

COHOMOLOGICAL PROPERTIES AND ARENS REGULARITY OF BANACH ALGEBRAS

Kazem HAGHNEJAD AZAR¹, Ali JABBARI², Hossein EGHBALI SARA³

In this paper, we study some cohomological properties of Banach algebras. For a Banach algebra A and a Banach A -bimodule B , we investigate the vanishing of the first Hochschild cohomology groups $H^1(A^n, B^m)$ and $H_{w^}^1(A^n, B^m)$, where $0 \leq m, n \leq 3$. For amenable Banach algebra A , we show that there are Banach A -bimodules C, D and elements $a, b \in A^{**}$ such that*

$$Z^1(A, C^*) = \{R_{D''(a)}: D \in Z^1(A, C^*)\} = \{L_{D''(b)}: D \in Z^1(A, D^*)\}.$$

where, for every $b \in B$, $L_b(a) = ba$ and $R_b(a) = ab$, for every $a \in A$. Moreover, under a condition, we show that if the second transpose of a continuous derivation from the Banach algebra A into A^ i.e., a continuous linear map from A^{**} into A^{***} , is a derivation, then A is Arens regular. Finally, we show that if A is a dual left strongly irregular Banach algebra such that its second dual is amenable, then A is reflexive.*

Keywords: Arens regularity, topological centers, cohomological group, weakly amenable, Connes-amenability.

1. Introduction

A derivation from a Banach algebra A into a Banach A -bimodule B is a bounded linear mapping $D: A \rightarrow B$ such that

$$D(ab) = aD(b) + D(a)b \quad \text{for all } a, b \in A.$$

The space of continuous derivations from A into B is denoted by $Z^1(A, B)$. The easiest example of derivations is the inner derivations, which are given for each $b \in B$ by

$$\delta_b(a) = ab - ba \quad \text{for all } a \in A.$$

The space of inner derivations from A into B is denoted by $B^1(A, B)$. The Banach algebra A is said to be *amenable*, if for every Banach A -bimodule B , all derivations from A into B^* are inner derivations, in the other word, $H^1(A, B^*) = Z^1(A, B^*)/B^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$ and A is said to be *weakly amenable* if $H^1(A, A^*) = \{0\}$.

¹ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran, e-mail: haghnejad@uma.ac.ir

² Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Urmia University, Urmia, Iran, e-mail: jabbari_al@yahoo.com

³ Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, University of Mohaghegh Ardabili, Ardabil, Iran, e-mail: h.eghbali.sarai@gmail.com

The concept of amenability for a Banach algebra A , introduced by Johnson in 1972, see [15]. For a Banach A -bimodule B , the quotient space $H^1(A, B)$ of all continuous derivations from A into B modulo the subspace of inner derivations is called the first cohomology group of A with coefficients in B . Following [25] the Banach algebra A is called *super-amenable* if $H^1(A, B) = \{0\}$ for every Banach A -bimodule B (super-amenable Banach algebras are called contractible, too). It is clear that if A is super-amenable, then A is amenable.

In [17], Johnson, Kadison, and Ringrose introduced the notion of amenability for von Neumann algebras. The basic concepts, however, make sense for arbitrary dual Banach algebras. But is most commonly associated with Connes, see [4]. For this reason, this notion of amenability is called *Connes-amenability* (the origin of this name seems to be Helemskii, see [18]).

Let A be a Banach algebra. A Banach A -bimodule X is called dual if there is a closed submodule X_* of X^* such that $X = (X_*)^*$ (X_* is called the *predual* of X). A Banach algebra A is called dual if it is dual as a Banach A -bimodule.

Let A be a dual Banach algebra. A dual Banach A -bimodule X is called *normal* if, for every $x \in X$, the maps

$$A \rightarrow X, \quad a \mapsto \begin{cases} a \cdot x \\ x \cdot a \end{cases}$$

are weak*-continuous (w^* -continuous). The dual Banach algebra A is called Connes-amenable if, for every dual Banach A -bimodule X , every w^* -continuous derivation $D: A \rightarrow X$ is inner; or equivalently, $H_{w^*}^1(A, X) = \{0\}$ [25].

The second dual A^{**} of Banach algebra A endowed with the either *Arens multiplications* is a Banach algebra. The constructions of the two Arens multiplications in A^{**} lead us to the definition of *topological centers* for A^{**} with respect to both Arens multiplications. The topological centers of Banach algebras, module actions and applications of them were introduced and discussed in [1, 19, 21]. To state our results, we need to fix some notations and recall some definitions.

Assume that T is an operator from normed linear space X into normed linear space Y . T^* is the adjoint of T from Y^* into X^* . We say that T^* is *weak* – weak** continuous, if for each $\{y'_\alpha\} \subseteq Y^*$, $y'_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} y'$ in Y^* implies $T^*y'_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} T^*y'$ in X^* .

Let X, Y, Z be normed linear spaces and $m: X \times Y \rightarrow Z$ be a bounded bilinear mapping. Arens in [1] offers two natural extensions m^{***} and m^{t***} of m from $X^{**} \times Y^{**}$ into Z^{**} , for more information see [9, 19, 21].

The mapping m^{***} is the unique extension of m such that $x'' \rightarrow m^{***}(x'', y'')$ from X^{**} into Z^{**} is *weak* – weak** continuous for every $y'' \in Y^{**}$, but the mapping $y'' \rightarrow m^{***}(x'', y'')$ is not in general *weak* – weak** continuous from Y^{**} into Z^{**} unless $x'' \in X$. Hence *the first topological center* of m may be defined as follows

$Z_1(m) = \{x'' \in X^{**}: y'' \rightarrow m^{***}(x'', y'')$ is $\text{weak}^* - \text{weak}^*$ continuous\}.
 Now, let $m^t: Y \times X \rightarrow Z$ be the transpose of m defined by $m^t(y, x) = m(x, y)$ for every $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. Then m^t is a continuous bilinear map from $Y \times X$ to Z , and so it may be extended as above to $m^{t***}: Y^{**} \times X^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$. The mapping $m^{t***}: X^{**} \times Y^{**} \rightarrow Z^{**}$ in general is not equal to m^{***} , see [1]. If $m^{***} = m^{t***}$, then m is called Arens regular. The mapping $y'' \rightarrow m^{t***}(x'', y'')$ is $\text{weak}^* - \text{weak}^*$ continuous for every $x'' \in X^{**}$, but the mapping $x'' \rightarrow m^{t***}(x'', y'')$ from X^{**} into Z^{**} is not in general $\text{weak}^* - \text{weak}^*$ continuous for every $y'' \in Y^{**}$. So we define the *second topological center* of m as

$$Z_2(m) = \{y'' \in Y^{**}: x'' \rightarrow m^{t***}(x'', y'')$$
 is $\text{weak}^* - \text{weak}^*$ continuous\}.

It is clear that m is *Arens regular* if and only if $Z_1(m) = X^{**}$ or $Z_2(m) = Y^{**}$. Arens regularity of m is equivalent to the following

$$\limlim_j \langle z', m(x_i, y_j) \rangle = \limlim_i \langle z', m(x_i, y_j) \rangle,$$

whenever both limits exist for all bounded sequences $(x_i)_i \subseteq X$, $(y_i)_i \subseteq Y$ and $z' \in Z^*$, see [7].

The mapping m is left strongly Arens irregular if $Z_1(m) = X$ and m is right strongly Arens irregular if $Z_2(m) = Y$. The *first Arens product* is defined as follows in three steps. For a, b in A , f in A^* and m, n in A^{**} , the elements $f.a$, $m.f$ of A^* and $m.n$ of A^{**} are defined as follows:

$$\langle f.a, b \rangle = \langle f, ab \rangle, \quad \langle m.f, a \rangle = \langle m, f.a \rangle, \quad \langle m.n, f \rangle = \langle m, n.f \rangle.$$

The *second Arens product* is defined as follows. For a, b in A , f in A^* and m, n in A^{**} , the elements $a \diamond f$, $f \diamond m$ of A^* and $m \diamond n$ of A^{**} are defined by the equalities

$$\langle a \diamond f, b \rangle = \langle f, ba \rangle, \quad \langle f \diamond m, a \rangle = \langle m, a \diamond f \rangle, \quad \langle m \diamond n, f \rangle = \langle n, f \diamond m \rangle.$$

The Arens regularity of a normed algebra A is defined to be the Arens regularity of its algebra multiplication when considered as a bilinear mapping $m: A \times A \rightarrow A$. Let B be a Banach A -bimodule, and let

$$\pi_\ell: A \times B \rightarrow B \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r: B \times A \rightarrow B,$$

be the right and left module actions of A on B . By above notation, the transpose of π_r denoted by $\pi_r^t: A \times B \rightarrow B$. Then

$$\pi_\ell^*: B^* \times A \rightarrow B^* \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r^{t*}: A \times B^* \rightarrow B^*.$$

Thus B^* is a left Banach A -module and a right Banach A -module with respect to the module actions π_r^{t*} and π_ℓ^* , respectively. The second dual B^{**} is a Banach A^{**} -bimodule with the following module actions

$$\pi_\ell^{***}: A^{**} \times B^{**} \rightarrow B^{**} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r^{***}: B^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**},$$

where A^{**} is considered as a Banach algebra with respect to the first Arens product. Similarly, B^{**} is a Banach A^{**} -bimodule with the module actions

$$\pi_\ell^{t***}: A^{**} \times B^{**} \rightarrow B^{**} \quad \text{and} \quad \pi_r^{t***}: B^{**} \times A^{**} \rightarrow B^{**},$$

where A^{**} is considered as a Banach algebra with respect to the second Arens product. In this way we write $Z(\pi_\ell) = Z_{B^{**}}(A^{**})$ and $Z(\pi_r) = Z_{A^{**}}(B^{**})$.

Let B be a Banach A -bimodule. Then we say that B factors on the left (right) with respect to A , if $B = BA$ ($B = AB$). Thus B factors on both sides, if $B = BA = AB$.

2. Weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous derivations

Let B be a Banach A -bimodule. In this section, we study the cohomological properties of Banach algebra A whenever every derivation in $Z^1(A^{**}, B^*)$ is weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous.

Theorem 2.1. *Let B be a Banach A -bimodule and let every derivation $D: A^{**} \rightarrow B^*$ is weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous. If $Z_{B^{**}}^{\ell}(A^{**}) = A^{**}$ and $H^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$, then $H^1(A^{**}, B^*) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $D: A^{**} \rightarrow B^*$ be a derivation. Then $D|_A: A \rightarrow B^*$ is a derivation. Since $H^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$, there exists $b' \in B^*$ such that $D|_A = \delta_{b'}$. Suppose that $a'' \in A^{**}$ and $(a_{\alpha})_{\alpha} \subseteq A$ such that $a_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{w^*} a''$ in A^{**} . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(a'') &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} D|_A(a_{\alpha}) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} \delta_{b'}(a_{\alpha}) \\ &= w^* - \lim_{\alpha} (a_{\alpha} b' - b' a_{\alpha}) \\ &= a'' b' - b' a''. \end{aligned}$$

We now show that $b' a'' \in B^*$. Assume that $(b''_{\beta})_{\beta} \in B^{**}$ such that $b'' = w^* - \lim_{\beta} b''_{\beta}$. Since $Z_{B^{**}}^{\ell}(A^{**}) = A^{**}$, we have

$$\langle b' a'', b''_{\beta} \rangle = \langle a'' \cdot b''_{\beta}, b' \rangle \rightarrow \langle a'' \cdot b'', b' \rangle = \langle b' a'', b'' \rangle.$$

Thus, $b' a'' \in (B^{**}, weak^*)^* = B^*$, and so $H^1(A^{**}, B^*) = \{0\}$.

Corollary 2.1. *Let A be an Arens regular Banach algebra and let every derivation $D: A^{**} \rightarrow A^*$ is weak $*$ -weak $*$ continuous. If A is weakly amenable, then $H^1(A^{**}, A^*) = \{0\}$.*

By the following result, we show that weak amenability of the Banach algebra A is essential in vanishing of $H^1(A^{**}, A^*)$.

Proposition 2.1. *Let A be a Banach algebra such that is an ideal in A^{**} . If A is not weakly amenable, then $H^1(A^{**}, A^*) \neq \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $d: A \rightarrow A^*$ be a derivation and $\pi: A^{**} \rightarrow A$ be a bounded homomorphism. Now; define $D := d \circ \pi: A^{**} \rightarrow A^*$. Clearly, D is a bounded derivation which it is not inner. This shows that $H^1(A^{**}, A^*) \neq \{0\}$.

Example 2.1. (i) *Let K be a compact metric space, d be a metric on K and $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. The Lipschitz algebra $Lip_{\alpha} K$ is the space of complex-valued functions f on K such that*

$$p_\alpha(f) = \sup \left\{ \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} : x, y \in K, x \neq y \right\}$$

is finite. A subspace of $Lip_\alpha K$ that contains $f \in Lip_\alpha K$ such that

$$\frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)^\alpha} \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } d(x, y) \rightarrow 0$$

is denoted by $lip_\alpha K$. Let $\alpha \in (0, \frac{1}{2})$. Then by [6, Theorem 4.4.34] or [2, Theorem 3.8], $lip_\alpha K$ is Arens regular and by [2, Theorem 3.10] it is weakly amenable. Then by Corollary 2, $H^1((lip_\alpha K)^{**}, (lip_\alpha K)^*) = \{0\}$.

(ii) Let ω be a weight sequence on \mathbb{Z} such that

$$\sup \left\{ \frac{\omega(m+n)}{\omega(m)\omega(n)} \left(\frac{1+|n|}{1+|m+n|} \right) : m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \right\}$$

is finite. The Beurling algebra $\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}, \omega)$ is not weakly amenable [2, Theorem 2.3]. Then by Proposition 2, we have

$$H^1(\ell^1(\mathbb{Z}, \omega)^{**}, \ell^\infty(\mathbb{Z}, \omega)) \neq \{0\}.$$

Let B be a dual Banach algebra, with predual X and suppose that $X^\perp = \{x''' : x'''|_X = 0 \text{ where } x''' \in X^{***}\} = \{b'' : b''|_X = 0 \text{ where } b'' \in B^{**}\}$. Then the canonical projection $P: X^{***} \rightarrow X^*$ gives a continuous linear map $P: B^{**} \rightarrow B$. Thus, we can write the following equality

$$B^{**} = X^{***} = X^* \oplus \ker P = B \oplus X^\perp,$$

as a direct sum of Banach A -bimodules.

Theorem 2.2. Let B be a Banach A -bimodule such that every derivation from A^{**} into B is weak*-weak continuous and $A^{**}B, BA^{**} \subseteq B$.

- (i) If $H^1(A, B) = 0$, then $H^1(A^{**}, B) = \{0\}$.
- (ii) Suppose that A has a left bounded approximate identity (=LBAI), has a predual X and $AB^*, B^*A \subseteq X$. If $H^1(A, B) = 0$, then

$$H^1(A^{**}, B^{**}) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. (i) Proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 2.

(ii) Set $B^{**} = B \oplus X^\perp$. Then we have

$$H^1(A^{**}, B^{**}) = H^1(A^{**}, B) \oplus H^1(A^{**}, X^\perp).$$

Since $H^1(A, B) = \{0\}$, by (i), $H^1(A^{**}, B) = \{0\}$. Now let $\tilde{D} \in Z^1(A^{**}, X^\perp)$ and we take $D = \tilde{D}|_A$. It is clear that $D \in Z^1(A^{**}, X^\perp)$. Assume that $a'', x'' \in A^{**}$ and $(a_\alpha)_\alpha, (x_\beta)_\beta \subseteq A$ such that $a_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} a''$ and $x_\beta \xrightarrow{w^*} x''$ on A^{**} . Since $AB^*, B^*A \subseteq X$, for every $b' \in B^*$, by using the weak*-weak continuity of \tilde{D} , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \tilde{D}(a'' \diamond x''), b' \rangle &= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(a_\alpha x_\beta), b' \rangle \\ &= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle (D(a_\alpha)x_\beta + a_\alpha D(x_\beta)), b' \rangle \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(a_\alpha)x_\beta, b' \rangle + \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle a_\alpha D(x_\beta), b' \rangle \\
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(a_\alpha), x_\beta b' \rangle + \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(x_\beta), b' a_\alpha \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Since A has a LBAI, A^{**} has a left unit e'' with respect to the second Arens product [6, Proposition 2.9.16]. Then $D(x'') = D(e'' \diamond x'') = 0$, and so $D = 0$.

Example 2.2. (i) Assume that G is a compact group. Then we know that $L^1(G)$ is $M(G)$ -bimodule and $L^1(G)$ is an ideal in the second dual of $M(G)$, $M(G)^{**}$. By [20, Corollary 1.2], we have $H^1(L^1(G), M(G)) = \{0\}$. Then by Theorem 2, every weak*-weak continuous derivation from $L^1(G)^{**}$ into $M(G)$ is inner.

(ii) We know that c_0 is a C^* -algebra and every C^* -algebra is weakly amenable, so c_0 is weakly amenable. Then by Theorem 2, every weak*-weak continuous derivation from ℓ^∞ into ℓ^1 is inner.

Theorem 2.3. Let B be a Banach A -bimodule and A has a LBAI. Suppose that $AB^{**}, B^{**}A \subseteq B$ and every derivation from A^{**} into B^* is weak*-weak* continuous. If $H^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$, then $H^1(A^{**}, B^{***}) = \{0\}$.

Proof. Take $B^{***} = B^* \oplus B^\perp$, where $B^\perp = \{b''' \in B^{***} : b'''|_B = 0\}$. Then we have

$$H^1(A^{**}, B^{***}) = H^1(A^{**}, B^*) \oplus H^1(A^{**}, B^\perp).$$

Since $H^1(A, B^*) = \{0\}$, similar to Theorem 2(i), we have $H^1(A^{**}, B^*) = \{0\}$. It suffices to show that $H^1(A^{**}, B^\perp) = 0$. Let $(e_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq A$ be a LBAI for A such that $e_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} e''$ in A^{**} where e'' is a left unit for A^{**} with respect to the second Arens product. Let $a'' \in A^{**}$ and suppose that $(a_\beta)_\beta \subseteq A$ such that $a_\beta \xrightarrow{w^*} a''$ in A^{**} . Let $D \in Z^1(A^{**}, B^\perp)$. Then for every $b'' \in B^{**}$, by weak*-weak* continuity of D , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle D(a''), b'' \rangle &= \langle D(e'' \diamond a''), b'' \rangle \\
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(e_\alpha a_\beta), b'' \rangle \\
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle (D(e_\alpha)a_\beta + e_\alpha D(a_\beta)), b'' \rangle \\
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(e_\alpha)a_\beta, b'' \rangle + \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle e_\alpha D(a_\beta), b'' \rangle \\
&= \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(e_\alpha), a_\beta b'' \rangle + \limlim_{\beta \alpha} \langle D(a_\beta), b'' e_\alpha \rangle \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $D = 0$, and so the result holds.

It is known that neither the weak amenability of A implies that of A^{**} , nor the weak amenability of A^{**} implies that of A . The question “when the weak

amenability of A^{**} implies that of A ?" is investigated in many works; see [3, 7, 10, 11, 12] for more details. We now by Theorem 2 consider the converse of the above question, i.e., "under which conditions the weak amenability of A implies that of A^{**} ?", as follows:

Corollary 2.2. *Assume that A is a Banach algebra with LBAI such that it is two-sided ideal in A^{**} and every derivation $D: A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$ is weak $*$ - weak $*$ continuous. If A is weakly amenable, then A^{**} is weakly amenable.*

Example 2.3. *Assume that G is a locally compact group. We know that $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable Banach algebra, see [16]. Then by Corollary 2, every weak $*$ - weak $*$ continuous derivation from $L^1(G)^{**}$ into $L^1(G)^{***}$ is inner.*

Theorem 2.4. *Let A be an amenable and Arens regular Banach algebra. If for any normal Banach A -bimodule B with predual X , we have $AB^*, B^*A \subseteq X$, then $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, B^{**}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. If the Banach algebra A is amenable and Arens regular, then A^{**} is Connes-amenable and the converse holds whenever A is an ideal in A^{**} , too [25, Theorem 4.4.8]. Thus $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, B) = \{0\}$ and by the argument before Theorem 2, we have $B^{**} = B \oplus X^\perp$. These imply that $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, B^{**}) = H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, X^\perp)$. It is known that every amenable Banach algebra possesses a BAI, so by a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2(ii), we obtain that $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, X^\perp) = \{0\}$.

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose that A is an amenable Banach algebra. If for every Banach A -bimodule B , we have $AB^{**}, B^{**}A \subseteq B$, then*

$$H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, B^{***}) = \{0\}.$$

Proof. By applying a similar argument in the proof of Theorem 2(ii), we obtain the desire.

Corollary 2.3. *Assume that A is a weakly amenable Banach algebra with a LBAI. If A is an ideal in A^{**} , it follows that*

$$H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, A^{***}) = \{0\}.$$

Example 2.4. *Assume that G is a compact group. It is known that $L^1(G)$ has a BAI and is a two-sided ideal in $L^1(G)^{**}$. We know that $L^1(G)$ is weakly amenable, hence by Corollary 2,*

$$H_{w^*}^1(L^\infty(G)^*, L^\infty(G)^{**}) = \{0\}.$$

Proposition 2.3. *Let A be a Banach algebra such that A is an ideal in A^{**} and A^* factors. Then A is amenable if and only if A^{**} is Connes-amenable.*

Proof. By [3, Corollary 2.8](i), A is Arens regular. Then by [24, Theorem 4.4], the proof completes.

A Banach space A is called weakly sequentially complete if every weakly Cauchy sequence in A has a weak limit in A .

Theorem 2.5. *Let A be an Arens regular dual Banach algebra such that A^* is weakly sequentially complete (WSC). If $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, A^{***}) = \{0\}$, then $H_{w^*}^1(A, A^*) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $D: A \rightarrow A^*$ be a w^* -continuous derivation. Since A^* is WSC, every derivation $D: A \rightarrow A^*$ is weakly compact. Then by [5, Theorem 6.5.5], we have $D''(A^{**}) \subseteq A^*$ and hence, by Arens regularity of A , A^* is an A^{**} -submodule of $(A^{**})^*$ and $D''(A^{**}).A^{**} \subseteq A^*.A^{**} \subseteq A^*$. Then by [7, Theorem 7.1], $D'': A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$ is a w^* -continuous derivation. Thus, there exists $a''' \in A^{***}$ such that $D''(F) = F.a''' - a'''.F$, for each $F \in A^{**}$. Now, let $E: A \rightarrow A^{**}$ be the canonical map and set $f = E^*(a''')$, then $D(a) = a.f - f.a$, for all $a \in A$. This means that D is an inner w^* -continuous derivation. Thus the proof follows.

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote the n -th dual of the Banach algebra A by $A^{(n)}$. In the following, we extend the [3, Corollary 2.8](i) to the general case as follows:
Lemma 2.1. *If $A^{(2n)}$ is a two-sided ideal in $A^{(2n+2)}$ and $A^{(2n+1)}$ factors, then $A^{(2n)}$ is Arens regular, where $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let A be a Banach algebra such that A^{**} is an ideal in A^{****} and A^{***} factors. If A is weakly amenable, then $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, A^{****}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Lemma 2 implies that A^{**} is Arens regular. Now, let $D: A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$ be a weak $*$ -weak $*$ -continuous derivation. First, we prove that A^{***} is a normal Banach A^{**} -bimodule. Let $(a''_\alpha)_\alpha$ be a net in A^{**} and $a''' \in A^{***}$. Then, by Arens regularity of A^{**} , for every $b'' \in A^{**}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (w^* - \lim_\alpha a''_\alpha).a''', b'' \rangle &= \langle a''', b''.(w^* - \lim_\alpha a''_\alpha) \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle a''', b''.a''_\alpha \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle a''_\alpha.a''', b'' \rangle \\ &= \langle w^* - \lim_\alpha (a''_\alpha.a'''), b'' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle a'''.(w^* - \lim_\alpha a''_\alpha), b'' \rangle &= \langle a''', w^* - \lim_\alpha a''_\alpha.b'' \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle a''', a''_\alpha.b'' \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle a'''.a''_\alpha, b'' \rangle \\ &= \langle w^* - \lim_\alpha (a'''.a''_\alpha), b'' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the mappings $a'' \mapsto a''.a'''$ and $a'' \mapsto a'''.a''$ are weak $*$ -weak $*$ -continuous from A^{**} into A^{***} . Thus, A^{***} is a normal Banach A^{**} -bimodule. For each $a \in A$, we define $\bar{D}: A \rightarrow A^*$ by

$$\bar{D}(a) = D(\hat{a})|_A,$$

where $\hat{a} \in A^{**}$ with $\hat{a}(a') = a'(a)$, for all $a \in A$. As the following equalities \bar{D} is a continuous derivation from A into A^* .

$$\bar{D}(ab) = D(\widehat{ab}) = D(\hat{a}.\hat{b}) = a.D(\hat{b}) + D(\hat{a}).b = a.\bar{D}(b) + \bar{D}(a).b,$$

where $a, b \in A$. By weak amenability of A , we have \bar{D} is inner. Then

there exist $a''' \in A^{***}$ such that

$$D(\hat{a}) = \bar{D}(a) = a \cdot a'''|_A - a'''|_A \cdot a = \hat{a} \cdot a'''|_A - a'''|_A \cdot \hat{a}.$$

We consider the canonical mapping $E: A^* \rightarrow A^{***}$. Then there exists $b''' \in A^{***}$ such that $E(a'''|_A) = b'''$. So

$$D(\hat{a}) = \hat{a} \cdot b''' - b''' \cdot \hat{a}.$$

Then D is inner. It follows that $H_{w^*}^1(A^{**}, A^{***}) = \{0\}$.

Corollary 2.4. *Let $A^{(2n+2)}$ be a two sided ideal in $A^{(2n+4)}$ and $A^{(2n+3)}$ factors. If $A^{(2n)}$ is weakly amenable, then $H_{w^*}^1(A^{(2n+2)}, A^{(2n+3)}) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Apply Lemma 2 and Theorem 2.

Weak w^* -continuous derivations from dual Banach algebras into their ideals are studied in [8].

Remark 2.1. *If M is subspace of A and N is subspace of A^* , then $M^\perp = \{x^* \in X^*: \langle x^*, x \rangle = 0, \forall x \in M\}$ and ${}^\perp N = \{x \in A: \langle x^*, x \rangle = 0, \forall x^* \in N\}$. If A is a dual Banach algebra and I is w^* -closed ideal of A , then I is dual with predual $I_* = \frac{A_*}{I^\perp}$ that $(I_*)^* = (\frac{A_*}{I^\perp})^* = ({}^\perp I)^\perp = I$ and $I^* = \frac{A^*}{I^\perp}$, see [5].*

Proposition 2.4. *Let A be a dual Banach algebra and I be an arbitrary w^* -closed ideal of A such that $H^1(A, I^{**}) = \{0\}$. Then $H_{w^*}^1(A, I) = \{0\}$.*

Proof. Let $D \in Z_{w^*}^1(A, I)$ and $E: I \rightarrow I^{**}$ be the natural embedding. Then $E \circ D: A \rightarrow I^{**}$ is a bounded derivation. Since $H^1(A, I^{**}) = \{0\}$, there exists $a^{**} \in I^{**}$ such that $E \circ D = \delta_{a^{**}}$. Consider the decomposition $I^{**} = I \oplus I_*^\perp$ as an A -bimodule. If $P: I^{**} \rightarrow I$ is a projection, we have $D = \delta_{P(a^{**})}$. Then $H_{w^*}^1(A, I) = \{0\}$.

A Banach algebra A is without of order if for any $a, b \in A$, $ab = 0$ implies that $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. Semisimple and unital Banach algebras are without of order Banach algebras. Now by Proposition 2 and [8, Theorem 3.1], we have the following result.

Corollary 2.5. *Let A be a dual Banach algebra and I be a closed two-sided ideal in A such that I is without order. If $H^1(A, I^{**}) = \{0\}$, then $H_{w^*}^1(I, I) = \{0\}$.*

Example 2.5. (i) *Let G be a locally compact group. A linear subspace $S^1(G)$ of $L^1(G)$ is said to be a Segal algebra, if it satisfies the following conditions:*

- (S1) $S^1(G)$ is dense in $L^1(G)$;
- (S2) If $f \in S^1(G)$, then $L_x f \in S^1(G)$, i.e. $S^1(G)$ is left translation invariant;
- (S3) $S^1(G)$ is a Banach space under some norm $\|\cdot\|_S$ and $\|L_x f\|_S = \|f\|_S$, for all $f \in S^1(G)$ and $x \in G$;
- (S4) $x \mapsto L_x f$ from G into $S^1(G)$ is continuous.

For more details about Segal algebras, see [22, 23]. Now, let G be an

abelian locally compact group. Then $H^1(L^1(G), S^1(G)^{**}) = \{0\}$. Then by Proposition 2 and Corollary 2, we have $H_{w^*}^1(L^1(G), S^1(G)) = \{0\}$ and $H_{w^*}^1(S^1(G), S^1(G)) = \{0\}$.

(ii) Let Λ be a non-empty, totally ordered set, and regard it as a semigroup by defining the product of two elements to be their maximum. The resulting semigroup, which we denote by Λ_\vee , is a semilattice. We may then form the ℓ^1 -convolution algebra $\ell^1(\Lambda_\vee)$. For every $t \in \Lambda_\vee$ we denote the point mass concentrated at t by e_t . The definition of multiplication in $\ell^1(\Lambda_\vee)$ ensures that $e_s e_t = e_{\max(s,t)}$ for all s and t .

The semilattice Λ_\vee , is a commutative semigroup in which every element is idempotent. If we denote the set of idempotent elements of Λ_\vee by $E(\Lambda_\vee)$, then $E(\Lambda_\vee) = \Lambda_\vee$. The ℓ^1 -convolution algebras of semilattices provide interesting examples of commutative Banach algebras. By [14, Proposition 3.3], $H^1(\ell^1(\Lambda_\vee), I^{**}) = \{0\}$, for any closed two-sided I of $\ell^1(\Lambda_\vee)$. Then by Corollary 2, $H_{w^*}^1(I, I) = \{0\}$, for any closed two-sided I of $\ell^1(\Lambda_\vee)$.

(iii) Let K be an infinite compact metric space, $\alpha \in (0,1)$ and $\text{lip}_\alpha K$ be the small Lipschitz algebra (see Example 2). By [14, Proposition 3.4], $H^1(\text{lip}_\alpha K, I^{**}) = \{0\}$, for any closed two-sided I of $\text{lip}_\alpha K$. Then by Corollary 2, $H_{w^*}^1(I, I) = \{0\}$, for any closed two-sided I of $\text{lip}_\alpha K$.

3. Representations of derivations and Arens regularity

Let A be a Banach algebra and B be a Banach A -bimodule with the module action “ \bullet ”. Then for every $b \in B$, we define

$$L_b(a) = b \bullet a \quad \text{and} \quad R_b(a) = a \bullet b,$$

for every $a \in A$. These are the operation of left and right multiplication by b on A . In the following by using the super-amenability of Banach algebra A , we give a representation for $Z^1(A, C)$, where C is a Banach A -bimodule.

For a Banach A -bimodule B and for a derivation $D: A \rightarrow B^*$, we show that the left module action $\pi_\rho: A \times B \rightarrow B$ is Arens regular whenever $D'': A^{**} \rightarrow B^{***}$ is a derivation and $B^* \subseteq D''(A^{**})$. On the other hand, if A is a left strongly Arens irregular and A^{**} is amenable Banach algebra with respect to the first Arens product, then A is unital. Moreover, if A is a dual Banach algebra, it follows that A is reflexive.

Theorem 3.1. *Assume that A is an amenable Banach algebra. Then there are Banach A -bimodules C, D and elements $\alpha, \beta \in A^{**}$ such that*

$$Z^1(A, C^*) = \{R_{D''(\alpha)}: D \in Z^1(A, C^*)\} = \{L_{D''(\beta)}: D \in Z^1(A, D^*)\}.$$

Proof. Suppose that B is a Banach A -bimodule with a module action \bullet . Every amenable Banach algebra has a BAI [25, Proposition 2.2.1], so A has a BAI such

as $(e_\alpha)_\alpha$. Then by Cohen factorization Theorem we have $B \bullet A = B = A \bullet B$, i.e., for every $b \in B$, there are $y, z \in B$ and $a, t \in A$ such that $y \bullet a = b = t \bullet z$. Then we have

$$\lim_\alpha b \bullet e_\alpha = \lim_\alpha (y \bullet a) \bullet e_\alpha = \lim_\alpha y \bullet (ae_\alpha) = y \bullet a = b \tag{1}$$

and

$$\lim_\alpha e_\alpha \bullet b = \lim_\alpha e_\alpha \bullet (t \bullet z) = \lim_\alpha (te_\alpha) \bullet z = t \bullet z = b. \tag{2}$$

It follows that B has a BAI as $(e_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq A$. Let e'' and f'' be the right and left unit for A^{**} , respectively such that $e_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} e''$ and $e_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} f''$ in A^{**} .

Take $C = B$ and define a module action “ \cdot ” as $a \cdot x = 0$ and $x \cdot a = x \bullet a$, for all $a \in A$ and $x \in C$. Clearly, (C, \cdot) is a Banach A -bimodule. Suppose that $D \in Z^1(A, C^*)$. Then there is an element $c \in C^*$ such that $D = \delta_c$. Then for every $a \in A$, we have

$$D(a) = \delta_c(a) = a \cdot c - c \cdot a = a \bullet c.$$

From (1) and module actions of C , for any $x \in C$ and $x' \in C^*$, we have

$$\lim_\alpha \langle x, x' \cdot e_\alpha \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle e_\alpha \cdot x, x' \rangle = 0 \tag{3}$$

and

$$\lim_\alpha \langle x, e_\alpha \cdot x' \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle x \cdot e_\alpha, x' \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle x \bullet e_\alpha, x' \rangle = \langle x, x' \rangle. \tag{4}$$

It follows that $e_\alpha \cdot x' \xrightarrow{w^*} x'$ in C^* . Since D'' is a weak * -to-weak * continuous linear operator, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D''(e'') &= D''(w^* - \lim_\alpha e_\alpha) = w^* - \lim_\alpha D''(e_\alpha) = w^* - \lim_\alpha D(e_\alpha) \\ &= w^* - \lim_\alpha (e_\alpha x') = x'. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that $D(a) = a \cdot D''(e'') = a \bullet D''(e'')$ for all $a \in A$. It follows that $D = R_{D''(e'')}$. On the other hand, since for every derivation $D \in Z^1(A, C^*)$, $R_{D''(e'')} \in Z^1(A, C^*)$, the result holds.

Now, again consider B as a Banach A -bimodule with the module action “ \bullet ” and set $D = B$ with the module action \triangleleft such that $a \triangleleft y = a \bullet y$ and $y \triangleleft a = 0$, for all $a \in A$ and $y \in D$. By a similar argument that we have discussed above, and setting $b = f''$, the proof completes.

Example 3.1. (i) Let G be an amenable locally compact group. Then by Johnson Theorem $H^1(L^1(G), X^*) = \{0\}$, for every Banach A -bimodule X . Then by defining the similar module actions of $L^\infty(G)$ as a Banach $L^1(G)$ -bimodule in the proof of Theorem 3 and by this Theorem, we have

$$Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G)) = \{R_{D(e'')}: D \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G))\}$$

$$= \{L_{D(f'')} : D \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G))\},$$

where e'' and f'' are the left and right units of $L^1(G)^{**}$, indeed they w^* -accumulations of the BAI of $L^1(G)$.

(ii) Let G be locally compact group. Then by [20, Corollary 1.2] $H^1(L^1(G), M(G)) = \{0\}$. Then by applying the module actions defined in the proof of Theorem 3, we can see $M(G)$ as a Banach $L^1(G)$ -bimodule. Then by Theorem 3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G)) &= \{R_{D(e'')} : D \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G))\} \\ &= \{L_{D(f'')} : D \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^\infty(G))\}, \end{aligned}$$

where e'' and f'' are the left and right units of $L^1(G)^{**}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let A be a Banach algebra, B be a Banach A -bimodule and $D: A \rightarrow B^*$ be a continuous derivation. If $D'': A^{**} \rightarrow B^{***}$ is a derivation and $B^* \subseteq D''(A^{**})$, then $Z_{A^{**}}^\ell(B^{**}) = B^{**}$.

Proof. Since $D'': A^{**} \rightarrow B^{***}$ is a derivation, by [26, Theorem 4.2], $D''(A^{**})B^{**} \subseteq B^*$. Due to $B^* \subseteq D''(A^{**})$, we have $B^*B^{**} \subseteq B^*$. Let $(a''_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq A^{**}$ such that $a''_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} a''$ in A^{**} . Assume that $b'' \in B^{**}$. Then for every $b' \in B^*$, since $b'b'' \in B^*$, we have

$$\langle b'' \cdot a''_\alpha, b' \rangle = \langle a''_\alpha b', b'' \rangle \rightarrow \langle a'', b'b'' \rangle = \langle b'' \cdot a'', b' \rangle.$$

Thus $b'' \cdot a''_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} b'' \cdot a''$ is in B^{**} , and so $b'' \in Z_{A^{**}}^\ell(B^{**})$.

Corollary 3.1. Let A be a Banach algebra and $D: A \rightarrow A^*$ be a continuous derivation such that $A^* \subseteq D''(A^{**})$. If $D'': A^{**} \rightarrow A^{***}$ is a derivation, then A is Arens regular.

Example 3.2. Let G be an infinite locally compact group. Thus, $L^1(G)$ is not Arens regular. Then Corollary 3 implies that there is no $D \in Z^1(L^1(G), L^1(G)^*)$ such that $L^1(G)^* \subseteq D''(L^1(G)^{**})$ and its second transpose D'' is a derivation.

Lemma 3.1. Let B be a Banach left A -module and B^{**} has a LBAI with respect to A^{**} . Then B^{**} has a left unit with respect to A^{**} .

Proof. Assume that $(e''_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq A^{**}$ is a LBAI for B^{**} . By passing to a suitable subnet, we may suppose that there is an $e'' \in A^{**}$ such that $e''_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} e''$ in A^{**} . Then for every $b'' \in B^{**}$ and $b' \in B^*$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \pi_\ell^{***}(e'', b''), b' \rangle &= \langle e'', \pi_\ell^{**}(b'', b') \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle e''_\alpha, \pi_\ell^{**}(b'', b') \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle \pi_\ell^{***}(e''_\alpha, b''), b' \rangle = \langle b'', b' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\pi_\ell^{***}(e'', b'') = b''$.

Theorem 3.3. Let A be a left strongly Arens irregular and suppose that A^{**} is an amenable Banach algebra. Then we have the following assertions.

- (i) A has an identity.

(ii) If A is a dual Banach algebra, then A is reflexive.

Proof. (i) Amenability of A^{**} implies that it has a BAI. By using Lemma 3, A^{**} has an identity say that e'' . So, the mapping $x'' \rightarrow e'' \cdot x'' = x''$ is weak*-to-weak* continuous from A^{**} into A^{**} . It follows that $e'' \in Z_1(A^{**}) = A$. This means that A has an identity.

(ii) Assume that E is a predual of A . Then we have $A^{**} = A \oplus E^\perp$. Since A^{**} is amenable, by [12, Theorem 1.8] or [13, Theorem 2.3], A is amenable, and so E^\perp is amenable. Thus E^\perp has a BAI such as $(e''_\alpha)_\alpha \subseteq E^\perp$. Since E^\perp is a closed and weak*-closed subspace of A^{**} , without loss generality, there is $e'' \in E^\perp$ such that

$$e''_\alpha \xrightarrow{w^*} e'' \quad \text{and} \quad e''_\alpha \xrightarrow{\|\cdot\|} e''.$$

Then e'' is a left identity for E^\perp . On the other hand, for every $x'' \in E^\perp$, since E^\perp is an ideal in A^{**} , we have $x'' \cdot e'' \in E^\perp$. Thus, for every $a' \in A^*$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x'' \cdot e'', a' \rangle &= \lim_\alpha \langle (x'' \cdot e'') \cdot e''_\alpha, a' \rangle = \lim_\alpha \langle x'' \cdot (e'' \cdot e''_\alpha), a' \rangle \\ &= \lim_\alpha \langle x'' \cdot e''_\alpha, a' \rangle = \langle x'', a' \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $x'' \cdot e'' = x''$, and so e'' is a right identity for E^\perp . Consequently, e'' is a two-sided identity for E^\perp . Now, let $a'' \in A^{**}$. Then

$$e'' \cdot a'' = (e'' \cdot a'') \cdot e'' = e'' \cdot (a'' \cdot e'') = a'' \cdot e''.$$

Hence $e'' \in Z_1(A^{**}) = A$. It follows that $e'' = 0$, and so $E^\perp = 0$. This implies that $A^{**} = A$.

Example 3.3. Let G be a locally compact group. If $M(G)^{**}$ is amenable, then by Theorem 3(ii), because $C_0(G)^* = M(G)$, we conclude that $M(G)$ is reflexive. This means that G is a finite group, moreover see [12, Corollary 1.4].

Acknowledgment

We would like to thank the referee for her/his careful reading of our paper and many valuable suggestions.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. E. Arens, The adjoint of a bilinear operation, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1951), No. 6, 839-848.
- [2] W. G. Bade, P. C. Curtis and H. G. Dales, Amenability and weak amenability for Beurling and Lipschitz algebras, Proc. London Math. Soc., **55** (1987), No. 3, 359-377.
- [3] A. Bagheri Vakilabad, K. Haghnejad Azar and A. Jabbari, Arens regularity of module actions and weak amenability of Banach algebras, Period. Math. Hung., **71** (2015), No. 2, 224-235.
- [4] A. Connes, Classification of injective factors, Ann. Math., **104** (1976), 73-114.

-
- [5] *J. B. Conway*, A course in functional analysis, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1985.
- [6] *H. G. Dales*, Banach algebra and automatic continuity, Oxford 2000.
- [7] *H. G. Dales, A. Rodríguez-Palacios and M. V. Velasco*, The second transpose of a derivation, *J. London. Math. Soc.*, **64** (2001), No. 2, 707-721.
- [8] *A. Ebadian and A. Jabbari*, Weak $*$ -continuous derivations on module extension of dual Banach algebras, *South. Asian Bull. Math.*, **39** (2015), No. 3, 347-363.
- [9] *M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Filali*, Arens regularity of module actions, *Studia Math.*, **181** (2007), No. 3, 237-254.
- [10] *M. Eshaghi Gordji and M. Filali*, Weak amenability of the second dual of a Banach algebra, *Studia Math.*, **182** (2007), No. 3, 205-213.
- [11] *F. Ghahramani and J. Laali*, Amenability and topological centers of the second duals of Banach algebras, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.*, **65** (2002), 191-197.
- [12] *F. Ghahramani, R. J. Loy and G. A. Willis*, Amenability and weak amenability of second conjugate Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **129** (1996), 1489-1497.
- [13] *F. Gourdeau*, Amenability and the second dual of a Banach algebra, *Studia Math.*, **125** (1997), No. 1, 75-81.
- [14] *A. Jabbari*, On ideal amenability of Banach algebras, *J. Math. Physics, Analysis, Geometry*, **8** (2012), No. 2, 135-143.
- [15] *B. E. Johnson*, Cohomology in Banach algebra, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127**, 1972.
- [16] *B. E. Johnson*, Weak amenability of group algebra, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.*, **23** (1991), No. 3, 281-284.
- [17] *B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison, and J. Ringrose*, Cohomology of operator algebras, III, *Bull. Soc. Math. France.*, **100** (1972), 73-79.
- [18] *A. Ya. Helemskii*, Homological essence of amenability in the sense of A. Connes: the injectivity of the predual bimodule (translated from the Russian), *Math. USSR-Sb.*, **68** (1991), 555-566.
- [19] *A. T. Lau and A. Ülger*, Topological center of certain dual algebras, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **348** (1996), 1191-1212.
- [20] *V. Losert*, The derivation problem for group algebra, *Ann. Math.*, **168** (2008), 221-246.
- [21] *S. Mohamadzadih and H. R. E. Vishki*, Arens regularity of module actions and the second adjoint of a derivation, *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, **77** (2008), 465-476.
- [22] *H. Reiter*, L^1 -algebras and Segal algebras, *Lecture notes in Mathematics*, Vol. **231**, Springer, Berlin, 1971.
- [23] *H. Reiter and J. D. Stegeman*, Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups, *London Math. Soc. Mono. Ser. Vol. 22*, Oxford, 2000.
- [24] *V. Runde*, Amenability for dual Banach algebras, *Studia Math.*, **148** (2001), 47-66.
- [25] *V. Runde*, Lectures on amenability, *Lecture Note in Mathematics*, Vol. **1774**, Springer Verlag, 2001.
- [26] *A. Ülger*, Arens regularity of weakly sequentially compact Banach algebras, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **127** (1999), No. 11, 3221-3227.