ISO 9004 AND RISK MANAGEMENT IN PRACTICE
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Pornind de la un model conceput anterior pentru sistemul de management integrat bazat pe managementul riscurilor și luând în considerare modelul oferit de ISO 9004, lucrarea va prezenta aspectele practice de aplicare a ISO 9004 și a managementului riscurilor într-o organizație. Rezultatul va fi utilizat în procesul decizional referitor la realizarea obiectivelor organizației. Vor fi prezentate, de asemenea, unele rezultate ale aplicării instrumentului de auto-evaluare, care vor permite organizației:

- stabilirea și compararea nivelul de maturitate atins, acoperind toate aspectele cheie
- identificarea punctelor forte și punctelor slabe
- identificarea oportunităților fie pentru îmbunătățire fie pentru inovare, sau pentru ambele.

Starting from a previous designed model of an integrated management system based on the risk management and taking in account the model provided by ISO 9004, the paper will present practical aspects of implementing ISO 9004 and risk management processes into an organization. The result will be used to support decisions regarding the achievement of the organization's objectives. Some results of applying of self-assessment tool will be presented too, enabling organization to:

- establish and benchmark the level of maturity, covering all focus areas
- identify strengths and weaknesses
- identify opportunities for either improvements or innovation, or both.
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1. Introduction

The action to implement sustainable development measures is, during the last decade, a key point of discussion, at the international and national level, leading, in recent years, to more and more tangible gains. In our ever-changing, competitive and dynamic world, the sustained success of an organization is the result of keeping balance between the complex and demanding business
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environment challenges and the expectations of interested parties, assuring the “Triple Bottom Line: environment, society, economy”.

In this context, the new edition of international standard ISO 9004:2009 - “Managing for the sustained success of an organization – A quality management approach” brings quality management system to a new stage of achieving and maintaining business objectives in the long-term. The standard provides a model for a more holistic approach and for identifying the system’s maturity levels, which can be used as a basis for benchmarking and improvement identification.

ISO 9004:2009 [1] adds some new elements to the general framework, emphasizing in particular:

- the ethical-social perspective;
- the organization mission and vision;
- the ability to turn strategies into actions and correlate the results to the objectives.
- the risk management;
- the adaptability and flexibility, the organization’s ability to change in response to changing conditions of risk and opportunity;
- the knowledge management;
- the alignment and linking with other management systems.

Obviously, Risk Management become a key starting point for management systems implementation for an organization which is interested in continuous improvement of its overall performance, efficiency and effectiveness, and publication of ISO 31000 [2] is an evidence of understanding the need for widespread use of this concept in conjunction with all types of management systems. Therefore, a model designed special to help organizations to integrate the requirements of different management systems and risk management, in the same time, will be very useful in the global context of sustainable development.

2. Connection between ISO 9004 process approach model and the model for integrated management system based on risk management

The process approach model presented in ISO 9004: 2009 (Fig. 1) includes all issues covered by the ISO 9001 model, but also includes some additional elements like as: needs and expectation of interested parties, strategy, innovation and learning etc. These new elements bring the ISO 9004 model closer to the designed model based on risk management [3] through some common issues added to the ISO 9001 by both, ISO 9004 and the designed model for integrated management system based on risk management (Fig. 2).
If we are talking about the sustainability concept, we talk about the three dimensions of needs that are defining the concept:

- Social well-being and equity for both employees and affected communities
- Economic prosperity and continuity for the business and all interested parties
- Environmental protection and resource conservation, both local and global

As expected, ISO 9004:2009 model as well as the other standards of ISO 9000, refers mainly to the economic dimension of the concept. To ensure the balance between all of them we still need the ISO 14000 series of standards for environmental protection and OHSAS, SA8000 / ISO 26000 for the social dimension. Because of including the needs and expectation of interested parties into the process approach model, for those organizations, which already implemented ISO 9001, the implementation of ISO 9004:2009 could be a useful step towards sustainable development.
In the proposed model for integrated management system based on risk management, the focus is on risk management process, but the target is the same: achievement of needs and expectation of all interested parties. Anyway, the risk management concept, even if it is not expressly stated in the ISO 9004 process approach model, is still mentioned inside the text of the standard, but for the practical aspects related to application, the standard refers to the ISO 31000.

3. Practical aspects of implementing ISO 9004 and Risk Management

Both models, previously presented, are following the PLAN – DO – CHECK – ACT Cycle, so they are compatible each other, making possible to use them simultaneously. The methodology used to implement ISO 9004 and risk management...
management are briefly presented below, referring the results obtained into an industrial company.

In the first stage of implementation, a company should identify the activities of the company, the location, and all interested parties, including regulators or groups living in the region. Related to these interested parties, the company will update the mission, the strategy and the objectives. A strategic level self-assessment, will enable the organization to establish the current level of maturity and the target for next period, and to identify strengths and weaknesses, opportunities for improvements or innovation and to develop a management plan for the short or / and medium term horizon.

To determine the current maturity level an Excel workbook, was developed which allows quick calculation and plotting graphs necessary to interpret the results. The results of such a self-assessment in a specific company are presented in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISO 9004 Clause</th>
<th>Key element</th>
<th>Level 1</th>
<th>Level 2</th>
<th>Level 3</th>
<th>Level 4</th>
<th>Level 5</th>
<th>Actual Score</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Actual %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>What is the management focus?</td>
<td>The focus is on products, services and customer satisfaction, with emphasis on continuous improvement. Processes, standards and procedures are defined and implemented to meet customer expectations.</td>
<td>The focus is on predefined goals, where results are measured and feedback is given. Process and systems are developed and implemented to meet customer expectations.</td>
<td>The focus is on balancing the needs of desired products and services, with consideration for the business environment and customers.</td>
<td>The focus is on balancing the needs of desired products and services, with consideration for the business environment and customers.</td>
<td>The focus is on balancing the needs of desired products and services, with consideration for the business environment and customers.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>What is the leadership approach?</td>
<td>The approach is based on leadership by managers of different levels.</td>
<td>The approach is based on leadership by managers of different levels.</td>
<td>The approach is based on leadership by managers of different levels.</td>
<td>The approach is based on leadership by managers of different levels.</td>
<td>The approach is based on leadership by managers of different levels.</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>How is it decided what is important?</td>
<td>Decisions are based on a combination of customer and internal needs.</td>
<td>Decisions are based on a combination of customer and internal needs.</td>
<td>Decisions are based on a combination of customer and internal needs.</td>
<td>Decisions are based on a combination of customer and internal needs.</td>
<td>Decisions are based on a combination of customer and internal needs.</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>What is needed to get results?</td>
<td>Resources are managed effectively and in a way that balances the different needs of the business.</td>
<td>Resources are managed effectively and in a way that balances the different needs of the business.</td>
<td>Resources are managed effectively and in a way that balances the different needs of the business.</td>
<td>Resources are managed effectively and in a way that balances the different needs of the business.</td>
<td>Resources are managed effectively and in a way that balances the different needs of the business.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. Results of strategic self-assessment

On a graphic, the results can be shown as follow (figure 4):
Fig. 4. Graphical result of the strategic self-assessment

From this first self-assessment result, it can be seen that the weakness points of that company are:

- Resource Management
- Strategy and policy deployment and
- Improvement, innovation and learning,

while the strengths seem to be, at this moment, the Process Management.

As a result, the management should review the strategy and develop a plan to improve the situation regarding the weakness points. To ensure that the improvement plan is effective, it is necessary to identify and adequately analyze and describe the processes involved and the sequence and interactions between them. This step might not be necessary if the organization has already implemented ISO 9001, perhaps at the most it would be necessary to re-evaluate these processes, and after that to conduct a self-assessment at an operational (detailed) level.

The results of the self-assessment for Resource Management is presented below (Fig. 5). We considered this item taking into account that this key element was identified as weakness point. Of course, the detailed self-assessment should be made for each detailed element.
Fig. 5 Results of the self-assessment for Resource Management

Analyzing the graphic result (Fig. 6), we can conclude that the organization should focus on improving the human resources and infrastructure management.

Fig. 6 Maturity level for Resource Management
The decision regarding the actions needed to improve the human resources and infrastructure management should be taken on a profound analysis, including a risk assessment. Some results of risk management process applied for infrastructure is presented below.

### 3.1 Risk Identification

To identify the risks associated with the infrastructure, the organisation should identify first the infrastructure items (table 1), and for each item should identify sources of risks, events, causes or sets of circumstances [2,4] related to the item and their potential consequence on the established targets (table 2).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infrastructure</th>
<th>Item description</th>
<th>Item ID</th>
<th>Location</th>
<th>Owner</th>
<th>User</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Registration date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Buildings</td>
<td>Office building</td>
<td>L01</td>
<td>Address, Map A</td>
<td>Responsible for maintenance</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>05.01.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Warehouse</td>
<td>L03</td>
<td>Address, Map C</td>
<td>Responsible for maintenance</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>05.01.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>L04</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Responsible for maintenance</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>05.01.2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Electricity</td>
<td>L05</td>
<td>Address</td>
<td>Responsible for maintenance</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>PH</td>
<td>05.01.2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1**

The values of the infrastructure items are selected using the following range:

- I - insignificant
- Mi - minor
- Mo - moderate
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ISO 9004 and risk management in practice

For each infrastructure item, a risk analysis and evaluation to establish the risk exposure and the strategy to treat the risk should be made. The scales used for the analysis are as follow:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Likelihood:</strong></th>
<th><strong>Impact:</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 - Extremely low</td>
<td>1 - Insignificant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 - Very low</td>
<td>2 - Minor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 - Low</td>
<td>3 - Moderate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 - Moderate</td>
<td>4 - Important</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 - High</td>
<td>5 - High</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 - Very high</td>
<td>6 - Very high</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 - Critical</td>
<td>7 - Critical</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The exposure risk is established using table 2 and the acceptable level of risk was defined at 3.5.

![Exposure risk matrix](image)

An example of such analysis is presented in table 3. As it can be seen from the given example - a CNC lathe, as part of the infrastructure, some hazards have been identified with unacceptable level of risk, such as:

- Failure, due to wear
- Mechanical hazards, due to hazardous moving parts
- Electrical hazards, due to defective plugs or switches, cables with damaged insulation
- Misadjusted equipment, due to frequent adjustment required

For all hazards, it was decided to take actions immediately, aimed at reducing the probability of occurrence of the circumstances that favour those risks. As it can be seen, the residual risk obtained after implementation of these measures was below the acceptable risk.

Overall risk level (ORL) for each element of infrastructure is calculated as a weighted average of risk levels established for the identified risk factors. To make the results to reflect reality as accurately as possible, the risk level will be used as a weighting factor. In this way, it will be eliminated the compensation effect between extremes [5].

The risk levels for all hazards identified for the CNC lathe (identification no. II-01-01) are presented in figure 7. In a similar way the overall risk level for infrastructure, was established the established value being 3.41.

### Risks Register - sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Risk area (CNC lathe II-01-01)</th>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Event description</th>
<th>Circumstances (and favour the risk factor)</th>
<th>Risk management responsible (s)</th>
<th>Existing control</th>
<th>Inherent Risk</th>
<th>Control level</th>
<th>Residual risk</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Adequate maintenance loggers</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Worn Production Technique Maintenance program</td>
<td>3 5 4 Immediate treatment</td>
<td>Weekly preventive maintenance</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Risk accepted and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overheating the number of accidents / incidents</td>
<td>Mechanical hazards</td>
<td>Damage to moving parts</td>
<td>VIS rep. Traffic protection, power and emergency system</td>
<td>3 5 4 Immediate treatment</td>
<td>Check integrity and functionality of protection, power and emergency systems</td>
<td>1 5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Risk accepted and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electrical hazards</td>
<td>Defective plugs or switches, cables with damaged insulation</td>
<td>VIS rep. Faulty check of electrical system</td>
<td>2 7 4 Immediate Treatment</td>
<td>Electrical Testing</td>
<td>Regular checks for electrical safety, installation of protective measures for plugs</td>
<td>1 7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>Risk accepted and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waste collection</td>
<td>Manipulated equipment</td>
<td>Frequent adjustment required</td>
<td>Production Techniques</td>
<td>4 4 4 Immediate Treatment</td>
<td>Adjustment every 2 hours</td>
<td>2 4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Risk accepted and monitoring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3

For all hazards, it was decided to take actions immediately, aimed at reducing the probability of occurrence of the circumstances that favour those risks. As it can be seen, the residual risk obtained after implementation of these measures was below the acceptable risk.

Overall risk level (ORL) for each element of infrastructure is calculated as a weighted average of risk levels established for the identified risk factors. To make the results to reflect reality as accurately as possible, the risk level will be used as a weighting factor. In this way, it will be eliminated the compensation effect between extremes [5].
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3.2 Risk Treatment and management decisions

As a result of risk assessment process [6] for infrastructure, the management can take a right decision about how to improve the weakness point, by developing and implementing an adequate treat risk plan, for short term horizon, as follow (table 4) and by developing a management agenda and action plans for the medium term horizon (2-3 years):

![Fig.7 Risk levels for hazards identified for the CNC lathe](image)

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crt. No.</th>
<th>Risk area/ Hazard description</th>
<th>Risk level</th>
<th>Reduction Method</th>
<th>Responsible</th>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1.</td>
<td>CNC lathe II/01/01 failure/wear</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Preventive maintenance</td>
<td>Production Technician</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.</td>
<td>CNC lathe II/01/01 Mechanical hazards / Hazardous moving parts</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Check the integrity and functionality of protection screen and guards and immediate remedy failures</td>
<td>H&amp;S resp.</td>
<td>Weekly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.</td>
<td>CNC lathe II/01/01 Electrical hazards / Defective plugs or switches, cables with damaged insulation</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Regularly check electrical wiring, replacement of defective switches or plugs immediately by authorized persons</td>
<td>H&amp;S resp.</td>
<td>Monthly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td>CNC lathe II/01/01 Misadjusted equipment / Frequent adjustment required</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>Increase adjustment frequency</td>
<td>Production Technician</td>
<td>Every 2 hours</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Starting from the analysis made in this paper the medium-term priorities in the organization have been defined as follows:

- Implement a system for planning and efficient use of resources
- Implement a system to recognize and motivate employees
- Implement an IT system for customer relationship management

The progress achieved by implementing all these actions set out after the initial self-assessment was reviewed at the next self-assessment (figure 8).

Fig.8 Comparative results of self-assessments

4. Conclusions

The integration of risk assessment as a core of an integrated management system – quality, environmental, health and safety and using ISO 9004: 2009 for self – assessing the maturity level allowed the company to identify the weakness points and to develop the medium-term strategy of the organization.
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