$

that is,  $m \in \ell^1(S)$  is a constant function on  $S$ , so  $S$  must be finite.  $\square$

**Remark 4.2.** *There exists a biprojective semigroup algebra which is not character Johnson amenable. To see this let  $S$  be an infinite left zero semigroup, that is,  $st = s$  for every  $s, t \in S$ . It is easy to see that*

$$fg = \phi_S(g)f, \quad f, g \in \ell^1(S),$$

where  $\phi_S$  is the augmentation character on  $\ell^1(S)$ . Define  $\rho : \ell^1(S) \rightarrow \ell^1(S) \otimes_p \ell^1(S)$  by  $\rho(f) = f \otimes f_0$ . It is easy to see that  $\rho$  is a bounded  $A$ -bimodule morphism which  $\pi_{\ell^1(S)} \circ \rho(f) = f$  for every  $f \in \ell^1(S)$ . It follows that  $\ell^1(S)$  is biprojective. Now using the same method as in the proof of 4.1 one can see that  $\ell^1(S)$  is not character Johnson amenable. Note that in the previous Proposition the hypothesis “ $Z(S) \neq \emptyset$ ” is necessary. It is easy to see that for a left zero semigroup  $S$ ,  $Z(S) = \emptyset$ . Also one can show that for the augmentation character  $\phi$ ,  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective, but  $S$  is not finite.

Also note that the hypothesis “existence of left or right unit” is necessary. To see this let  $S = \mathbb{N}$  with the product  $m \cdot n = \min\{m, n\}$  ( $m, n \in S$ ) which is an infinite semigroup with no unit such that  $Z(S) = S$  [16, Example 5.2] and  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\phi$ -biprojective, where  $\phi$  is the augmentation character.

**Acknowledgements** The author is grateful to the anonymous reviewers for their careful reading which improved the manuscript.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Alaghmandan, R. Nasr Isfahani, and M. Nemati, *Character amenability and contractibility of abstract Segal algebras*, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc, **82** (2010) 274-281.
- [2] H. G. Dales, *Banach algebras and automatic continuity*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, 2000.
- [3] H. G. Dales and A. T. Lau, *The second duals of Beurling algebras*, Mem. Amer. Math. **177** (2005).
- [4] M. Essmaili, M. Rostami, and A. R. Medghalchi, *Pseudo-contractibility and pseudo-amenability of semigroup algebras*, Arch. Math **97** (2011), 167-177.
- [5] M. Essmaili, M. Rostami and A. Pourabbas, *Pseudo-amenability of certain semigroup algebras*, Semigroup Forum (2011), 478-484.
- [6] B. E. Forrest and V. Runde, *Amenability and weak amenability of the Fourier algebra*, Math. Z. **250** (2005), 731-744.
- [7] F. Ghahramani and Y. Zhang, *Pseudo-amenable and pseudo-contractible Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **142** (2007) 111-123.
- [8] A. Ya. Helemskii, *The homology of Banach and topological algebras*, Kluwer, Academic Press, Dordrecht, 1989.
- [9] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, *Abstract Harmonic Analysis I, Die Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **115**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, (1963).
- [10] J. Howie, *Fundamental of Semigroup Theory*. London Math. Soc Monographs, vol. **12**. Clarendon Press, Oxford (1995).
- [11] Z. Hu M. Sangani Monfared and T. Traynor, *On character amenable Banach algebras*, Studia Math. **193** (2009) 53-78.
- [12] E. Kaniuth A. T. Lau and J. Pym, *On  $\phi$ -amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Soc. **44** (2008) 85-96.
- [13] W. D. Munn, *A class of irreducible matrix representations of an arbitrary inverse semigroup*, Proc. Glasgow Math. Assoc. **5** (1961), 41-48.
- [14] R. Nasr Isfahani and S. Soltani Renani, *Character contractibility of Banach algebras and homological properties of Banach modules*, Studia Math. **202** (2011) 205-225.
- [15] V. Runde, *Lectures on amenability*, Springer, New York, 2002.
- [16] A. Sahami and A. Pourabbas, *On  $\phi$ -biflat and  $\phi$ -biprojective Banach algebras*, Bull. Belg. Math. Soc. Simon Stevin, **20**(2013) 789-801.
- [17] E. Samei, N. Spronk and R. Stokke, *Biflatness and pseudo-amenability of Segal algebras*, Canad. J. Math. **62** (2010), 845-869.
- [18] M. Sangani Monfared, *Character amenability of Banach algebras*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **144** (2008) 697-706.